## **Preface**

In August 1991, the National Institute of Building Sciences (NIBS) entered into a cooperative agreement with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for a comprehensive seven-year program leading to the development of a set of nationally applicable guidelines for the seismic rehabilitation of existing buildings. Under this agreement, the Building Seismic Safety Council (BSSC) served as program manager with the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) and the Applied Technology Council (ATC) working as subcontractors. Initially, FEMA provided funding for a program definition activity designed to generate the detailed work plan for the overall program. The work plan was completed in April 1992 and in September FEMA contracted with NIBS for the remainder of the effort.

The major objectives of the project were to develop a set of technically sound, nationally applicable guidelines (with commentary) for the seismic rehabilitation of buildings; develop building community consensus regarding the guidelines; and develop the basis of a plan for stimulating widespread acceptance and application of the guidelines. The guidelines documents produced as a result of this project are expected to serve as a primary resource on the seismic rehabilitation of buildings for the use of design professionals, educators, model code and standards organizations, and state and local building regulatory personnel.

As noted above, the project work involved the ASCE and ATC as subcontractors as well as groups of volunteer experts and paid consultants. It was structured to ensure that the technical guidelines writing effort benefited from a broad section of considerations: the results of completed and ongoing technical efforts and research activities; societal issues; public policy concerns; the recommendations presented in an earlier FEMA-funded report on issues identification and resolution; cost data on application of rehabilitation procedures; reactions of potential users; and consensus review by a broad spectrum

of building community interests. A special effort also was made to use the results of the latest relevant research.

While overall management has been the responsibility of the BSSC, responsibility for conduct of the specific project tasks is shared by the BSSC with ASCE and ATC. Specific BSSC tasks were completed under the guidance of a BSSC Project Committee. To ensure project continuity and direction, a Project Oversight Committee (POC) was responsible to the BSSC Board of Direction for accomplishment of the project objectives and the conduct of project tasks. Further, a Seismic Rehabilitation Advisory Panel reviewed project products as they developed and advised the POC on the approach being taken, problems arising or anticipated, and progress made. Three user workshops were held during the course of the project to expose the project and various drafts of the Guidelines documents to review by potential users of the ultimate product.

The balloting of the Guidelines and Commentary occurred between October and December 1996, and a ballot symposium for the voting representatives of BSSC member organizations was held in November during the ballot period. Member organization voting representatives were asked to vote on each major subsection of the Guidelines document and on each chapter of the Commentary. As required by BSSC procedures, the ballot provided for four responses: "yes," "yes with reservations," "no," and "abstain." All "yes with reservations" and "no" votes were to be accompanied by an explanation of the reasons for the vote and the "no" votes were to be accompanied by specific suggestions for change if those changes would change the negative vote to an affirmative.

Although all sections of the *Guidelines* and *Commentary* documents were approved in the balloting, the comments and explanations received with "yes with reservations" and "no" votes were compiled by the BSSC for delivery to ATC for review and resolution. The ATC Senior Technical

Committee reviewed these comments in detail and commissioned members of the technical teams to develop detailed responses and to formulate any needed proposals for change reflecting the comments. This effort resulted in 48 proposals for change to be submitted to the BSSC member organizations for a second ballot. In April 1997, the ATC presented its recommendations to the Project Oversight Committee, which approved them for forwarding to the BSSC Board. The BSSC Board subsequently gave tentative approval to the reballoting pending a mail vote on the entire second ballot package. This was done and the reballoting was officially approved by the Board. The second ballot package was mailed to BSSC member organizations on June 10 with completed ballots due by July 28.

All the second ballot proposals passed the ballot; however, as with the first ballot results, comments submitted with ballots were compiled by the BSSC for review by the ATC Senior Technical Committee. This effort resulted in a number of editorial changes and six additional technical changes being proposed by the ATC. In September, the ATC presented its recommendations for change to the Project Oversight Committee that, after considerable discussion, deemed the proposed changes to be either editorial or of insufficient substance to warrant another ballot. The BSSC Board subsequently received the recommendations of the POC, accepted them, and approved preparation of the final documents for transmittal to the Federal Emergency Management Agency. This was done on September 30, 1997.

Two volumes also were prepared to supplement the Guidelines and Commentary documents (FEMA 273 and 274): this volume, Example Applications of the NEHRP Guidelines for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings (FEMA 276), illustrates use of the Guidelines and Planning for Seismic Rehabilitation: Societal Issues (FEMA 275) provides building owners, facility managers, public policy makers, and others involved in making seismic rehabilitation decisions for communities, institutions, and businesses with an overview of potential issues.

It should be noted by those using this document that recommendations resulting from the concept work of the BSSC Project Committee have resulted in initiation of a case studies project that will involve the development of seismic rehabilitation designs for at least 40 federal buildings selected from an inventory of buildings determined to be seismically deficient under the implementation program of Executive Order 12941 and determined to be considered "typical of existing structures located throughout the nation." The case studies project is structured to:

- Test the usability of the NEHRP Guidelines for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings in authentic applications in order to determine the extent to which practicing design engineers and architects find the Guidelines documents themselves and the structural analysis procedures and acceptance criteria included to be presented in understandable language and in a clear, logical fashion that permits valid engineering determinations to be made, and to evaluate the ease of transition from current engineering practices to the new concepts presented in the Guidelines.
- Assess the technical adequacy of the Guidelines design and analysis procedures. Determine if application of the procedures results (in the judgment of the designer) in rational designs of building components for corrective rehabilitation measures. Assess whether these designs adequately meet the selected performance levels when compared to existing procedures and in light of the knowledge and experience of the designer. Evaluate whether the Guidelines methods provide a better fundamental understanding of expected seismic performance than do existing procedures.
- Assess whether the Guidelines acceptance criteria are properly calibrated to result in component designs that provide permissible values of such key factors as drift, component strength demand, and inelastic deformation at selected performance levels.

- Develop empirical data on the costs of rehabilitation design and construction to meet the Guidelines "basic safety objective" as well as the higher performance levels included. Assess whether the anticipated higher costs of advanced engineering analysis result in worthwhile savings compared to the cost of constructing more conservative design solutions necessary with a less systematic engineering effort.
- Compare the acceptance criteria of the Guidelines with the prevailing seismic design requirements for new buildings in the building location to determine whether requirements for achieving the Guidelines "basic safety objective" are equivalent to or more or less stringent than those expected of new buildings.

Feedback from those using the *Guidelines* outside this case studies project is strongly encouraged.

Further, the curriculum for a series of education/training seminars on the *Guidelines* is being developed and a number of seminars are scheduled for conduct in early 1998. Those who wish to provide feedback or with a desire for information concerning the seminars should direct their correspondence to: BSSC, 1090 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Suite 700, Washington, D.C. 20005; phone 202-289-7800; fax 202-289-1092; e-mail bssc@nibs.org. Copies of the *Guidelines* and *Commentary* can be obtained by phone from the FEMA Distribution Facility at 1-800-480-2520.

The BSSC Board of Direction gratefully acknowledges the contribution of all the ATC and ASCE participants in the *Guidelines* development project as well as those of the BSSC Seismic Rehabilitation Advisory Panel, the BSSC Project Committee, and the User Workshop participants. The Board also wishes to thank Ugo Morelli, FEMA Project Officer, and Diana Todd, FEMA Technical Advisor, for their valuable input and support.

Eugene Zeller Chairman, BSSC Board of Direction

## **Table of Contents**

1.	Introduct	ion	1-1
	1.2 The N	eed for Guidelines for Seismic Rehabilitation	1-1
		Model Building Types	
		ic Rehabilitation Design of Buildings	
		nization of the Document	
2.	The Seism	nic Rehabilitation Process	2-1
-		al	
		Approach	
		Considerations	
		pilitation Objective	
	2.4.1	Performance Levels	
	2.4.2	Seismic Hazard	
	2.4.3		
	2.4.4	Rehabilitation Program Implementation	
		pilitation Method	
		pilitation Strategy	
		sis Procedures	
		the Rehabilitation Design	
	2.6 Verny	the Renabilitation Design	2-3
3.	The Cost	of Seismic Rehabilitation	2 1
Э.		al	
		al Structural Costs	
	3.2.1		
	3.2.1	Model Building Groups	
	3.2.2	Building Area Seismicity/Performance Level	3-1
	3.2.3		
	3.2.4	Building Location	
	3.2.5	Construction Date	
	3.2.0	Typical Structural Costs Using Option 2	
		Typical Structural Costs Using Option 3	
	3.3.1	al Project Costs	
	3.3.1	Nonstructural Risk Mitigation	
		Architectural Remodeling	
	3.3.3	Disabled Access Improvements	
	3.3.4	Hazardous Material Removal	
	3.3.5	Design, Testing, Inspection, and Management Fees	
	3.3.6	Historic Preservation Costs	
	3.3.7	Typical Project Costs of Seismic Rehabilitation	
		oles of Rehabilitation Cost	
	3.5 Using	the Rehabilitation Cost Graphs	3-6
	Wasa D	Him Dahahilitatian Francisco de Control	
4.		Iding Rehabilitation Examples and Cost Estimates	
	4.1 Introd	uction	4-1
		Frame Residential Construction	
	4.2.1	Description	4-1
	4.2.2	Common Characteristics	4-1

	4.2.3	Loads and Load Paths	4-2
	4.2.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	4-2
	4.2.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	4-3
	4.2.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	
	4.2.7	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	4-3
	4.2.8	Rehabilitation Examples	4-3
	4.3 Wood,	Commercial, and Industrial Buildings	4-14
	4.3.1	Description	4-14
	4.3.2	Common Characteristics	4-14
	4.3.3	Loads and Load Paths	4-14
	4.3.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	4-15
	4.3.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	4-15
	4.3.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	4-16
	4.3.7	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	4-16
	4.3.8	Rehabilitation Examples	4-19
	4.4 Illustra	ative Application of the Guidelines	4-24
	4.4.1	Review Initial Considerations	4-24
	4.4.2	Select a Rehabilitation Objective	4-24
	4.4.3	Select a Rehabilitation Method	
	4.4.4	Develop a Rehabilitation Strategy	4-24
	4.4.5	Select an Analysis Procedure	4-24
	4.4.6	Perform Preliminary Rehabilitation Design and Analysis	4-24
	4.4.7	Verify Rehabilitation Design	4-26
	4.4.8	Iterate	4-28
	4.4.9	Prepare Construction Documents and	
		Quality Assurance Plan	4-28
	1214 127/1ss 127755		
5.		ling Rehabilitation Examples and Cost Estimates	
		uction	
		Ioment Frame Buildings	
	5.2.1	Description	
	5.2.2	Common Characteristics	
	5.2.3	Loads and Load Paths	
	5.2.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	
	5.2.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	
	5.2.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	
	5.2.7	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	
	5.2.8	Rehabilitation Examples	
		ative Application of the Guidelines	
	5.3.1	Review Initial Considerations	
	5.3.2	Select a Rehabilitation Objective	
	5.3.3	Select a Rehabilitation Method	
	5.3.4	Develop a Rehabilitation Strategy	
	5.3.5	Select an Analysis Procedure	
	5.3.6	Perform Preliminary Rehabilitation Design and Analysis	
	5.3.7	Verify Rehabilitation Design	
	5.3.8	Iterate	5-22
	5.3.9	Prepare Construction Documents and	
		Quality Assurance Plan	
	5.4 Steel B	raced-Frame Buildings	5-23

		5.4.1	Description	
		5.4.2	Common Characteristics	5-23
		5.4.3	Loads and Load Paths	5-24
		5.4.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	5-24
		5.4.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	5-25
		5.4.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	5-26
		5.4.7	Seismic Rehabilitation for Nonstructural Components	5-26
		5.4.8	Rehabilitation Examples	5-29
	5.5	Steel L	ight-Frame Buildings	5-38
		5.5.1	Description	
		5.5.2	Common Characteristics	5-38
		5.5.3	Loads and Load Paths	5-38
		5.5.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	5-39
		5.5.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	5-39
		5.5.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	5-40
		5.5.7	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	
		5.5.8	Rehabilitation Examples	5-43
	5.6	Steel F	rame Buildings with Concrete Shear Walls	5-48
		5.6.1	Description	5-48
		5.6.2	Common Characteristics	5-48
		5.6.3	Loads and Load Paths	5-49
		5.6.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	5-49
		5.6.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	5-49
		5.6.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	
		5.6.7	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	5-51
		5.6.8	Rehabilitation Examples	5-51
	5.7	Steel F	rame Buildings with Infill Masonry Shear Walls	5-58
		5.7.1	Description	5-58
		5.7.2	Common Characteristics	5-59
		5.7.3	Loads and Load Paths	5-59
		5.7.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	5-59
		5.7.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	
		5.7.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	5-61
		5.7.7	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	5-62
		5.7.8	Rehabilitation Examples	5-65
6.	Cor	ncrete B	uilding Rehabilitation Examples and Cost Estimates	6-1
			iction	
	6.2	Concre	te Moment Frames	6-1
		6.2.1	Description	6-1
			Common Characteristics	
		6.2.3	Loads and Load Paths	6-2
		6.2.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	6-2
		6.2.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	6-3
		6.2.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	6-3
		6.2.7	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	
		6.2.8	Rehabilitation Examples	6-3
	6.3		tive Application of the Guidelines	
		6.3.1	Review Initial Considerations	6-14
		6.3.2	Select a Rehabilitation Objective	6-14

	6.3.3	Select a Rehabilitation Method	6-14
	6.3.4	Develop a Rehabilitation Strategy	6-14
	6.3.5	Select an Analysis Procedure	6-14
	6.3.6	Perform Preliminary Rehabilitation Design and Analysis	6-15
	6.3.7	Verify Rehabilitation Design	
	6.3.8	Iterate	6-17
	6.3.9	Prepare Construction Documents and	
		Quality Assurance Plan	6-17
6.4	Concre	te Shear-Wall Buildings	6-18
	6.4.1	Description	6-18
	6.4.2	Common Characteristics	6-18
	6.4.3	Loads and Load Paths	
	6.4.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	6-19
	6.4.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	
	6.4.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	6-21
	6.4.7	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	6-21
	6.4.8	Rehabilitation Examples	6-21
6.5	Illustra	tive Application of the Guidelines	6-34
	6.5.1	Review Initial Considerations	
	6.5.2	Select a Rehabilitation Objective	6-34
	6.5.3	Select a Rehabilitation Method	6-34
	6.5.4	Develop a Rehabilitation Strategy	6-34
	6.5.5	Select an Analysis Procedure	6-35
	6.5.6	Perform Preliminary Rehabilitation Design and Analysis	
	6.5.7	Verify Rehabilitation Design	6-36
	6.5.8	Iterate	6-37
	6.5.9	Prepare Construction Documents and	
		Quality Assurance Plan	6-37
6.6	Concre	te Frame Buildings with Infill Masonry Shear Walls	
	6.6.1	Description	
	6.6.2	Common Model Building Characteristics	6-38
	6.6.3	Load and Load Paths	6-39
	6.6.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	6-39
	6.6.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	6-39
	6.6.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	6-39
	6.6.7	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	6-40
	6.6.8	Rehabilitation Examples	
6.7	Precast	t/Tilt-Up Concrete Shear Wall Buildings	
	6.7.1	Description	6-52
	6.7.2	Common Characteristics	6-53
	6.7.3	Loads and Load Paths	6-53
	6.7.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	
	6.7.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	6-53
	6.7.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	
	6.7.7	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	6-54
	6.7.8	Rehabilitation Examples	6-55
6.8	Precast	t Concrete Frame Buildings with Shear Walls	6-66
	6.8.1	Description	
	6.8.2	Common Model Building Characteristics	6-66
	683	Loads and Load Paths	6-66

	6.8.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	6-67
	6.8.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	6-67
	6.8.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	6-68
	6.8.7	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	6-68
	6.8.8	Rehabilitation Examples	6-71
	6.9 Precas	t Concrete Frame Buildings Without Shear Walls	6-76
	6.9.1	Description	6-76
	6.9.2	Common Characteristics	6-76
	6.9.3	Loads and Load Paths	6-76
	6.9.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	6-77
	6.9.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	6-77
	6.9.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	6-78
5	6.9.7	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	6-78
	6.9.8	Rehabilitation Examples	6-79
7.	Masonry B	Building Rehabilitation Examples and Cost Estimates	7-1
		uction	
	7.2 Reinfo	rced Masonry Bearing-Wall Buildings with	
	Flexibl	e Diaphragms	7-1
	7.2.1	Description	
	7.2.2	Common Model Building Characteristics	
	7.2.3	Loads and Load Paths	
	7.2.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	
	7.2.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	
	7.2.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	
	7.2.7	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	
	7.2.8	Rehabilitation Examples	
		ative Application of the Guidelines	
	7.3.1	Review Initial Considerations	
	7.3.2	Select a Rehabilitation Objective	
	7.3.3	Select a Rehabilitation Method	7-10
	7.3.4	Prepare Construction Documents and	
		Quality Assurance Plan	7-12
		rced Masonry Bearing-Wall Buildings with	
		aphragms	
	7.4.1	Description	
	7.4.2	Common Model Building Characteristics	
	7.4.3	Loads and Load Paths	
	7.4.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	
	7.4.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	
	7.4.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	
	7.4.7	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	
	7.4.8	Rehabilitation Examples	
		forced Masonry Bearing-Wall Buildings	
	7.5.1	Description	
	7.5.2	Common Characteristics	
	7.5.3	Loads and Load Paths	
	7.5.4	Typical Deficiencies and Rehabilitation Measures	
	7.5.5	Seismic Rehabilitation of Structural Components	
	7.5.6	Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation	7-24

	7.5.7 7.5.8	Seismic Rehabilitation of Nonstructural Components	
8.		ural Component and System Rehabilitation Approach,	22-1 10
		and Cost Estimates	
		uction	
	8.2 Basic A	Approach	8-1
	8.3 Typica	l Costs for Nonstructural Rehabilitation	8-4
		ructural Rehabilitation Examples	
		ative Application of the Guidelines—High Seismicity	
	8.5.1	Select a Rehabilitation Objective	
	8.5.2	Determine Seismicity	
	8.5.3	Select an Analysis Procedure	
	8.5.4	Perform Analysis	
	8.5.5	Verify Acceptability of Component or System	8-15
	8.5.6	Perform Rehabilitation Design	
	8.6 Illustra	ative Application of the Guidelines—Low Seismicity	8-15
- 12	8.6.1	Select a Rehabilitation Objective	8-15
	8.6.2	Determine Seismicity	8-15
	8.6.3	Select an Analysis Procedure	8-16
	8.6.4	Perform Analysis	8-16
	8.6.5	Verify Acceptability of Component or System	8-16
	8.6.6	Perform Rehabilitation Design	
	Deferences		9_1