

# STEEL MOMENT FRAME ADVISORY NO. 3

## SAC Joint Venture

a partnership of:

Structural Engineers Association of California  
Applied Technology Council  
California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering

### Management Committee

Chairman - Mr. Arthur E. Ross

**Structural Engineers  
Association of California**

Mr. Arthur E. Ross, *past president*  
Mr. Ronald F. Nelson, *president*

**Applied Technology Council**

Mr. Christopher Rojahn, *exec. director*  
Mr. John Coil, *board member*

**California Universities for  
Research in Earthquake  
Engineering**

Dr. Robin Shepherd, *board member*  
Dr. Charles Thiel, *member*

### Technical Committee

Dr. Stephen A. Mahin  
*Program Manager*

Mr. James O. Malley  
*Project Director for Topical Research*

Mr. Ronald O. Hamburger  
*Project Director for Product Development*

### Principal Investigator - SAC Advisories

Mr. Robert Chittenden

**SAC Joint Venture**  
555 University Avenue, Suite 126  
Sacramento, California 95825  
916-427-3647

### Foreword

This Advisory No. 3 is the third in a series of advisories on engineering issues related to the Steel Moment-Resisting Frame Connection, published by the SAC Joint Venture. The first two advisories were anthologies of papers, emergency code changes, inspection procedures and design details suggested by various parties. This third advisory consists of a synopsis of conclusions and recommendations developed by participants of an SAC-sponsored workshop held on October 28-29, 1994. **The opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of SAC, the Joint Venture partners, the California Office of Emergency Services or the Federal Emergency Management Agency. The reader is cautioned to review the material carefully.** The advisory is intended to convey the latest available information on seismic issues related to steel moment frame connections. Information contained herein must be used together with sound engineering judgment when applied to specific engineering projects.

### Acknowledgment

The SAC Joint Venture wishes to offer grateful acknowledgment to the California Office of Emergency Services and the Federal Emergency Management Agency. Following the discovery of severe damage to steel moment-resisting frame buildings in the Northridge Earthquake, these agencies recognized the significance of this issue to the engineering community as well as the public at large, and acted rapidly to provide the necessary funding to allow the SAC advisories to be developed, published and distributed. Without the support of these agencies, the important information and material presented herein could not have been made available.

SAC also wishes to recognize the American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC), the American Iron and Steel Institute (AISI), the American Welding Society (AWS) and the Structural Shape Producers Council (SSPC), for the contribution of invaluable advice and assistance.

### The SAC Joint Venture

SAC is a joint venture of the Structural Engineers Association of California, Applied Technology Council, and California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering. For further information or to order publications, contact the SAC administrative office:

SAC Joint Venture  
555 University Avenue, Suite 126  
Sacramento, CA 95825  
phone 916-427-3647; facsimile 916-568-0677

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**1. INTRODUCTION..... 1-1**

1.1 GENERAL..... 1-1

1.2 BACKGROUND..... 1-1

1.3 PURPOSE..... 1-5

1.4 THE SAC..... 1-6

1.5 SPONSORS..... 1-6

1.6 APPROACH..... 1-7

1.7 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS..... 1-7

1.8 INTENT..... 1-9

1.9 LIMITATIONS..... 1-9

1.10 DEFINITIONS..... 1-10

1.11 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS..... 1-10

**2. GENERAL ISSUES ..... 2-1**

2.1 GENERAL..... 2-1

    2.1.1 *Scope*..... 2-1

    2.1.2 *Format*..... 2-1

2.2 QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PROJECT PERSONNEL ..... 2-1

    2.2.1 *Quality Assurance Responsibilities for Welding*..... 2-1

    2.2.2 *Engineer of Record*..... 2-2

    2.2.3 *General Contractor*..... 2-3

    2.2.4 *Retention of Owners Inspection/Testing Laboratory*..... 2-4

    2.2.5 *Fabricator/Erector [and their Inspectors/Testing Lab]*..... 2-4

    2.2.6 *Verification of Project Welding Personnel Qualifications*..... 2-5

    2.2.7 *Knowledge and Training of Engineers*..... 2-5

    2.2.8 *Welding Specialists*..... 2-6

2.3 CONNECTION DESIGN ISSUES ..... 2-6

    2.3.1 *Fundamental Design Flaws*..... 2-6

    2.3.2 *Effect of Floor Slabs on Connection Behavior*..... 2-8

2.4 WELDING SPECIFICATIONS..... 2-9

    2.4.1 *Types of Specifications*..... 2-9

    2.4.2 *Project Welding Specifications*..... 2-10

2.5 WELDING PROCEDURES..... 2-10

    2.5.1 *General*..... 2-10

    2.5.2 *Fracture Toughness Considerations for Weld Metal*..... 2-11

    2.5.3 *Stringer vs. Weave Beads*..... 2-12

    2.5.4 *Preheat and Post Heat*..... 2-13

    2.5.5 *Peening*..... 2-14

    2.5.6 *Sequence*..... 2-14

    2.5.7 *Base Metal*..... 2-14

    2.5.8 *Controlling Beam Yield Strength*..... 2-15

    2.5.9 *Production Testing of Welds*..... 2-16

    2.5.10 *Inspection Checklists*..... 2-16

    2.5.11 *Additional Issues*..... 2-16

<b>3. NEW BUILDINGS.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 GENERAL.....	3-1
3.1.1 Scope .....	3-1
3.1.2 Format .....	3-1
3.2 SYSTEM DESIGN ISSUES .....	3-1
3.2.1 Use of Prequalified Connection in Special Moment Resisting Frames .....	3-1
3.2.2 Use of Prequalified Connection in Ordinary Moment Resisting Frames .....	3-2
3.2.3 Redundancy.....	3-3
3.2.4 Beam-to-Column Web Moment Connections.....	3-4
3.2.5 Alternatives to the Prequalified Connection .....	3-4
3.2.6 Design Forces for Frames with Reinforced Connections.....	3-5
3.3 CONNECTION DETAILS AND GEOMETRY .....	3-8
3.3.1 Strength Demand on Connection .....	3-8
3.3.2 Connection Rotation Demands .....	3-9
3.3.3 Web Cope Details.....	3-10
3.3.4 Supplemental Welding of Shear Tabs.....	3-10
3.3.5 Type and Size of Bolts and Holes.....	3-11
3.3.6 Effects of Column Stiffeners .....	3-11
3.3.7 Effects of Column Doubler Plates.....	3-12
3.4 METALLURGY, MECHANICAL PROPERTIES AND CHEMICAL COMPOSITION.....	3-13
3.4.1 Introduction .....	3-13
3.4.2 Metallurgy of Base and Weld Metal .....	3-13
3.4.3 Mechanical Properties .....	3-15
3.4.4 Effects of Chemical Composition.....	3-18
3.4.5 Fracture Toughness Considerations for Base Metal .....	3-18
3.5 OTHER TOPICS .....	3-20
3.5.1 Loading Characteristics .....	3-20
3.5.2 Scaling Test Results.....	3-22
3.5.3 Test Setup and Procedures .....	3-22
3.5.4 Design Peer Review.....	3-24
<b>4. EXISTING BUILDINGS .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 GENERAL.....	4-1
4.1.1 Scope .....	4-1
4.1.2 Format .....	4-1
4.2 DAMAGE ASSESSMENT .....	4-1
4.2.1 Candidate Buildings.....	4-1
4.2.2 Scope of Evaluation .....	4-3
4.2.3 Visual Inspection (VI).....	4-7
4.2.4 Non-destructive Testing (NDT).....	4-10
4.3 REPAIR .....	4-12
4.3.1 Structural Repair.....	4-12
4.3.2 Performance Goals.....	4-13
4.3.3 Repair Scope.....	4-14
4.3.4 Repair Techniques.....	4-17
4.4 UPGRADE.....	4-19
4.4.1 Structural Upgrade .....	4-19
4.4.2 Upgrade Scope.....	4-20
4.4.3 Upgrade Standards.....	4-20
4.4.4 Upgrade Techniques.....	4-21

4.4.5 Connection Upgrades..... 4-21  
4.4.6 System Upgrades..... 4-23

5. REFERENCES..... 5-1  
5.1 REFERENCES - ADVISORY No. 1..... 5-1  
5.2 REFERENCES - ADVISORY No. 2..... 5-2  
5.3 REFERENCES - REPRINTED ..... 5-2  
5.4 REFERENCES - NEW..... 5-3  
5.5 REFERENCES - CHECKLISTS ..... 5-3  
5.6 REFERENCES - GENERAL..... 5-4

- APPENDIX A - WORKSHOP ATTENDEES**
- APPENDIX B - PROTOTYPE PROJECT WELDING SPECIFICATION**
- APPENDIX C - REPRINTS OF SELECTED PRIOR PUBLICATIONS CONTAINED IN SAC ADVISORIES 1 AND 2**
- APPENDIX D - SELECTED MATERIAL NOT PREVIOUSLY AVAILABLE FOR PRINTING IN SAC ADVISORIES 1 AND 2**
- APPENDIX E - SAMPLE CHECK LISTS AND INSPECTION FORMS**