

ATC-20-3

**Case Studies in
Rapid Postearthquake Safety Evaluation
of Buildings**

by
APPLIED TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 550
Redwood City, California 94065

Prepared for ATC by
R.P. GALLAGHER ASSOCIATES, INC.
San Francisco, California

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR
Christopher Rojahn

PUBLICATION CONSULTANT
RDD Consultants, Inc.

PROJECT ENGINEERING PANEL
David R. Bonneville
Robert A. Bruce
Richard L. Hubinger
Laurence M. Kornfield
Kenneth A. Luttrell*
Richard A. Ranous
Calvin N. Wong

*ATC Board Representative

Preface

In September 1989, Applied Technology Council (ATC) published *Procedures for Postearthquake Safety Evaluation of Buildings*, also known as ATC-20. This was the first document to provide comprehensive guidelines for postearthquake building safety evaluation. Less than a month later, the October 17, 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake struck Northern California. This magnitude 7.1 event caused 62 deaths and more than \$5 billion damage.

ATC-20 and the companion *Field Manual: Post-earthquake Safety Evaluation of Buildings* (ATC-20-1) were used by many local building departments as the basis for building safety evaluation after the Loma Prieta earthquake. Many of the individuals involved in the safety evaluations had little or no prior training in using the ATC-20 methodology. In some instances, building inspectors and engineers were given only the assessment forms and placards and told to begin inspections. Some received copies of the ATC-20-1 field manual. One planeload of volunteers from Southern California was given the ATC-20-1 document to read on the flight to the damaged area.

Since the Loma Prieta earthquake, numerous ATC-20 training seminars have been held throughout California and in other seismically active regions of the United States. An often-heard comment at these sessions is that additional training would be useful. In response to this need, the Applied Technology Council has developed this report, designated ATC-20-3. This document provides in-depth training in the Rapid Evaluation technique, the initial safety evaluation intended to determine if a building is apparently safe, unsafe, or in need of further evaluation. Over 50 case studies are included that illustrate safety evaluation in a variety of situations and for different building types. For the most part, these case studies discuss actual postearthquake situations, including numerous examples from the January 17, 1994 Northridge earthquake that caused 60 deaths and more than \$15 billion damage in the Los Angeles area. The report also includes a presentation of the

Rapid Evaluation procedure, so that the reader need not study a copy of ATC-20 before being able to use this document.

While this report was in preparation, ATC finalized the ATC-20-2 report, *Addendum to the ATC-20 Postearthquake Building Safety Evaluation Procedures*. ATC-20-2 reports the results of a study funded by the National Science Foundation after the 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake. The recommendations of the ATC-20-2 report are incorporated in this document where appropriate. Some of these recommendations call for changes in postings: the LIMITED ENTRY placard has been renamed RESTRICTED USE and the AREA UNSAFE category has been eliminated.

The original ATC-20 document was written for use by individuals trained in building design and construction. However, experience with the 1989 Loma Prieta, 1992 Landers, 1992 Cape Mendocino, and 1994 Northridge earthquakes showed that there are seldom enough individuals trained in building construction and design to perform the necessary inspections in the immediate aftermath of damaging earthquakes. Therefore, this document was written for a wider audience and is intended for use by public works agency personnel, fire fighters, police officers, military personnel, facility managers, and other disaster workers, as well as those individuals normally charged with postearthquake safety evaluation of buildings: civil and structural engineers, architects, and building safety officials.

R.P. Gallagher Associates, Inc., a structural and earthquake engineering firm with experience in damage assessment and seismic evaluation of buildings, served as the project subcontractor and prepared this manual. Ronald P. Gallagher, structural engineer and principal author of ATC-20, served as principal-in-charge for this work.

Members of the Project Engineering Panel who provided overall review and guidance for the project and offered many valuable comments were: David R. Bonneville, Robert A. Bruce, Richard L.

Hubinger, Laurence M. Kornfield, Kenneth A. Luttrell, Richard A. Ranous and Calvin N. Wong. Nancy Sauer edited the report and Rodney Sauer prepared the camera-ready copy of this document. The affiliations of these individuals are provided in the list of project participants.

The following individuals were very helpful in providing photographs and information for case studies used in this document: David Bonneville and James Malley of H.J. Degenkolb Associates;

John Egan of Geomatrix Consultants; Ronald Hamburger of EQE International; and Onder Kustu of OAK Engineering.

Funding for this project was provided by Applied Technology Council and R.P. Gallagher Associates.

Christopher Rojahn
ATC Executive Director

Contents

Preface	iii
List of Figures.....	ix
List of Tables	xi
Illustration Credits	xiii
Chapter 1. Introduction.....	1
1.1. Purpose and Scope	1
1.2. Case Studies.....	1
Chapter 2. Building Safety Evaluation	3
2.1. Postearthquake Aftermath	3
2.2. Overview of ATC-20 Building Safety-Evaluation Procedures.....	3
2.3. Qualification of Inspectors.....	4
2.4. Posting System.....	4
2.5. Posting and Barricading Procedures.....	6
2.6. Changing a Posting.....	7
2.7. Aftershocks	7
2.8. Retrieval of Possessions and Salvage.....	8
2.9. Essential Facilities.....	8
2.10. Right to Inspect.....	8
2.11. Safety Evaluation Requires Use of Judgment	8
Chapter 3. Rapid Evaluation Method.....	9
3.1. Rapid Evaluation Criteria.....	9
3.2. Inspection Procedure	9
3.3. Conservative vs. Nonconservative Safety Evaluations.....	9
3.4. Estimates of Damage	12
Chapter 4. Field Safety.....	13
4.1. Safety In The Field	13
4.2. Hazardous Materials.....	13
4.3. Field Equipment	14
Chapter 5. Wood Frame Single Family Dwellings.....	15
Case Study 1: Pre-1940 Dwelling off Its Foundation.....	17
Case Study 2: Owner Refuses to Leave Unsafe Home	21
Case Study 3: Hillside Home with Broken Bracing	24
Case Study 4: Two-Story Home with Leaning Garage.....	28
Case Study 5: Two-Story Home with Stucco Damage.....	32
Case Study 6: Three-Story Residence with Shifted Wall	36
Case Study 7: Home with Broken Chimney.....	39

Case Study 8: Home with Leaning Chimney	42
Chapter 6. Multi-Unit Wood Frame Dwellings	45
Case Study 9: Leaning Three-Story Apartment Building	46
Case Study 10: Two-Story Apartment Building with Wall Damage	51
Case Study 11: Apartment Building with Collapsed Carport	55
Case Study 12: Leaning Four-Story Apartment Building	58
Case Study 13: Building Adjacent to Collapsed Building	62
Case Study 14: New Apartment Complex with Collapsed Building	65
Case Study 15: New Three-Story Apartment Building with Slight Damage	71
Case Study 16: Large Apartment Building with Significant Nonstructural Damage	76
Case Study 17: Four-Story Apartment Building with Racked Walls	82
Chapter 7. Unreinforced Masonry Buildings	87
Case Study 18: Old Brick Building with Partially Collapsed Walls	90
Case Study 19: Small Brick Building with Spalled Walls	94
Case Study 20: Commercial Building with Cracked Brick Walls	98
Case Study 21: Department Store Next to Damaged URM	104
Case Study 22: Falling Hazard at URM Building	108
Case Study 23: Historic Building with Cracked Walls	111
Case Study 24: Badly Cracked Small Brick Building	116
Chapter 8. Older Concrete Buildings	121
Case Study 25: Six-Story Medical Office Building with Cracked Piers	124
Case Study 26: Old Hotel with Cracked Shear Walls	129
Case Study 27: Large Church with Cracked Back Wall	133
Case Study 28: Eight-Story Office Building with Failed Pier	138
Case Study 29: Seven-Story Medical Office Building with Damaged Shear Walls	142
Case Study 30: Undamaged Warehouse Building with Nonductile Frame	148
Case Study 31: Seven-Story Hotel with Fractured Columns	152
Case Study 32: Large Apartment Building with Minor Damage	157
Chapter 9. Tilt-Up Buildings	163
Case Study 33: Home Furnishings Store with Partially Collapsed Roof	165
Case Study 34: Thrift Shop with Leaning Wall	169
Chapter 10. Older Steel Frame Buildings	173
Case Study 35: Eight-Story Older Office Building	175
Case Study 36: Ten-Story Older Office Building	179
Case Study 37: Large Department Store	183
Chapter 11. Modern and Difficult-to-Classify Buildings	189
Case Study 38: Community Cultural Building with Cracked Front Wall	190
Case Study 39: New Mid-Rise Office Building with Nonstructural Damage	194
Case Study 40: Large Hotel with Collapsed Penthouse	198
Case Study 41: Undamaged Telephone Equipment Building	202
Case Study 42: Auxiliary Building at Hospital with Failed Bracing	206
Case Study 43: Industrial Building with Bent Brace	210
Case Study 44: Government Office Building with Failed Columns	214
Case Study 45: Retail Store with Cracked Interior Walls	218
Case Study 46: Parking Structure with Cracked Concrete and Leaning Wall	223
Case Study 47: New Low-Rise Office Building with Extensive Damage	229

Case Study 48: Light Industrial Building with Leaning Front Wall	237
Case Study 49: High-Rise Building with Cracked Shear Walls	242
Chapter 12. Mobile Homes	247
Case Study 50: Collapsed Mobile Home	248
Case Study 51: Shifted Mobile Home	252
Chapter 13. Buildings Damaged by Permanent Ground Deformations	257
Case Study 52: Marina District Home with Racked Walls	259
Case Study 53: Administration Building with Massive Damage	263
Chapter 14. Damage That Is Difficult to Detect	267
Appendix A. Posting Placards	273
Appendix B. Rapid Evaluation Assessment Form	279
References	281
Project Participants	283
ATC Projects and Report Information	285