



Rapid Visual Screening of Buildings for Potential Seismic Hazards: Supporting Documentation

Third Edition

FEMA P-155 / January 2015



FEMA



THIRD EDITION

Rapid Visual Screening of Buildings for Potential Seismic Hazards: Supporting Documentation

Prepared by

APPLIED TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL
201 Redwood Shores Parkway, Suite 240
Redwood City, California 94065
www.ATCouncil.org

Prepared for

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
Michael Mahoney, Project Officer
Mai Tong, Task Monitor
Erin Walsh, Task Monitor
John Gillengerten, Technical Monitor
Washington, D.C.

ATC MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT

Christopher Rojahn (Program Executive)
Jon A. Heintz (Program Manager)
Ayse Hortacsu (Project Manager)
Thomas McLane (Project Manager)

PROJECT REVIEW PANEL

Charles Scawthorn (Chair)
Timothy Brown
Melvyn Green
Laura Kelly
Stephanie King
John Oстераas
Steven Sweeney
Christine Theodoropoulos

PROJECT TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

Bret Lizundia (Project Technical Director)
Michael Griffin
William T. Holmes
Brian Kehoe
Keith Porter
Barry Welliver

PROJECT WORKING GROUP

Sarah Durphy
Charles A. Kircher
Nicolas Luco
Kenneth Rukstales



January 2015



Notice

Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views of the Applied Technology Council (ATC), the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), or the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Additionally, neither ATC, DHS, FEMA, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, nor assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, product, or process included in this publication. Users of information from this publication assume all liability arising from such use.

Preface

In 2011, the Applied Technology Council (ATC), with funding from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) under Task Order Contract HSFEHQ-08-D-0726, commenced a series of projects (ATC-71-4, ATC-71-5, and ATC-71-6) to update the FEMA 154 Report, *Rapid Visual Screening of Buildings for Potential Seismic Hazards: A Handbook* (FEMA, 2002a) and the FEMA 155 report, *Rapid Visual Screening of Buildings for Potential Seismic Hazard: Supporting Documentation* (FEMA, 2002b). The purpose of FEMA 154 and the accompanying FEMA 155, which were developed by ATC under contract to FEMA (ATC-21 Project) and published in 1988, was to provide a methodology to evaluate the seismic safety of a large inventory of buildings quickly and inexpensively, with minimum access to the buildings, and determine those buildings that require a more detailed examination. In 2002, FEMA 154 and FEMA 155 were updated to create a *Second Edition*, based on (1) experience from the widespread use of the original FEMA 154 by federal, state, and municipal agencies and others; (2) new knowledge about the performance of buildings during damaging earthquakes; (3) new knowledge about seismic hazards; and (4) other then-new seismic evaluation and performance prediction tools, such as the FEMA 310 report, *Handbook for the Seismic Evaluation of Buildings - A Prestandard* (FEMA, 1998).

Since the publication of the second edition of FEMA 154 and FEMA 155, there have been several initiatives that have advanced the state-of-the-art in rapid visual screening of buildings for seismic risk. One of these was the development of FEMA P-154 *Rapid Observation of Vulnerability and Estimation of Risk* (ROVER) software for use on smart phones (FEMA, 2014), which enables users to document and transmit data gathered in the field. The rapid visual screening application of ROVER is based on the second edition of FEMA 154 and incorporates several improvements made possible by the electronic calculation capability of the device (e.g., site-specific determinations of the seismic shaking hazard). In addition, users in Oregon and Utah have made some modifications to their application of the FEMA 154 screening process in the course of performing extensive seismic screenings of schools and other buildings.

The objective of the *Third Edition* remains the same as its predecessors: to identify, inventory, and screen buildings that are potentially hazardous. This third edition of the FEMA P-155 provides the technical basis for the rapid visual screening procedure documented in the third edition of the FEMA P-154 (FEMA, 2015), which includes the following enhancements:

- Update of the Data Collection Form, and the addition of an optional more detailed page to the form,
- Update of the Basic Scores and Score Modifiers,
- Update of the ground motion definitions,
- Preparation of additional reference guides,
- Inclusion of additional building types that are prevalent,
- Inclusion of additional considerations, such as nonstructural hazards, existing retrofits, building additions, and adjacency,
- Addition of an optional electronic scoring methodology, and
- Additional information on how to run an effective screening program.

Note that per FEMA's current report numbering system, the third editions of FEMA 154 and FEMA 155 are now referred to as FEMA P-154 and FEMA P-155, respectively.

ATC is indebted to the leadership of Bret Lizundia, Project Technical Director, and to the members of the ATC-71-4, ATC-71-5, and ATC-71-6 Project Teams for their efforts in developing this updated *Supporting Documentation*. The Project Technical Committee, consisting of Michael Griffin, William Holmes, Brian Kehoe, Keith Porter, and Barry Welliver, managed and performed the technical development efforts. Updated scores were developed by Charles Kircher. Sarah Durphy, as a Project Working Group member, provided special assistance in the development of the updated *Handbook*. Andrew Bishop, Brian Kehoe, and Scott Hiner prepared the illustrations for the report. Nicolas Luco and Kenneth Rukstales prepared the seismicity maps in the document. The Project Review Panel, consisting of Charles Scawthorn (chair), Timothy Brown, Melvyn Green, Laura Kelly, Stephanie King, John Osteraas, Steven Sweeney, and Christine Theodoropoulos, provided technical review, advice, and consultation at key stages of the work. A workshop of invited experts was convened to obtain feedback on the updated *Handbook*, and input from this group was instrumental in shaping the final methodology and report. The names and affiliations of all who contributed to this report are provided in the list of Project Participants.

ATC also gratefully acknowledges Michael Mahoney (FEMA Project Officer), Mai Tong (FEMA Task Monitor), Erin Walsh (FEMA Task Monitor), and John Gillengerten (FEMA Technical Monitor) for their input and guidance in the preparation of this document. Ayse Hortacsu and Thomas McLane managed the project and Amber Houchen and Peter N. Mork provided report production services.

Jon A. Heintz
ATC Director of Projects

Christopher Rojahn
ATC Executive Director

Table of Contents

Preface.....	iii
List of Figures.....	xi
List of Tables	xv
1. Introduction	1-1
1.1 Overview, Purpose, and Target Audience.....	1-1
1.2 Impetus for Updating the FEMA 154 Handbook	1-1
1.3 Technical Approach	1-2
1.4 Organization of this Report	1-3
2. Revision Considerations and Conclusions	2-1
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 Paper or Electronic Form	2-1
2.3 Reorganization of the Data Collection Form.....	2-1
2.4 Optional Level 2 Form	2-2
2.5 Scoring Update	2-2
2.6 Ground Motions	2-3
2.7 Seismicity Regions.....	2-4
2.8 Default Soil Type	2-4
2.9 Addressing Negative Scores.....	2-4
2.10 Inclusion of W1A and MH Building Types	2-5
2.11 Update of Occupancy Classes	2-6
2.12 Treatment of Vertical and Plan Irregularities	2-6
2.13 Consideration of Geologic Hazards.....	2-6
2.14 Consideration of Pounding	2-7
2.15 Consideration of Building Additions.....	2-7
2.16 Consideration of Damage and Deterioration.....	2-7
2.17 Consideration of Retrofits	2-7
2.18 Screener Qualifications and Supervising Engineer	2-8
2.19 Rapid Visual Screening in Low Seismicity.....	2-8
2.20 Underlying Performance Objective.....	2-8
2.21 Using FEMA P-154 on High Importance Buildings	2-9
2.22 Screening for Nonstructural Hazards	2-9
2.23 Update of FEMA P-154 ROVER.....	2-9
3. Building Classification	3-1
3.1 Introduction	3-1
3.2 Building Classification Systems in Previous Editions of FEMA 154.....	3-1
3.3 <i>Third Edition</i> Updates to the Building Classification System	3-3

3.4	Occupancy Classes	3-5
3.5	Occupancy Class Mapping	3-8
3.6	Occupancy Load Determination	3-9
4.	Introduction to <i>Third Edition</i> Scoring	4-1
4.1	Introduction.....	4-1
4.2	Review of <i>Second Edition</i> Scores.....	4-1
4.2.1	URM Scoring.....	4-2
4.2.2	Negative Scores	4-2
4.2.3	Beta Values.....	4-2
4.2.4	Mid-Rise and High-Rise Modifiers	4-3
4.2.5	FEMA Building Types	4-3
4.3	Scoring Enhancements for the <i>Third Edition</i>	4-3
4.3.1	Ground Motions.....	4-3
4.3.2	Seismicity Regions	4-4
4.3.3	Default Soil Type.....	4-4
4.3.4	Vertical and Plan Irregularity Score Modifiers.....	4-4
4.3.5	Minimum Scores.....	4-5
4.4	Methodology for Development of <i>Third Edition</i> Basic Scores and Score Modifiers.....	4-5
4.4.1	Defining Collapse	4-5
4.4.2	Calculating Probability of Collapse with HAZUS Methodology.....	4-12
4.4.3	History and Purpose of the OSHPD HAZUS Procedure	4-18
4.4.4	Calculating Collapse Probability with the OSHPD HAZUS Procedure.....	4-19
4.4.5	Use of the OSHPD HAZUS Procedure for FEMA P-154.....	4-23
5.	Development of <i>Third Edition</i> Basic Scores and Modifiers.....	5-1
5.1	Introduction.....	5-1
5.2	Development of <i>Third Edition</i> Basic Scores	5-1
5.2.1	Seismicity Regions	5-1
5.2.2	FEMA Building Types	5-5
5.2.3	Using OSHPD HAZUS Values	5-6
5.2.4	Values for Calculation of Basic Scores	5-8
5.2.6	Adjustment of Betas	5-10
5.3	Sample Calculation of a Basic Score.....	5-10
5.3.1	Step 1. Development of the Capacity Curve.....	5-10
5.3.2	Step 2: Determination of Input Spectral Acceleration Response Values	5-12
5.3.3	Step 3: Computation of Modified Input Spectral Acceleration Response Values, S_{MS} and S_{MI}	5-12
5.3.4	Step 4: Development of a 5%-Damped Demand Response Spectrum.....	5-12
5.3.5	Step 5: Development of Damped Response Spectrum	5-14
5.3.6	Step 6: Determination of Peak Response.....	5-18
5.3.7	Step 7: Development of Fragility Curve.....	5-19
5.3.8	Step 8: Determination of Probability of Complete Damage	5-19
5.3.9	Step 9: Determination of Probability of Collapse.....	5-20

5.3.10	Step 10: Relate Probability of Collapse to an Associated Score	5-20
5.3.11	Step 11: Determine the Basic Score	5-20
5.4	Review of Basic Scores	5-21
5.5	Development of Score Modifiers	5-21
5.5.1	Soil Type Modifiers.....	5-21
5.5.2	Vertical and Plan Irregularities.....	5-22
5.5.3	Pre-Code.....	5-23
5.5.4	Post-Benchmark	5-24
5.5.5	Minimum Score.....	5-27
5.6	Summary of <i>Third Edition</i> Scores.....	5-27
5.7	Development of Level 2 Score Modifiers	5-27
5.7.1	Vertical Irregularity Score Modifiers	5-31
5.7.2	Plan Irregularity Score Modifiers.....	5-31
5.7.3	Other Level 2 Score Modifiers.....	5-36
5.8	Comparison of <i>Second Edition</i> and <i>Third Edition</i> Scores.....	5-39
6.	Pounding	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Overview and Background Research	6-1
6.2.1	Previous Editions of FEMA 154 and FEMA 155	6-1
6.2.2	Building Pounding State of Art: Identifying Structures Vulnerable to Pounding Damage	6-2
6.2.3	Assessment and Improvement of the Structural Performance of Buildings in Earthquakes.....	6-3
6.2.4	Adjacency Issues in Soft-Story Wood Frame Buildings	6-4
6.2.5	ASCE/SEI 41-13 Seismic Evaluation of Existing Buildings	6-4
6.2.6	The Performance of Unreinforced Masonry Buildings in the 2010/2011 Canterbury Earthquake Swarm	6-6
6.3	Pounding Criteria Determination	6-7
6.3.1	Rationale for Pounding Separation Determination.....	6-8
6.3.2	Calculation of Pounding Separation.....	6-8
6.3.3	Validation.....	6-13
7.	Building Additions	7-1
7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.2	Identifying Building Additions	7-1
7.2.1	Structural Connections	7-2
7.2.2	Structural Framing.....	7-3
7.2.3	Size of Addition	7-4
7.3	Implementing Irregularity Recommendations from the Building Additions Reference Guide on the Screening Forms.....	7-4
7.3.1	Level 1 Screening for Additions	7-5
7.3.2	Level 2 Screening for Additions	7-6
8.	Risk Associated with the RVS Score	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Risk versus Probability.....	8-1
8.3	Introducing the Risk Score	8-2
8.4	Calculating the Risk Score	8-3

8.5	Relating the Risk Score to Risk	8-11
9.	Comparison of Paper-Based and Electronic Scoring Methodologies	9-1
9.1	Introduction.....	9-1
9.2	Development of Scores for the Electronic Scoring Methodology.....	9-1
9.3	Interpolating and Extrapolating Basic Scores and Score Modifiers	9-2
9.4	Comparison of Scores Derived with Electronic Scoring versus Paper-Based Scores	9-7
9.5	Conclusions	9-13
10.	Benchmarking Studies and Trial Runs	10-1
10.1	Purpose	10-1
10.2	AOC Benchmarking Study	10-1
10.2.1	Overview of the AOC Seismic Assessment Program	10-1
10.2.2	Selection of Buildings for Benchmarking	10-2
10.2.3	Calculating the RVS Score	10-7
10.2.4	Benchmarking Results	10-9
10.2.5	Benchmarking Conclusions and Recommendations	10-13
10.3	Trial Runs	10-14
10.3.1	Year 1 Trial Runs	10-14
10.3.2	Year 2 Trial Runs	10-15
10.3.3	Year 3 Trial Runs	10-16
10.4	Conclusions	10-16
	Appendix A: <i>Third Edition</i> Scoring Parameters.....	A-1
	References	B-1
	Project Participants.....	C-1