

**Program to Reduce the Earthquake Hazards of  
Steel Moment-Frame Structures**

**Recommended Seismic  
Evaluation and Upgrade  
Criteria for Existing Welded  
Steel Moment-Frame  
Buildings**

## DISCLAIMER

This document provides recommended criteria for the seismic evaluation and upgrade of welded steel moment-frame buildings. The recommendations were developed by practicing engineers based on professional judgment and experience and supported by a large program of laboratory, field, and analytical research. While every effort has been made to solicit comments from a broad selection of the affected parties, this is not a consensus document. **No warranty is offered, with regard to the recommendations contained herein, either by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the SAC Joint Venture, the individual Joint Venture partners, or their directors, members or employees. These organizations and their employees do not assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any of the information, products or processes included in this publication. The reader is cautioned to carefully review the material presented herein and exercise independent judgment as to its suitability for application to specific engineering projects.** These recommended criteria have been prepared by the SAC Joint Venture with funding provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, under contract number EMW-95-C-4770.

---

**Cover Art.** The beam-column connection assembly shown on the cover depicts the standard detailing used in welded steel moment-frame construction prior to the 1994 Northridge earthquake. This connection detail was routinely specified by designers in the period 1970-1994 and was prescribed by the *Uniform Building Code* for seismic applications during the period 1985-1994. It is no longer considered to be an acceptable design for seismic applications. Following the Northridge earthquake, it was discovered that many of these beam-column connections had experienced brittle fractures at the joints between the beam flanges and column flanges.

# **Recommended Seismic Evaluation and Upgrade Criteria for Existing Welded Steel Moment-Frame Buildings**

## **SAC Joint Venture**

**A partnership of  
Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC)  
Applied Technology Council (ATC)  
California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe)**

**Prepared for SAC Joint Venture Partnership by  
Guidelines Development Committee**

Ronald O. Hamburger, Chair

John D. Hooper  
Robert Shaw  
Lawrence D. Reaveley

Thomas Sabol  
C. Mark Saunders  
Raymond H. R. Tide

## **Project Oversight Committee**

William J. Hall, Chair

Shirin Ader  
John M. Barsom  
Roger Ferch  
Theodore V. Galambos  
John Gross  
James R. Harris  
Richard Holguin

Nestor Iwankiw  
Roy G. Johnston  
Len Joseph  
Duane K. Miller  
John Theiss  
John H. Wiggins

## **SAC Project Management Committee**

SEAOC: William T. Holmes  
ATC: Christopher Rojahn  
CUREe: Robin Shepherd

Program Manager: Stephen A. Mahin  
Project Director for Topical Investigations:  
James O. Malley  
Project Director for Product Development:  
Ronald O. Hamburger

## **SAC Joint Venture**

SEAOC: [www.seaoc.org](http://www.seaoc.org)  
ATC: [www.atcouncil.org](http://www.atcouncil.org)  
CUREe: [www.curee.org](http://www.curee.org)

June, 2000

## THE SAC JOINT VENTURE

SAC is a joint venture of the Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC), the Applied Technology Council (ATC), and California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe), formed specifically to address both immediate and long-term needs related to solving performance problems with welded steel moment-frame connections discovered following the 1994 Northridge earthquake. SEAOC is a professional organization composed of more than 3,000 practicing structural engineers in California. The volunteer efforts of SEAOC's members on various technical committees have been instrumental in the development of the earthquake design provisions contained in the *Uniform Building Code* as well as the *National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and Other Structures*. ATC is a nonprofit corporation founded to develop structural engineering resources and applications to mitigate the effects of natural and other hazards on the built environment. Since its inception in the early 1970s, ATC has developed the technical basis for the current model national seismic design codes for buildings; the *de facto* national standard for postearthquake safety evaluation of buildings; nationally applicable guidelines and procedures for the identification, evaluation, and rehabilitation of seismically hazardous buildings; and other widely used procedures and data to improve structural engineering practice. CUREe is a nonprofit organization formed to promote and conduct research and educational activities related to earthquake hazard mitigation. CUREe's eight institutional members are: the California Institute of Technology, Stanford University, the University of California at Berkeley, the University of California at Davis, the University of California at Irvine, the University of California at Los Angeles, the University of California at San Diego, and the University of Southern California. These university earthquake research laboratory, library, computer and faculty resources are among the most extensive in the United States. The SAC Joint Venture allows these three organizations to combine their extensive and unique resources, augmented by consultants and subcontractor universities and organizations from around the nation, into an integrated team of practitioners and researchers, uniquely qualified to solve problems related to the seismic performance of steel moment-frame structures.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for Phases I and II of the SAC Steel Program to Reduce the Earthquake Hazards of Steel Moment-Frame Structures was principally provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, with ten percent of the Phase I program funded by the State of California, Office of Emergency Services. Substantial additional support, in the form of donated materials, services, and data has been provided by a number of individual consulting engineers, inspectors, researchers, fabricators, materials suppliers and industry groups. Special efforts have been made to maintain a liaison with the engineering profession, researchers, the steel industry, fabricators, code-writing organizations and model code groups, building officials, insurance and risk-management groups, and federal and state agencies active in earthquake hazard mitigation efforts. SAC wishes to acknowledge the support and participation of each of the above groups, organizations and individuals. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the contributions provided by the American Institute of Steel Construction, the Lincoln Electric Company, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the National Science Foundation, and the Structural Shape Producers Council. SAC also takes this opportunity to acknowledge the efforts of the project participants – the managers, investigators, writers, and editorial and production staff – whose work has contributed to the development of these documents. Finally, SAC extends special acknowledgement to Mr. Michael Mahoney, FEMA Project Officer, and Dr. Robert Hanson, FEMA Technical Advisor, for their continued support and contribution to the success of this effort.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES.....	ix
LIST OF TABLES .....	xi
1 INTRODUCTION .....	1-1
1.1 Purpose.....	1-1
1.2 Intent.....	1-2
1.3 Background.....	1-3
1.4 Application.....	1-10
1.5 Overview of these Recommended Criteria.....	1-10
2 EVALUATION OVERVIEW .....	2-1
2.1 Scope.....	2-1
2.2 Steel Moment-Frame Building Construction.....	2-1
2.2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2.2 Welded Steel Moment-Frame (WSMF) Construction.....	2-2
2.2.3 Damage to Welded Steel Moment-Frame (WSMF) Construction in the 1994 Northridge, California Earthquake .....	2-4
2.2.4 Damage to Welded Steel Moment-Frame (WSMF) Construction in Other Earthquakes .....	2-5
2.2.5 Post-Northridge Earthquake Construction Practice.....	2-6
2.3 Typical Pre-Northridge Connection Damage .....	2-9
2.3.1 Girder Damage.....	2-10
2.3.2 Column Flange Damage .....	2-12
2.3.3 Weld Damage, Defects, and Discontinuities .....	2-14
2.3.4 Shear Tab Damage.....	2-16
2.3.5 Panel Zone Damage .....	2-17
2.3.6 Other Damage .....	2-19
2.4 Evaluation Procedures .....	2-19
2.5 Material Properties and Condition Assessments .....	2-21
2.5.1 Material Properties.....	2-21
2.5.2 Component Properties.....	2-26
2.5.3 Condition Assessment.....	2-27
2.5.3.1 Scope and Procedures .....	2-28
2.5.3.2 Quantifying Results .....	2-29
3 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION .....	3-1
3.1 Scope.....	3-1
3.2 Performance Definitions .....	3-1
3.2.1 Hazards .....	3-3
3.2.1.1 General.....	3-3
3.2.1.2 Ground Shaking.....	3-3
3.2.1.3 Other Hazards .....	3-5
3.2.2 Performance Levels .....	3-5
3.2.2.1 Nonstructural Performance Levels .....	3-7
3.2.2.2 Structural Performance Levels.....	3-7

	3.2.2.2.1	Collapse Prevention Performance Level .....	3-8
	3.2.2.2.2	Immediate Occupancy Performance Level .....	3-9
3.3		Evaluation Approach .....	3-10
3.4		Analysis .....	3-11
	3.4.1	Alternative Procedures.....	3-11
	3.4.2	Procedure Selection .....	3-13
	3.4.3	Linear Static Procedure.....	3-13
	3.4.3.1	Basis of the Procedure .....	3-13
	3.4.3.2	Period Determination .....	3-16
	3.4.3.3	Determination of Actions and Deformations.....	3-17
	3.4.3.3.1	Pseudo Lateral Load .....	3-17
	3.4.3.3.2	Vertical Distribution of Seismic Forces.....	3-19
	3.4.3.3.3	Horizontal Distribution of Seismic Forces .....	3-19
	3.4.3.3.4	Diaphragms .....	3-19
	3.4.3.3.5	Determination of Interstory Drift.....	3-19
	3.4.3.3.6	Determination of Column Demands .....	3-20
	3.4.4	Linear Dynamic Procedure .....	3-20
	3.4.4.1	Basis of the Procedure .....	3-20
	3.4.4.2	Analysis .....	3-21
	3.4.4.2.1	General .....	3-21
	3.4.4.2.2	Ground Motion Characterization .....	3-22
	3.4.4.3	Determination of Actions and Deformations.....	3-22
	3.4.4.3.1	Factored Interstory Drift Demand .....	3-22
	3.4.4.3.2	Determination of Column Demands.....	3-22
	3.4.5	Nonlinear Static Procedure .....	3-22
	3.4.5.1	Basis of the Procedure .....	3-22
	3.4.5.2	Analysis Considerations .....	3-23
	3.4.5.2.1	General .....	3-23
	3.4.5.2.2	Control Node .....	3-24
	3.4.5.2.3	Lateral Load Patterns.....	3-25
	3.4.5.2.4	Period Determination .....	3-25
	3.4.5.2.5	Analysis of Three-Dimensional Models.....	3-25
	3.4.5.2.6	Analysis of Two-Dimensional Models.....	3-25
	3.4.5.2.7	Connection Modeling .....	3-25
	3.4.5.3	Determination of Actions and Deformations.....	3-25
	3.4.5.3.1	Target Displacement.....	3-25
	3.4.5.3.2	Diaphragms .....	3-25
	3.4.5.3.3	Factored Interstory Drift Demand .....	3-26
	3.4.5.3.4	Multidirectional Effects.....	3-26
	3.4.5.3.5	Factored Column and Column Splice Demands .....	3-26
	3.4.6	Nonlinear Dynamic Procedure.....	3-26
	3.4.6.1	Basis of the Procedure .....	3-26
	3.4.6.2	Analysis Assumptions.....	3-27
	3.4.6.2.1	General .....	3-27
	3.4.6.2.2	Ground Motion Characterization .....	3-27
	3.4.6.3	Determination of Actions and Deformations.....	3-27

3.4.6.3.1	Response Quantities .....	3-27
3.4.6.3.2	Factored Interstory Drift Demand .....	3-27
3.4.6.3.3	Factored Column and Column Splice Demands .....	3-27
3.5	Mathematical Modeling.....	3-28
3.5.1	Basic Assumptions.....	3-28
3.5.2	Frame Configuration.....	3-28
3.5.2.1	Elements Modeled .....	3-28
3.5.2.2	Panel Zone Stiffness .....	3-29
3.5.3	Horizontal Torsion.....	3-29
3.5.4	Foundation Modeling.....	3-30
3.5.5	Diaphragms.....	3-30
3.5.6	<i>P-Δ</i> Effects.....	3-31
3.5.7	Multidirectional Excitation Effects.....	3-33
3.5.8	Vertical Ground Motion.....	3-33
3.6	Acceptance Criteria.....	3-34
3.6.1	Factored-Demand-to-Capacity Ratio .....	3-34
3.6.2	Performance Limited by Interstory Drift Angle .....	3-37
3.6.2.1	Factored Interstory Drift Angle Demand.....	3-37
3.6.2.2	Factored Interstory Drift Angle Capacity .....	3-38
3.6.2.2.1	Global Interstory Drift Angle.....	3-39
3.6.2.2.2	Local Interstory Drift Angle.....	3-39
3.6.3	Performance Limited by Column Compressive Capacity .....	3-40
3.6.3.1	Column Compressive Demand .....	3-40
3.6.3.2	Column Compressive Capacity.....	3-42
3.6.4	Column Splice Capacity .....	3-42
3.6.4.1	Column Splice Tensile Demand .....	3-42
3.6.4.2	Column Splice Tensile Capacity.....	3-42
4	LOSS ESTIMATION .....	4-1
4.1	Scope.....	4-1
4.2	Loss Estimation Methods.....	4-1
4.2.1	Use of Loss Estimation Methods .....	4-3
4.2.2	Scope of Loss Estimation Methods .....	4-4
4.3	Rapid Loss Estimation Method.....	4-4
4.3.1	Introduction.....	4-4
4.3.2	Seismic Demand Characterization.....	4-5
4.3.3	Connection Damage Loss Functions .....	4-7
4.3.4	Connection Restoration Cost Functions .....	4-10
4.3.5	Nonstructural Repair Cost Functions.....	4-12
5	SEISMIC UPGRADE.....	5-1
5.1	Scope.....	5-1
5.2	Codes and Standards.....	5-1
5.3	Upgrade Objectives and Rehabilitation Criteria.....	5-2
5.3.1	Simplified Upgrade.....	5-3
5.3.2	Systematic Upgrade .....	5-4
5.4	Upgrade Strategies.....	5-6

5.4.1	Connection Modifications.....	5-7
5.4.2	Lessening or Removal of Irregularities .....	5-8
5.4.3	Global Structural Stiffening.....	5-8
5.4.4	Global Structural Strengthening .....	5-9
5.4.5	Mass Reduction.....	5-10
5.4.6	Seismic Isolation.....	5-11
5.4.7	Supplemental Energy Dissipation.....	5-12
5.5	As-Built Conditions .....	5-12
5.5.1	General.....	5-12
5.5.2	Material and Section Properties .....	5-13
5.6	Upgrade Components.....	5-13
5.6.1	Material Specifications .....	5-13
5.6.2	Material Strength Properties .....	5-13
5.6.3	Mathematical Modeling.....	5-14
6	CONNECTION QUALIFICATION.....	6-1
6.1	Scope.....	6-1
6.2	Performance Data for Existing Connections .....	6-2
6.2.1	Welded Unreinforced Fully Restrained Connection.....	6-2
6.2.1.1	Modeling Assumptions .....	6-4
6.2.1.1.1	Linear Analysis.....	6-4
6.2.1.1.2	Nonlinear Analysis.....	6-4
6.2.1.2	Performance Qualification Data .....	6-4
6.2.2	Simple Shear Tab Connections – With Slabs .....	6-4
6.2.2.1	Modeling Assumptions .....	6-6
6.2.2.1.1	Linear Analysis.....	6-6
6.2.2.1.2	Nonlinear Analysis.....	6-7
6.2.2.2	Performance Qualification Data .....	6-7
6.2.3	Simple Shear Tab Connections –Without Slabs .....	6-7
6.2.3.1	Modeling Assumptions .....	6-9
6.2.3.2	Performance Qualification Data .....	6-9
6.3	Basic Design Approach for Connection Upgrades .....	6-9
6.3.1	Frame Configuration.....	6-10
6.3.2	Required Drift Angle Capacity .....	6-12
6.3.3	Connection Configuration.....	6-14
6.3.4	Determine Plastic Hinge Locations .....	6-14
6.3.5	Determine Probable Plastic Moment at Hinges.....	6-15
6.3.6	Determine Shear at the Plastic Hinge .....	6-16
6.3.7	Determine Strength Demands at Each Critical Section .....	6-16
6.3.8	Yield Moment .....	6-17
6.4	General Requirements.....	6-18
6.4.1	Framing.....	6-18
6.4.1.1	Beam and Column Strength Ratio .....	6-18
6.4.1.2	Beam Flange Stability.....	6-19
6.4.1.3	Beam Web Stability .....	6-20
6.4.1.4	Beam Span and Depth Effects .....	6-20



6.4.1.5	Beam Flange Thickness Effects.....	6-21
6.4.1.6	Lateral Bracing at Beam Flanges at Plastic Hinges.....	6-22
6.4.1.7	Welded Shear Studs.....	6-22
6.4.2	Welded Joints.....	6-23
6.4.2.1	Through Thickness Strength.....	6-23
6.4.2.2	Base Material Toughness.....	6-24
6.4.2.3	k-Area Properties.....	6-26
6.4.2.4	Weld Filler Metal Matching and Overmatching.....	6-26
6.4.2.5	Weld Metal Toughness.....	6-27
6.4.2.6	Weld Backing, Weld Tabs and other Welding Details.....	6-28
6.4.2.7	Reinforcing Fillet Welds and Weld Overlays.....	6-29
6.4.2.8	Weld Access Hole Size, Shape, Workmanship.....	6-29
6.4.2.9	Welding Quality Control and Quality Assurance.....	6-30
6.4.3	Other Design Issues for Welded Connections.....	6-31
6.4.3.1	Continuity Plates.....	6-31
6.4.3.2	Panel Zone Strength.....	6-34
6.4.3.3	Connections to Column Minor Axis.....	6-35
6.4.3.4	Attachment of Other Construction.....	6-35
6.4.4	Bolted Joint Requirements.....	6-36
6.4.4.1	Existing Conditions.....	6-36
6.4.4.2	Connection Upgrades.....	6-36
6.5	Prequalified Connection Details – General.....	6-36
6.5.1	Load Combinations and Resistance Factors.....	6-37
6.6	Prequalified Connection Upgrades.....	6-37
6.6.1	Improved Welded Unreinforced Flange (IWURF) Connection.....	6-38
6.6.1.1	Design Procedure.....	6-41
6.6.2	Welded Bottom Haunch (WBH) Connection.....	6-42
6.6.2.1	Design Procedure.....	6-42
6.6.3	Welded Top and Bottom Haunch (WTBH) Connection.....	6-45
6.6.3.1	Design Procedure.....	6-47
6.6.4	Welded Cover Plated Flange (WCPF) Connection.....	6-47
6.6.4.1	Design Procedure.....	6-47
6.7	New Moment Frames and Moment-Resisting Connections.....	6-50
6.8	Proprietary Connections.....	6-50
6.8.1	Side Plate (SP) Connection.....	6-52
6.8.2	Slotted Web (SW) Connection.....	6-53
6.8.3	Bolted Bracket (BB) Connection.....	6-55
6.9	Project-Specific Testing of Nonprequalified Connections.....	6-55
6.9.1	Testing Procedure.....	6-56
6.9.2	Acceptance Criteria.....	6-59
6.9.3	Analytical Prediction of Behavior.....	6-60
6.10	Prequalification Testing Criteria.....	6-61
6.10.1	Prequalification Testing.....	6-62
6.10.2	Extending the Limits on Prequalified Connections.....	6-62

APPENDIX A: DETAILED PROCEDURES FOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION.....	A-1
---	-----

A.1	Scope.....	A-1
A.2	Performance Evaluation Approach.....	A-1
	A.2.1 Performance Objectives and Confidence.....	A-1
	A.2.2 Basic Procedure .....	A-4
A.3	Determination of Hazard Parameters.....	A-8
	A.3.1 Spectral Response Acceleration.....	A-8
	A.3.2 Logarithmic Hazard Curve Slope .....	A-8
A.4	Determination of Demand Factors.....	A-10
A.5	Determination of Beam-Column Connection Assembly Capacities .....	A-13
	A.5.1 Connection Test Protocols .....	A-14
	A.5.2 Determination of Beam-Column Assembly Capacity and Resistance Factors.....	A-14
A.6	Global Stability Capacity.....	A-15
APPENDIX B: DETAILED PROCEDURES FOR LOSS ESTIMATION .....		B-1
B.1	Introduction.....	B-1
B.2	Scope.....	B-2
	B.2.1 General.....	B-2
	B.2.2 Typical Welded Steel Moment-Frame (WSMF) Buildings.....	B-2
B.3	Damage States.....	B-3
B.4	Basic Approach.....	B-5
B.5	Required Data – User Input .....	B-7
	B.5.1 Building Capacity Curve .....	B-7
	B.5.2 Structural Response .....	B-10
	B.5.3 Structure Fragility.....	B-13
	B.5.4 Loss Functions .....	B-16
B.6	Example Loss Estimates .....	B-18
REFERENCES, BIBLIOGRAPHY, AND ACRONYMS .....		R-1
SAC PROJECT PARTICIPANTS.....		S-1