

# DISCLAIMER

This document provides practicing engineers and building officials with a resource document for understanding the behavior of steel moment-frame buildings in earthquakes. It is one of the set of six State of the Art Reports containing detailed derivations and explanations of the basis for the design and evaluation recommendations prepared by the SAC Joint Venture. The recommendations and state of the art reports, developed by practicing engineers and researchers, are based on professional judgment and experience and supported by a large program of laboratory, field, and analytical research. No warranty is offered with regard to the recommendations contained herein, by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the SAC Joint Venture, the individual joint venture partners, or the partner's directors, members or employees. These organizations and their employees do not assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any of the information, products or processes included in this publication. The reader is cautioned to review carefully the material presented herein and exercise independent judgment as to its suitability for application to specific engineering projects. This publication has been prepared by the SAC Joint Venture with funding provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, under contract number EMW-95-C-4770.

**Cover Art.** The beam-column connection assembly shown on the cover depicts the standard detailing used in welded, steel moment-frame construction, prior to the 1994 Northridge earthquake. This connection detail was routinely specified by designers in the period 1970-1994 and was prescribed by the *Uniform Building Code* for seismic applications during the period 1985-1994. It is no longer considered to be an acceptable design for seismic applications. Following the Northridge earthquake, it was discovered that many of these beam-column connections had experienced brittle fractures at the joints between the beam flanges and column flanges.

# **State of the Art Report on Base Metals and Fracture**

# **SAC Joint Venture**

A partnership of Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC) Applied Technology Council (ATC) California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe)

Prepared for the SAC Joint Venture Partnership by

Karl H. Frank University of Texas at Austin John M. Barsom

Barsom Consulting, Ltd.

Ronald O. Hamburger

EQE International

# **Project Oversight Committee**

William J. Hall, Chair

Shirin Ader John M. Barsom Roger Ferch Theodore V. Galambos John Gross James R. Harris Richard Holguin Nestor Iwankiw Roy G. Johnston Len Joseph Duane K. Miller John Theiss John H. Wiggins

#### **SAC Project Management Committee**

SEAOC: William T. Holmes ATC: Christoper Rojahn CUREe: Robin Shepherd Program Manager: Stephen A. Mahin Project Director for Topical Investigations: James O. Malley Project Director for Product Development: Ronald O. Hamburger

#### **Topical Investigation Team**

Robert Dexter

Karl H. Frank

#### **Technical Advisory Panel**

John M. Barsom Serge Bouchard Michael F. Engstrom Nestor Iwankiw Dean C. Krouse Frederick V. Lawrence

Robert F. Preece Raymond H. R. Tide

## **SAC Joint Venture**

SEAOC: www.seaoc.org ATC: www.atcouncil.org CUREe: www.curee.org

September 2000

## THE SAC JOINT VENTURE

SAC is a joint venture of the Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC), the Applied Technology Council (ATC), and California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe), formed specifically to address both immediate and long-term needs related to solving performance problems with welded, steel moment-frame connections discovered following the 1994 Northridge earthquake. SEAOC is a professional organization composed of more than 3,000 practicing structural engineers in California. The volunteer efforts of SEAOC's members on various technical committees have been instrumental in the development of the earthquake design provisions contained in the Uniform Building Code and the 1997 National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and other Structures. ATC is a nonprofit corporation founded to develop structural engineering resources and applications to mitigate the effects of natural and other hazards on the built environment. Since its inception in the early 1970s, ATC has developed the technical basis for the current model national seismic design codes for buildings; the de *facto* national standard for postearthquake safety evaluation of buildings; nationally applicable guidelines and procedures for the identification, evaluation, and rehabilitation of seismically hazardous buildings; and other widely used procedures and data to improve structural engineering practice. CUREe is a nonprofit organization formed to promote and conduct research and educational activities related to earthquake hazard mitigation. CUREe's eight institutional members are the California Institute of Technology, Stanford University, the University of California at Berkeley, the University of California at Davis, the University of California at Irvine, the University of California at Los Angeles, the University of California at San Diego, and the University of Southern California. These laboratory, library, computer and faculty resources are among the most extensive in the United States. The SAC Joint Venture allows these three organizations to combine their extensive and unique resources, augmented by subcontractor universities and organizations from across the nation, into an integrated team of practitioners and researchers, uniquely qualified to solve problems related to the seismic performance of steel moment-frame buildings.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for Phases I and II of the SAC Steel Program to Reduce the Earthquake Hazards of Steel Moment-Frame Structures was principally provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, with ten percent of the Phase I program funded by the State of California, Office of Emergency Services. Substantial additional support, in the form of donated materials, services, and data has been provided by a number of individual consulting engineers, inspectors, researchers, fabricators, materials suppliers and industry groups. Special efforts have been made to maintain a liaison with the engineering profession, researchers, the steel industry, fabricators, code-writing organizations and model code groups, building officials, insurance and risk-management groups, and federal and state agencies active in earthquake hazard mitigation efforts. SAC wishes to acknowledge the support and participation of each of the above groups, organizations and individuals. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the contributions provided by the American Institute of Steel Construction, the Lincoln Electric Company, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the National Science Foundation, and the Structural Shape Producers Council. SAC also takes this opportunity to acknowledge the efforts of the project participants - the managers, investigators, writers, and editorial and production staff – whose work has contributed to the development of these documents. Finally, SAC extends special acknowledgement to Mr. Michael Mahoney, FEMA Project Officer, and Dr. Robert Hanson, FEMA Technical Advisor, for their continued support and contribution to the success of this effort.

In Memory of Egor Popov, Professor Emeritus, University of California at Berkeley

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES vii								
LIS	LIST OF TABLES							
1.	INTRODU	JCTION						
	1.1	Purpose						
	1.2	Background						
	1.3	Scope	1-9					
	1.5	1-9						
2.	OVERVIE	W OF STEEL MAKING AND PROCESSING						
	2.1	Steel Making						
		2.1.1 Blast Furnace						
		2.1.2 Open Hearth Furnace (OHF) Steel Making						
		2.1.3 Basic Oxygen Furnace (BOF) Steel Making						
		2.1.4 Electric Furnace Steel Making						
		2.1.5 Ladle Metallurgy						
	2.2	Steel Casting and Characteristics						
		2.2.1 Ingot Casting						
		2.2.2 Continuous Casting						
	2.3	2.3 Characteristics						
		2.3.1 Microstructure						
		2.3.2 Steel Composition						
		2.3.2.1 Killed and Semi-Killed Steels						
		2.3.2.2 Segregation	2-10					
		23221 Segregation and Other Defe	ects in Ingot-Based					
		Products	2-10					
		233 Influence of Thermal History	2-11					
		2.3.5 Phase Transformations	2-11					
		2.3.3.2 Grain Growth	2-13					
		2.3.4 Hot Rolling	2.13					
	2.5.4 Not Rolling		2-15					
2.4 Surface and Embedded Imperfections								
3.	MECHAN	ICAL PROPERTIES OF STEELS						
	3.1	Strength						
		3.1.1 Stress-Strain Curves						
		3.1.2 Yield Strength and Tensile Strength						
		3.1.3 Effects of Stress (Strain) State and Constraint or	1 Strength 3-4					
		3.1.4 Effects of Temperature on Strength						
		3.1.5 Effects of Loading Rates on Strength						
	3.2	Ductility						
	2.2	3.2.1 Effects of Stress (Strain) State and Constraint or	1 Ductility					
		3.2.2 Effects of Temperature on Ductility	3-11					
		3.2.3 Effects of Loading Rate on Ductility	3-12					
	33	Fracture Toughness	3-12					

		3.3.1	Charpy '	V-Notch Fracture Toughness	3-13
		3.3.2	Fracture	Mechanics Concepts	3-13
			3.3.2.1	Effect of Stress (Strain) State and Constraint on Fracture	
				Toughness	3-14
			3.3.2.2	Effect of Temperature on Linear-Elastic Fracture	
				Toughness	
			3323	Effect of Loading Rate on Linear-Elastic Fracture	
			0.0.2.0	Toughness	3-16
	34	Effect	s of Plasti	c Deformation on Steel Properties	3-17
	5.4	Liitet	5 01 1 1050	e Deformation on Steer Properties	
4	4 TENSILE PROPERTIES OF STRUCTURAL STEELS				4-1
	4 1	A 1 General			4-1
	4.2	Mill P	ractice		
	43	Tensil	e Properti	es of Currently Produced Rolled Shapes	1 <u>4</u> _1
	7.5	1 2 1	Effect of	Coupon Location upon Vield Strength	
		$\frac{1}{4}$	Effect of	Estrain Rate upon Vield Strength	
		4.3.2	Viald to	Tansila Strangth Patio	
		4.5.5	Inclustic	Strass Strain Babayiar of Staals	<del>4</del> -J
	1.1	4.J.4 Strong	th of Uist	oria Staals	4-0
	4.4	Juffus	ui oi fiisi	olic Steels	4-7
	4.3		December Du	al Graded Steels upon Expected Strength	4-9
	1.6	4.5.1	Recomm	lended Changes to ASTM Specification	4-12
	4.0	Summ	ary		4-12
5	TUDOUC		CUNERS	STRENCTH OF DOLLED SECTION FLANCES	5 1
э.	5 1	Intro di	CNINESS	STRENGTH OF ROLLED SECTION FLANGES	J-1 5 1
	5.1	Introd		the min Dalamian of Ctarl	3-1 5 1
	5.2 5.2	Cause	s of Anisc	btropic Benavior of Steel	5-1
	5.3	Typica	al Inrough	h-Inickness Properties	
	5.4	Evalua	ation of W	elded 1-Joint Connections	
	5.5	Concl	usions		5-8
~					<b>C</b> 1
0.	CHARPY	V-NU		UGHNESS OF ROLLED SHAPES AND PLATE	0-1
	6.1	Introd	uction		6-1
	6.2	Tough	ness Surv	eys	6-1
		6.2.1	Plate		6-1
		6.2.2	Wide Fla	ange Shape	6-3
	6.3	Summ	ary and C	Comparison of CVN Toughness Surveys	6-9
-					<b>-</b> 1
1.	MATERIA	AL PRO	<b>PERTIE</b>	S AT K-AREA REGION OF WIDE FLANGE SHAPES	
	7.1	Introd	uction		
	7.2	k-Area	a Propertie	es of Rolled Shapes	
	7.3	Conclu	usions		7-5
0					0.1
8.	STRENG		KIATION	IN A913 STEEL	8-1
	8.1	Hardn	ess Surve	y of Shapes	8-1
	8.2	Notch	Toughnes	SS	8-2
	8.3	Streng	th Variati	ons	8-2

	8.4	Conclusions	3-3
REFER	ENCES	S, FEMA REPORTS, SAC REPORTS, AND ACRONYMSF	<b>-</b> 1
SAC PI	HASE I	I PROJECT PARTICIPANTS	5-1