

**Program to Reduce the Earthquake Hazards of  
Steel Moment-Frame Structures**

**State of the Art Report on  
Connection Performance**

## DISCLAIMER

This document provides practicing engineers and building officials with a resource document for understanding the behavior of steel moment-frame buildings in earthquakes. It is one of the set of six State of the Art Reports containing detailed derivations and explanations of the basis for the design and evaluation recommendations prepared by the SAC Joint Venture. The recommendations and state of the art reports, developed by practicing engineers and researchers, are based on professional judgment and experience and supported by a large program of laboratory, field, and analytical research. **No warranty is offered with regard to the recommendations contained herein, by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the SAC Joint Venture, the individual joint venture partners, or the partner's directors, members or employees. These organizations and their employees do not assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any of the information, products or processes included in this publication. The reader is cautioned to review carefully the material presented herein and exercise independent judgment as to its suitability for application to specific engineering projects.** This publication has been prepared by the SAC Joint Venture with funding provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, under contract number EMW-95-C-4770.

---

**Cover Art.** The beam-column connection assembly shown on the cover depicts the standard detailing used in welded steel moment-frame construction prior to the 1994 Northridge earthquake. This connection detail was routinely specified by designers in the period 1970-1994 and was prescribed by the *Uniform Building Code* for seismic applications during the period 1985-1994. It is no longer considered to be an acceptable design for seismic applications. Following the Northridge earthquake, it was discovered that many of these beam-column connections had experienced brittle fractures at the joints between the beam flanges and column flanges.

# State of the Art Report on Connection Performance

## SAC Joint Venture

A partnership of  
**Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC)**  
**Applied Technology Council (ATC)**  
**California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe)**

**Prepared for the SAC Joint Venture Partnership by  
Charles Roeder**

Department of Civil Engineering  
University of Washington

### Project Oversight Committee

William J. Hall, Chair

Shirin Ader  
John M. Barsom  
Roger Ferch  
Theodore V. Galambos  
John Gross

James R. Harris  
Richard Holguin  
Nestor Iwankiw  
Roy G. Johnston  
Len Joseph

Duane K. Miller  
John Theiss  
John H. Wiggins

### SAC Project Management Committee

SEAOC: William T. Holmes  
ATC: Christopher Rojahn  
CUREe: Robin Shepherd

Program Manager: Stephen A. Mahin  
Project Director for Topical Investigations:  
James O. Malley  
Project Director for Product Development:  
Ronald O. Hamburger

### Topical Investigation Team

Jim Anderson  
Hassan Astaneh-Asl  
John M. Barsom  
Vitelmo Bertero

Gregory Deierlein  
Michael Engelhardt  
Gary Fry  
Subash Goel

Matt Johnson  
Sashi Kunnath  
Roberto Leon  
Thomas Murray

James Ricles  
Stephen Schneider  
Bozidar Stojadinovic  
Chia-Ming Uang  
Andrew Whittaker

### Technical Advisory Panel

Charlie Carter  
Robert H. Dodds

Roger Ferch  
John D. Hooper

Egor Popov  
Steve Powell

Stanley T. Rolfe  
Rick Wilkinson

### SAC Joint Venture

SEAOC: [www.seaoc.org](http://www.seaoc.org)

ATC: [www.atcouncil.org](http://www.atcouncil.org)

CUREe: [www.curee.org](http://www.curee.org)

September 2000

## THE SAC JOINT VENTURE

SAC is a joint venture of the Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC), the Applied Technology Council (ATC), and California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe), formed specifically to address both immediate and long-term needs related to solving performance problems with welded, steel moment-frame connections discovered following the 1994 Northridge earthquake. SEAOC is a professional organization composed of more than 3,000 practicing structural engineers in California. The volunteer efforts of SEAOC's members on various technical committees have been instrumental in the development of the earthquake design provisions contained in the *Uniform Building Code* and the 1997 *National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and other Structures*. ATC is a nonprofit corporation founded to develop structural engineering resources and applications to mitigate the effects of natural and other hazards on the built environment. Since its inception in the early 1970s, ATC has developed the technical basis for the current model national seismic design codes for buildings; the *de facto* national standard for postearthquake safety evaluation of buildings; nationally applicable guidelines and procedures for the identification, evaluation, and rehabilitation of seismically hazardous buildings; and other widely used procedures and data to improve structural engineering practice. CUREe is a nonprofit organization formed to promote and conduct research and educational activities related to earthquake hazard mitigation. CUREe's eight institutional members are the California Institute of Technology, Stanford University, the University of California at Berkeley, the University of California at Davis, the University of California at Irvine, the University of California at Los Angeles, the University of California at San Diego, and the University of Southern California. These laboratory, library, computer and faculty resources are among the most extensive in the United States. The SAC Joint Venture allows these three organizations to combine their extensive and unique resources, augmented by subcontractor universities and organizations from across the nation, into an integrated team of practitioners and researchers, uniquely qualified to solve problems related to the seismic performance of steel moment-frame buildings.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for Phases I and II of the SAC Steel Program to Reduce the Earthquake Hazards of Steel Moment-Frame Structures was principally provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, with ten percent of the Phase I program funded by the State of California, Office of Emergency Services. Substantial additional support, in the form of donated materials, services, and data has been provided by a number of individual consulting engineers, inspectors, researchers, fabricators, materials suppliers and industry groups. Special efforts have been made to maintain a liaison with the engineering profession, researchers, the steel industry, fabricators, code-writing organizations and model code groups, building officials, insurance and risk-management groups, and federal and state agencies active in earthquake hazard mitigation efforts. SAC wishes to acknowledge the support and participation of each of the above groups, organizations and individuals. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the contributions provided by the American Institute of Steel Construction, the Lincoln Electric Company, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the National Science Foundation, and the Structural Shape Producers Council. SAC also takes this opportunity to acknowledge the efforts of the project participants – the managers, investigators, writers, and editorial and production staff – whose work has contributed to the development of these documents. Finally, SAC extends special acknowledgement to Mr. Michael Mahoney, FEMA Project Officer, and Dr. Robert Hanson, FEMA Technical Advisor, for their continued support and contribution to the success of this effort.

In Memory of Egor Popov, Professor Emeritus, University of California at Berkeley

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES .....	vii
LIST OF TABLES .....	xi
<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Purpose.....	1-1
1.2 Background.....	1-3
1.3 Historic Connections.....	1-10
1.4 Development of Pre-Northridge Connection .....	1-14
1.5 Organization of Report .....	1-17
<b>2. PRE-NORTHRIDGE CONNECTIONS AND FACTORS AFFECTING THEIR PERFORMANCE.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Welded-Flange-Bolted-Web Connection.....	2-1
2.1.1 Evolution of the Research.....	2-1
2.1.2 Evolution of Professional Practice in Steel Frame Construction.....	2-4
2.1.3 Issues of Concern.....	2-7
2.2 Modes of Failure .....	2-8
2.2.1 Effect of Member Size and Geometry .....	2-10
2.2.2 Effect of Panel Zone Yielding .....	2-12
2.2.3 Effect of Bolts and Bolt Type .....	2-19
2.2.4 Material Properties of Steel .....	2-19
2.2.5 Weld Type and Procedures .....	2-22
2.2.6 Weld Preparation and Weld Access Hole Geometry .....	2-23
2.2.7 Slenderness and Local Buckling Considerations.....	2-24
2.2.8 Continuity Plate Requirements .....	2-26
2.2.9 Effect of Load and Deformation History .....	2-26
2.3 Methods of Predicting Strength, Stiffness and Hysteretic Behavior .....	2-29
2.3.1 Rotational Capacity.....	2-31
<b>3. POST-NORTHRIDGE WELDED FLANGE CONNECTIONS.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.2 Post-Northridge Connections.....	3-1
3.3 Unreinforced Connections .....	3-3
3.3.1 Welded-Flange-Bolted-Web Connections with Improved Welding.....	3-3
3.3.2 Welded-Flange-Bolted-Web Connections with Improved Weld Access Hole Details .....	3-11
3.3.3 Welded-Flange-Welded-Web Connections with Improved Weld Access Hole Details .....	3-16
3.3.4 Free-Flange Connection.....	3-22
3.4 Reinforced Connections.....	3-30
3.4.1 Haunched Connections .....	3-30
3.4.2 Coverplate Connections.....	3-40

---

3.5	Reduced-Beam-Section (RBS) Connections .....	3-56
3.6	Welded-Flange-Plate Connections .....	3-73
4.	OTHER ISSUES FOR POST-NORTHRIDGE WELDED FLANGE CONNECTIONS .....	4-1
4.1	General Introduction .....	4-1
4.2	Continuity Plates .....	4-2
4.3	Shear Yielding of Panel Zone .....	4-6
4.4	Strong-Column-Weak-Beam Connection Requirements .....	4-9
4.5	Weak-Axis-Column-Bending Connections .....	4-10
4.6	Lateral Torsional and Local Buckling .....	4-14
4.7	Deep Columns .....	4-17
4.8	Box Columns .....	4-20
4.9	Composite Slabs .....	4-27
4.10	Temperature Effects .....	4-30
4.11	Dynamic Loading .....	4-33
5.	FIELD-BOLTED CONNECTIONS FOR STEEL MOMENT FRAMES .....	5-1
5.1	Introduction .....	5-1
5.2	PR Connections .....	5-1
5.2.1	Modes of Failure, Yield Mechanisms, and Rotational Capacity of PR Connections .....	5-4
5.3	Stiff PR Connections .....	5-7
5.3.1	Extended-End-Plate Connections .....	5-7
5.3.2	Bolted-Flange-Plate Connections .....	5-23
5.4	PR Connections with Intermediate Stiffness .....	5-34
5.4.1	T-Stub Connection .....	5-35
5.5	Flexible PR Connections .....	5-48
5.5.1	Double-Flange-Angle Connections .....	5-48
5.5.2	Web-Angle Connections, Shear Tab Connections, and Other Web-Only Connections .....	5-53
6.	SUPPLEMENTAL AND OTHER CONNECTIONS .....	6-1
6.1	General Discussion of Concept .....	6-1
6.2	Supplemental Connections – Composite PR Connections .....	6-1
6.2.1	Composite-Shear-Tab Connections .....	6-1
6.2.2	Other Composite PR Connections .....	6-8
6.3	Supplemental Connections – Weld Overlay Connections .....	6-13
6.4	Supplemental Connections – Connections with Friction and Damping .....	6-19
6.5	Other Connections .....	6-20
7.	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND UNRESOLVED ISSUES .....	7-1
7.1	Summary .....	7-1
7.2	Conclusions .....	7-2
7.3	Unresolved Issues .....	7-5

---

REFERENCES, FEMA REPORTS, SAC REPORTS, NOTATION, AND ACRONYMS.....R-1

SAC PHASE II PROJECT PARTICIPANTS .....S-1