



**Program to Reduce the Earthquake Hazards of  
Steel Moment-Frame Structures**

**State of the Art Report on  
Past Performance of Steel  
Moment-Frame Buildings  
in Earthquakes**

## DISCLAIMER

This document provides practicing engineers and building officials with a resource document for understanding the behavior of steel moment-frame buildings in earthquakes. It is one of the set of six State of the Art Reports containing detailed derivations and explanations of the basis for the design and evaluation recommendations prepared by the SAC Joint Venture. The recommendations and state of the art reports, developed by practicing engineers and researchers, are based on professional judgment and experience and supported by a large program of laboratory, field, and analytical research. **No warranty is offered with regard to the recommendations contained herein, by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the SAC Joint Venture, the individual joint venture partners, or the partner's directors, members or employees. These organizations and their employees do not assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any of the information, products or processes included in this publication. The reader is cautioned to review carefully the material presented herein and exercise independent judgment as to its suitability for application to specific engineering projects.** This publication has been prepared by the SAC Joint Venture with funding provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, under contract number EMW-95-C-4770.

---

**Cover Art.** The beam-column connection assembly shown on the cover depicts the standard detailing used in welded steel moment-frame construction prior to the 1994 Northridge earthquake. This connection detail was routinely specified by designers in the period 1970-1994 and was prescribed by the *Uniform Building Code* for seismic applications during the period 1985-1994. It is no longer considered to be an acceptable design for seismic applications. Following the Northridge earthquake, it was discovered that many of these beam-column connections had experienced brittle fractures at the joints between the beam flanges and column flanges.

# **State of the Art Report on Past Performance of Steel Moment-Frame Buildings in Earthquakes**

## **SAC Joint Venture**

**A partnership of  
Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC)  
Applied Technology Council (ATC)  
California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREE)**

**Prepared for the SAC Joint Venture Partnership by**

**Evan Reis**  
Comartin-Reis

**David Bonowitz**

### **Project Oversight Committee**

William J. Hall, Chair

Shirin Ader  
John M. Barsom  
Roger Ferch  
Theodore V. Galambos  
John Gross

James R. Harris  
Richard Holguin  
Nestor Iwankiw  
Roy G. Johnston  
Len Joseph

Duane K. Miller  
John Theiss  
John H. Wiggins

### **SAC Project Management Committee**

SEAOC: William T. Holmes  
ATC: Christopher Rojahn  
CUREE: Robin Shepherd

Program Manager: Stephen A. Mahin  
Project Director for Topical Investigations:  
James O. Malley  
Project Director for Product Development:  
Ronald O. Hamburger

### **Topical Investigation Team**

Peter Clark  
Michael Durkin  
James Goltz

Bruce Maison  
Peter Maranian  
Terrence Paret

Maryann Phipps  
Allan Porush

### **Technical Advisory Panel**

Jacques Cattan  
Gary C. Hart  
Y. Henry Huang  
Helmut Krawinkler

Dennis Randall  
Andrei Reinhorn  
Arthur E. Ross

C. Mark Saunders  
W. Lee Shoemaker  
John Theiss

### **SAC Joint Venture**

SEAOC: [www.seaoc.org](http://www.seaoc.org)  
ATC: [www.atcouncil.org](http://www.atcouncil.org)  
CUREE: [www.curee.org](http://www.curee.org)

September 2000

## THE SAC JOINT VENTURE

SAC is a joint venture of the Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC), the Applied Technology Council (ATC), and California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe), formed specifically to address both immediate and long-term needs related to solving performance problems with welded, steel moment-frame connections discovered following the 1994 Northridge earthquake. SEAOC is a professional organization composed of more than 3,000 practicing structural engineers in California. The volunteer efforts of SEAOC's members on various technical committees have been instrumental in the development of the earthquake design provisions contained in the *Uniform Building Code* and the 1997 *National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and other Structures*. ATC is a nonprofit corporation founded to develop structural engineering resources and applications to mitigate the effects of natural and other hazards on the built environment. Since its inception in the early 1970s, ATC has developed the technical basis for the current model national seismic design codes for buildings; the *de facto* national standard for postearthquake safety evaluation of buildings; nationally applicable guidelines and procedures for the identification, evaluation, and rehabilitation of seismically hazardous buildings; and other widely used procedures and data to improve structural engineering practice. CUREe is a nonprofit organization formed to promote and conduct research and educational activities related to earthquake hazard mitigation. CUREe's eight institutional members are the California Institute of Technology, Stanford University, the University of California at Berkeley, the University of California at Davis, the University of California at Irvine, the University of California at Los Angeles, the University of California at San Diego, and the University of Southern California. These laboratory, library, computer and faculty resources are among the most extensive in the United States. The SAC Joint Venture allows these three organizations to combine their extensive and unique resources, augmented by subcontractor universities and organizations from across the nation, into an integrated team of practitioners and researchers, uniquely qualified to solve problems related to the seismic performance of steel moment-frame buildings.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for Phases I and II of the SAC Steel Program to Reduce the Earthquake Hazards of Steel Moment-Frame Structures was principally provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, with ten percent of the Phase I program funded by the State of California, Office of Emergency Services. Substantial additional support, in the form of donated materials, services, and data has been provided by a number of individual consulting engineers, inspectors, researchers, fabricators, materials suppliers and industry groups. Special efforts have been made to maintain a liaison with the engineering profession, researchers, the steel industry, fabricators, code-writing organizations and model code groups, building officials, insurance and risk-management groups, and federal and state agencies active in earthquake hazard mitigation efforts. SAC wishes to acknowledge the support and participation of each of the above groups, organizations and individuals. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the contributions provided by the American Institute of Steel Construction, the Lincoln Electric Company, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the National Science Foundation, and the Structural Shape Producers Council. SAC also takes this opportunity to acknowledge the efforts of the project participants – the managers, investigators, writers, and editorial and production staff – whose work has contributed to the development of these documents. Finally, SAC extends special acknowledgement to Mr. Michael Mahoney, FEMA Project Officer, and Dr. Robert Hanson, FEMA Technical Advisor, for their continued support and contribution to the success of this effort.

In Memory of Egor Popov, Professor Emeritus, University of California at Berkeley

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES .....	vii
LIST OF TABLES .....	ix
1. INTRODUCTION .....	1-1
1.1 Purpose.....	1-2
1.2 Background.....	1-2
1.3 Overview.....	1-9
1.4 Approach.....	1-11
1.5 Limitations .....	1-11
1.6 Summary .....	1-14
2. DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF WSMFs IN SEISMIC AREAS .....	2-1
2.1 Cast Iron and Wrought Iron Construction .....	2-1
2.2 Transition to Steel.....	2-3
2.3 Evolution to Moment Frames with Bolted Connections .....	2-3
2.4 Welded Moment Frames and the “Pre-Northridge” Connection.....	2-4
2.5 Optimized Design .....	2-8
3. TESTING OF STEEL MOMENT-FRAME CONNECTIONS.....	3-1
3.1 Early Testing.....	3-4
3.2 Bouwkamp and Clough; Popov and Franklin; Beedle (1965).....	3-5
3.3 Popov and Pinkney (1969).....	3-6
3.4 Bertero, Popov, and Krawinkler (1972).....	3-6
3.5 Popov and Stephen (1972), Popov and Bertero (1973) .....	3-7
3.6 Popov, Amin, Louie, and Stephen (1985).....	3-7
3.7 Popov (1987).....	3-8
3.8 Popov and Tsai (1987); Tsai and Popov (1988) .....	3-8
3.9 Popov, Tsai, and Engelhardt (1988) .....	3-8
3.10 Anderson and Linderman (1991).....	3-9
3.11 Schneider, Roeder, and Carpenter (1993).....	3-9
3.12 Engelhardt and Husain (1993) .....	3-9
3.13 Roeder and Foutch (1996) .....	3-10
3.14 Connection Testing Since Northridge.....	3-11
4. CODES AND STANDARDS FOR STEEL MOMENT FRAMES .....	4-1
4.1 1906-1924 .....	4-5
4.2 1925-1932 .....	4-6
4.3 1933-1958 .....	4-6
4.4 1959-1965 .....	4-7
4.5 1966-1985 .....	4-7
4.6 1986-1988 .....	4-9
4.7 1989-1993 .....	4-10

5. PERFORMANCE OF STEEL FRAME BUILDINGS IN PAST EARTHQUAKES .....	5-1
5.1 San Francisco, 1906.....	5-3
5.2 Kanto, Japan, 1923.....	5-11
5.3 Santa Barbara, 1925.....	5-11
5.4 Long Beach, 1933.....	5-12
5.5 Kern County, 1952.....	5-12
5.6 Prince William Sound, Alaska, 1964.....	5-12
5.7 San Fernando, 1971.....	5-14
5.8 Mexico City, 1985.....	5-17
5.9 Loma Prieta, 1989.....	5-19
5.10 Landers and Big Bear, 1992.....	5-22
6. PERFORMANCE OF WSMFs IN THE 1994 NORTHRIDGE EARTHQUAKE .....	6-1
6.1 Early Findings and Engineering Response .....	6-2
6.2 New Regulation .....	6-3
6.3 Social, Economic, and Political Effects.....	6-4
6.3.1 Changes in Practice.....	6-5
6.3.2 Legislation and Public Policy .....	6-6
6.3.3 Legal Implications .....	6-9
6.4 Damage Data.....	6-10
6.4.1 W1 Flaws .....	6-12
6.4.2 Damage Data.....	6-12
6.4.3 Using the Damage Data .....	6-14
6.5 Case Studies.....	6-16
6.5.1 Krawinkler et al. ....	6-19
6.5.2 Engelhardt et al. ....	6-19
6.5.3 Hart et al.....	6-19
6.5.4 Naeim et al. ....	6-20
6.5.5 Uang et al. ....	6-20
6.5.6 Kariotis and Eimani .....	6-20
6.5.7 Paret and Sasaki .....	6-21
6.5.8 Santa Clarita City Hall (Green) .....	6-21
6.5.9 Anderson, Johnston, and Partridge .....	6-21
6.5.10 Borax Corporate Headquarters (Hajjar et al.).....	6-22
APPENDIX A. WSMF DATA FROM THE NORTHRIDGE EARTHQUAKE.....	A-1
APPENDIX B. NORTHRIDGE EARTHQUAKE WSMF BUILDING DAMAGE. ....	B-1
B.1 Introduction.....	B-1
B.2 Connection Component Damage.....	B-1
B.3 Sources of Building Survey Data.....	B-2
B.4 Seismic Demands.....	B-3
B.5 Building Database.....	B-4
B.6 Summary Statistics.....	B-4
B.7 References.....	B-11

APPENDIX C. OVERVIEW OF DAMAGE TO STEEL BUILDING STRUCTURES  
OBSERVED IN THE 1995 KOBE EARTHQUAKE. ....C-1

C.1 Summary .....C-1

C.2 Introduction.....C-1

C.3 Damage to Steel Buildings .....C-2

C.4 General Damage Statistics for Modern Steel Buildings .....C-4

C.5 Damage to Members in Modern Buildings.....C-4

C.6 Design and Construction Practices Before Kobe Earthquake Damage .....C-6

C.7 Comparison of Building Damage in the U.S. and Japan .....C-8

C.8 Partial Summary of Post-Kobe Japanese Research .....C-10

C.9 Conclusions.....C-13

APPENDIX D. DAMAGE TO STEEL BUILDINGS DUE TO THE  
SEPTEMBER 21, 1999 JI JI, TAIWAN EARTHQUAKE ..... D-1

REFERENCES, FEMA REPORTS, SAC REPORTS, AND ACRONYMS .....R-1

SAC PHASE II PROJECT PARTICIPANTS .....S-1