



**Background
Document**

**Evaluation of the Effect of Welding Procedure on the
Mechanical Properties of FCAW-S and SMAW Weld Metal
used in the Construction of Seismic Moment Frames**

Report No. SAC/BD-00/12

SAC Joint Venture

A partnership of

Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC)

Applied Technology Council (ATC)

California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe)

By

Matt Johnson

Edison Welding Institute

Columbus, Ohio

Submitted for distribution to

SAC Joint Venture

650-595-1542

<http://www.sacsteel.org>

September 24, 2000

DISCLAIMER

This document is one of a series documenting background information related to Phase II of the FEMA-funded SAC Steel Project. It is being disseminated in the public interest to increase awareness of the many factors which contribute to the seismic performance of steel moment frame structures. The information contained herein is not for design use and is not acceptable to specific building projects. This report has not been reviewed for accuracy, and the SAC Joint Venture has not verified any of the results presented. **No warranty is offered with regard to the recommendations contained herein, by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the SAC Joint Venture, the individual joint venture partners, or the partner's directors, members or employees. These organizations and their employees do not assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any of the information, products or processes included in this publication. The reader is cautioned to review carefully the material presented herein and exercise independent judgment as to its suitability for application to specific engineering projects.** This publication has been prepared by the SAC Joint Venture with funding provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, under contract number EMW-95-C-4770.



***Background
Document***

**Evaluation of the Effect of Welding Procedure on the
Mechanical Properties of FCAW-S and SMAW Weld Metal
used in the Construction of Seismic Moment Frames**

Report No. SAC/BD-00/12

SAC Joint Venture

A partnership of

Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC)

Applied Technology Council (ATC)

California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe)

By

Matt Johnson

Edison Welding Institute

Columbus, Ohio

Submitted for distribution to

SAC Joint Venture

650-595-1542

<http://www.sacsteel.org>

September 24, 2000

THE SAC JOINT VENTURE

SAC is a joint venture of the Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC), the Applied Technology Council (ATC), and California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe), formed specifically to address both immediate and long-term needs related to solving performance problems with welded, steel moment-frame connections discovered following the 1994 Northridge earthquake. SEAOC is a professional organization composed of more than 3,000 practicing structural engineers in California. The volunteer efforts of SEAOC's members on various technical committees have been instrumental in the development of the earthquake design provisions contained in the *Uniform Building Code* and the 1997 *National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and other Structures*. ATC is a nonprofit corporation founded to develop structural engineering resources and applications to mitigate the effects of natural and other hazards on the built environment. Since its inception in the early 1970s, ATC has developed the technical basis for the current model national seismic design codes for buildings; the *de facto* national standard for postearthquake safety evaluation of buildings; nationally applicable guidelines and procedures for the identification, evaluation, and rehabilitation of seismically hazardous buildings; and other widely used procedures and data to improve structural engineering practice. CUREe is a nonprofit organization formed to promote and conduct research and educational activities related to earthquake hazard mitigation. CUREe's eight institutional members are the California Institute of Technology, Stanford University, the University of California at Berkeley, the University of California at Davis, the University of California at Irvine, the University of California at Los Angeles, the University of California at San Diego, and the University of Southern California. These laboratory, library, computer and faculty resources are among the most extensive in the United States. The SAC Joint Venture allows these three organizations to combine their extensive and unique resources, augmented by subcontractor universities and organizations from across the nation, into an integrated team of practitioners and researchers, uniquely qualified to solve problems related to the seismic performance of steel moment-frame buildings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for Phases I and II of the SAC Steel Program to Reduce the Earthquake Hazards of Steel Moment-Frame Structures was principally provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, with ten percent of the Phase I program funded by the State of California, Office of Emergency Services. Substantial additional support, in the form of donated materials, services, and data has been provided by a number of individual consulting engineers, inspectors, researchers, fabricators, materials suppliers and industry groups. Special efforts have been made to maintain a liaison with the engineering profession, researchers, the steel industry, fabricators, code-writing organizations and model code groups, building officials, insurance and risk-management groups, and federal and state agencies active in earthquake hazard mitigation efforts. SAC wishes to acknowledge the support and participation of each of the above groups, organizations and individuals. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the contributions provided by the American Institute of Steel Construction, the Lincoln Electric Company, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the National Science Foundation, and the Structural Shape Producers Council. SAC also takes this opportunity to acknowledge the efforts of the project participants – the managers, investigators, writers, and editorial and production staff – whose work has contributed to the development of these documents. Finally, SAC extends special acknowledgement to Mr. Michael Mahoney, FEMA Project Officer, and Dr. Robert Hanson, FEMA Technical Advisor, for their continued support and contribution to the success of this effort.

PREFACE

The primary objectives of the FEMA/SAC Phase II Steel Project are to develop guidelines for the seismic evaluation, inspection, repair, design and construction of moment-resisting steel frame buildings. A diverse collection of technical investigations is supporting this effort, including the identification of basic material properties in rolled steel sections; development of appropriate welding materials, details, and inspection procedures; specification of anticipated seismic demands imposed on connections as a result of structural response to strong ground motions; and large-scale connection testing to calibrate and verify the design procedures that are ultimately proposed. Tying these activities together is a series of detailed finite element analyses of various connection configurations to quantify the influence of material properties, geometry, and detailing on predicted behavior. In addition, a series of studies have been performed to incorporate the results of the various investigations into a performance-based seismic engineering format that can become the basis of the SAC guidelines. Cost and risk studies and investigations into the past performance of this class of structures were also performed to gather valuable information used in the development of the guidelines and other documents.

This report was carried out as part of the overall efforts of the Welding and Inspection team of the SAC Phase II Steel Project. This team was responsible for assessing the factors that effect the behavior of complete joint penetration welds of the type used in steel beam to column connections, assessing the ability of nondestructive evaluation methods to detect and characterize weld defects, and developing weld acceptance criteria considering the properties of the welds, the applied deformations or stress conditions, and likely local defects and imperfections. A variety of tests, theoretical studies and finite element analyses were conducted as part of this task. The work in this task was closely linked to parallel efforts and full size connections tests carried out by the Connection Performance and Materials and Fracture teams.

This report focuses on a literature survey, tests and metallurgical analyses to assess the effect of processes, procedures and conditions on the properties of complete joint penetration welds of the type used in steel beam to column connections. Flux Core Arc Welding and Shielded Metal Arc Welding were considered as were various welding parameters, such as electrode specification and heat input. The effects of variables such as preheat, inter-pass temperature, cooling rate were considered as well as wind speed. This work was conducted at the Edison Welding Institute in Columbus, Ohio. This project was identified as Task 5.2.3 in the SAC Phase II work plan.

Numerous individuals helped to develop the scope and content of this project and to review a preliminary version of this report. These individuals included members of the Technical Advisory Panel (TAP) for Welding and Inspection; the Project Management Committee, and several members of the Project Oversight Committee and Connection Performance TAP. The contributions of these individuals are greatly appreciated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND.....	1
1.1 AWS Classification Requirements	3
1.2 Materials and Experimental Procedure	6
1.2.1 Electrodes.....	6
1.2.2 Welding.....	6
1.2.3 Testing and Analysis	7
1.3 Results and Discussion	7
1.4 Summary and Conclusions	12
2.HYDROGEN MANAGEMENT IN STRUCTURAL STEEL WELDMENTS.....	29
2.1 Background.....	29
2.2 Introduction	29
2.2.1 Introduction of Hydrogen into the Weld Pool.....	30
2.2.2 Sources of Hydrogen.....	30
2.2.3 Effects of Hydrogen on the Mechanical Properties of Steel.....	31
2.2.3.1 Effect of Hydrogen on Ductility	32
2.2.3.2 Fisheyes In Weld Metal Fracture Surfaces	33
2.2.3.3 Hydrogen Assisted Cracking.....	34
2.3 Approaches to Determine Preheat	35
2.3.1 AWS D1.1-Preheat for Prequalified Applications	35
2.3.2 AWS D1.1 Hardness Control Method.....	36
2.3.3 AWS D1.1 Hydrogen Control Method	37
2.3.4 TWI Nomograms	38
2.3.5 Approaches Developed by Yurioka	38
2.3.5.1 Hardness Control.....	38
2.3.5.2 Hydrogen Control.....	39
2.3.5.3 Definition of Variables for Yurioka Method	41
2.3.5.4 Yurioka Chart Method	42
2.3.6 Hydrogen Management For FCAW	43
2.3.6.1 Diffusible Hydrogen In FCA Weldments.....	44
2.3.6.2 Hydrogen Assisted Cracking in FCA Weldments	47
2.3.6.3 Cracking in Multipass Welds	50
2.3.6.4 Hydrogen Removal Through Low Temperature PWHT	51
2.4 Materials and Experimental Procedure	53
2.4.1 Materials	53
2.4.2 Diffusible Hydrogen Analysis.....	53
2.4.3 Hydrogen Assisted Cracking Tests	54
2.4.4 Atmospheric Exposure Testing	54
2.5 Results and Discussion	54
2.5.1 Effect of Welding Procedure on Diffusible Hydrogen Concentration.....	54

2.5.2	Effect of Atmospheric Exposure	55
2.5.3	Evaluation of Preheat Criteria	56
2.5.3.1	Comparison with Predictive Methods	56
2.5.4	Commentary on Aging and Low-Temperature PWHT	57
2.6	Summary and Conclusions	59
3	EFFECT OF WIND SPEED	81
3.1	Introduction and Background	81
3.2	Experimental Approach	82
3.2.1	Weld Metal Soundness Study	82
3.2.2	Weld Metal Mechanical Property Study	82
3.3	Results and Discussion	83
3.4	Conclusions	86
4	REFERENCES	98