



***Background
Document***

**Cyclic Instability of Steel Moment Connections with
Reduced Beam Sections**

Report No. SAC/BD-99/19

SAC Joint Venture

**A partnership of
Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC)
Applied Technology Council (ATC)
California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe)**

By

Chia-Ming Uang and Chao-Chin Fan

**Department of Structural Engineering
University of California, San Diego**

**Submitted for distribution to
SAC Joint Venture
650-595-1542
<http://www.sacsteel.org>**

December 1999

DISCLAIMER

This document is one of a series documenting background information related to Phase II of the FEMA-funded SAC Steel Project. It is being disseminated in the public interest to increase awareness of the many factors which contribute to the seismic performance of steel moment frame structures. The information contained herein is not for design use and is not acceptable to specific building projects. This report has not been reviewed for accuracy, and the SAC Joint Venture has not verified any of the results presented. **No warranty is offered with regard to the recommendations contained herein, by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the SAC Joint Venture, the individual joint venture partners, or the partner's directors, members or employees. These organizations and their employees do not assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any of the information, products or processes included in this publication. The reader is cautioned to review carefully the material presented herein and exercise independent judgment as to its suitability for application to specific engineering projects.** This publication has been prepared by the SAC Joint Venture with funding provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, under contract number EMW-95-C-4770.



***Background
Document***

**Cyclic Instability of Steel Moment Connections with
Reduced Beam Sections**

Report No. SAC/BD-99/19

SAC Joint Venture

A partnership of

Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC)

Applied Technology Council (ATC)

California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe)

By

Chia-Ming Uang and Chao-Chin Fan

Department of Structural Engineering

University of California, San Diego

Submitted for distribution to

SAC Joint Venture

650-595-1542

<http://www.sacsteel.org>

December 1999

THE SAC JOINT VENTURE

SAC is a joint venture of the Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC), the Applied Technology Council (ATC), and California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe), formed specifically to address both immediate and long-term needs related to solving performance problems with welded, steel moment-frame connections discovered following the 1994 Northridge earthquake. SEAOC is a professional organization composed of more than 3,000 practicing structural engineers in California. The volunteer efforts of SEAOC's members on various technical committees have been instrumental in the development of the earthquake design provisions contained in the *Uniform Building Code* and the 1997 *National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and other Structures*. ATC is a nonprofit corporation founded to develop structural engineering resources and applications to mitigate the effects of natural and other hazards on the built environment. Since its inception in the early 1970s, ATC has developed the technical basis for the current model national seismic design codes for buildings; the *de facto* national standard for postearthquake safety evaluation of buildings; nationally applicable guidelines and procedures for the identification, evaluation, and rehabilitation of seismically hazardous buildings; and other widely used procedures and data to improve structural engineering practice. CUREe is a nonprofit organization formed to promote and conduct research and educational activities related to earthquake hazard mitigation. CUREe's eight institutional members are the California Institute of Technology, Stanford University, the University of California at Berkeley, the University of California at Davis, the University of California at Irvine, the University of California at Los Angeles, the University of California at San Diego, and the University of Southern California. These laboratory, library, computer and faculty resources are among the most extensive in the United States. The SAC Joint Venture allows these three organizations to combine their extensive and unique resources, augmented by subcontractor universities and organizations from across the nation, into an integrated team of practitioners and researchers, uniquely qualified to solve problems related to the seismic performance of steel moment-frame buildings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for Phases I and II of the SAC Steel Program to Reduce the Earthquake Hazards of Steel Moment-Frame Structures was principally provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, with ten percent of the Phase I program funded by the State of California, Office of Emergency Services. Substantial additional support, in the form of donated materials, services, and data has been provided by a number of individual consulting engineers, inspectors, researchers, fabricators, materials suppliers and industry groups. Special efforts have been made to maintain a liaison with the engineering profession, researchers, the steel industry, fabricators, code-writing organizations and model code groups, building officials, insurance and risk-management groups, and federal and state agencies active in earthquake hazard mitigation efforts. SAC wishes to acknowledge the support and participation of each of the above groups, organizations and individuals. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the contributions provided by the American Institute of Steel Construction, the Lincoln Electric Company, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the National Science Foundation, and the Structural Shape Producers Council. SAC also takes this opportunity to acknowledge the efforts of the project participants – the managers, investigators, writers, and editorial and production staff – whose work has contributed to the development of these documents. Finally, SAC extends special acknowledgement to Mr. Michael Mahoney, FEMA Project Officer, and Dr. Robert Hanson, FEMA Technical Advisor, for their continued support and contribution to the success of this effort.

PREFACE

The primary objectives of the FEMA/SAC Phase II Steel Project are to develop guidelines for the seismic evaluation, inspection, repair, design and construction of moment resisting steel frame buildings. A diverse collection of technical investigations is supporting this effort, including the identification of basic material properties in rolled steel sections; development of appropriate welding materials, details, and inspection procedures; specification of anticipated seismic demands imposed on connections as a result of structural response to strong ground motions; and large-scale connection testing to calibrate and verify the design procedures that are ultimately proposed. Tying these activities together is a series of detailed finite element analyses of various connection configurations to quantify the influence of material properties, geometry, and detailing on predicted behavior. In addition, a series of studies have been performed to incorporate the results of the various investigations into a performance based seismic engineering format that can become the basis of the SAC guidelines. Cost and risk studies and investigations into the past performance of this class of structures were also performed to gather valuable information used in the development of the guidelines and other documents.

The primary responsibility of the Connection Performance team in the Phase II Steel Project is to develop straightforward and reliable design and analysis tools for seismic moment resisting connections in steel frame structures. This report documents the results of a statistical investigation to evaluate the cyclic instability of Reduced Beam Section (RBS) moment connections. The results of fifty-five full scale test specimens were used in a series of regression analyses to evaluate relationships between response parameters (plastic rotation and strength degradation rate, e.g.) and section slenderness parameters for section instability. These analyses indicated that the web slenderness ratio was a more important parameter than either flange slenderness or lateral-torsion buckling. Based on these analyses, recommendations were made to reduce the allowable web slenderness limit for application in moment frame beam sections. This task was identified as part of Task 7.11 of the SAC Phase II program. The testing was performed at the University of California at San Diego.

Numerous individuals helped to develop the scope and content of the project and to review a preliminary version of this report. These individuals included members of the Technical Advisory Panel (TAP) for Connection Performance; selected members of the Joining and Inspection TAP; and several members of the Project Oversight Committee. The contributions of these individuals are greatly appreciated.



ABSTRACT

A statistical study was performed to evaluate the cyclic instability of steel moment connections with reduced beam sections (RBS). Based on test results of 55 full-scale test specimens, regression analyses were performed to evaluate the relationships between response quantities (plastic rotation and strength degradation rate) and slenderness parameters for buckling. Treating each buckling mode as an independent limit state, linear regression showed high dependence between the response quantities and the slenderness ratio (h/t_w) for web local buckling, but not lateral-torsional buckling. Considering that buckling modes are interactive, results from nonlinear regression showed the same trend. Since lateral-torsional buckling did not significantly affect the response quantities, an expression that relates the plastic rotation to the slenderness ratios of both web and flange local buckling modes was developed. When an RBS beam of Grade 50 steel satisfies the AISC seismic requirements for both local and lateral-torsional buckling, the expression shows that a plastic rotation of 0.02 radian can be achieved. To achieve a plastic rotation of 0.03 radian, however, the lower bound h/t_w ratio can be taken as $440/\sqrt{F_y}$. To provide some level of conservatism, a limiting h/t_w ratio of $418/\sqrt{F_y}$ is recommended for seismic design. An expression for evaluating the strength degradation rate was also developed. The presence of a concrete slab was shown to increase both the strength and plastic rotation capacity of the RBS beam under positive bending. Under negative bending, the slab did not enhance the plastic rotation capacity. Therefore, a slab cannot be counted on to brace beam bottom flange.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for this research (Task 14) was provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency through the SAC Joint Venture. SAC is a partnership of the Structural Engineers Association of California, the Applied Technology Council, and California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering.

Dr. C. Roeder is the Team Leader of Connection Performance of the SAC Joint Venture who initiated the study. Mr. J. Malley is the Project Director of Topical Investigations of the SAC Joint Venture. Guidance provided by Dr. Roeder and Mr. Malley was greatly appreciated. Mr. Nestor Iwankiw of American Institute of Steel Construction provided constructive comment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
I. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Statement of Problem	1
1.2 Objective and Scope	2
II. DATABASE	3
III. SLENDERNESS PARAMETERS AND RESPONSE PARAMETERS.....	4
3.1 Slenderness Parameters	4
3.2 Response Parameters	4
IV. REGRESSION ANALYSIS	6
4.1 Linear Models	6
4.1.1 Plastic Rotation	6
4.1.2 Strength Degradation Rate	6
4.2 Nonlinear Models	6
4.2.1 Plastic Rotation	6
4.2.2 Strength Degradation Rate	8
V. ADDITIONAL BRACING AND SLAB EFFECTS.....	10
5.1 Additional Bracing Near RBS–A Case Study	10
5.2 Slab Bracing Effect.....	10
5.2.1 Positive Bending	10
5.2.2 Negative Bending.....	10
VI. CONCLUSIONS.....	12

REFERENCES.....	14
NOTATIONS.....	17
TABLES.....	19
FIGURES.....	29