

ATC-25

**SEISMIC VULNERABILITY AND IMPACT OF
DISRUPTION OF LIFELINES IN THE
CONTERMINOUS UNITED STATES**

by

APPLIED TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 270
Redwood City, California 94065

Funded by

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
Kenneth Sullivan, Project Officer
Washington, DC

Prepared for ATC by
EQE, INC.

Charles Scawthorn, Principal-In-Charge
Mahmoud Khater, Principal Research Engineer
San Francisco, California

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR
Christopher Rojahn

EXPERT TECHNICAL ADVISORY GROUP

Lloyd Cluff	Le Val Lund
James D. Cooper	Peter McDonough
Holly Cornell	Dennis K. Ostrom
John W. Foss	Gerard Pardoen*
James H. Gates	Michael Reichle
Neal Hardman	Anshel J. Schiff
Jeremy Isenberg	J. Carl Stepp
Anne S. Kiremidjian	Domenic Zigant

*ATC Board Representative

1991

Preface

In September 1988 Applied Technology Council (ATC) was awarded a contract by the Federal Emergency Management Agency to assess the seismic vulnerability and impact of disruption of lifeline systems nationwide. The purpose of the project is to develop a better understanding of the impact of disruption of lifelines from earthquakes and to assist in the identification and prioritization of hazard mitigation measures and policies. In addition, FEMA plans to utilize results from the project to promote national awareness of the importance of protecting lifeline systems from earthquakes, and assuring reliability and continued serviceability of lifelines.

The project is being conducted in several phases. Phase I, reported on herein, provides a national overview of lifeline seismic vulnerability and impact of disruption. Lifelines considered include electric systems, water systems, transportation systems, gas and liquid fuel supply systems, and emergency service facilities (hospitals, fire and police stations). The vulnerability estimates and impacts developed are presented in terms of estimated direct damage losses and indirect economic losses. These losses are considered to *represent a first approximation* because of the assumptions and methodology utilized, because several lifelines are not included (e.g., telecommunication systems), and because, in some cases, the available lifeline inventory data lack critical capacity information.

Phase II, to be reported on in the ATC-25-1 Report, provides a practical model methodology for the *detailed* assessment of seismic vulnerability and impact of disruption of water transmission and distribution systems. Subsequent phases to develop model

methodologies for the seismic assessment of other lifeline systems are also planned.

EQE Inc., a structural and earthquake engineering firm with experience in the seismic evaluation of lifeline systems, served as the project subcontractor and prepared this report. The research and engineering work was performed by Charles Scawthorn, Principal-in-Charge, Mahmoud Khater, Principal Research Engineer, and other EQE staff. Marvin Feldman of Resource Decisions served as consultant on the indirect economic loss methodology and data.

The ATC-25 Expert Technical Advisory Group (ETAG), comprised primarily of individuals drawn from the technical committees of the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) Technical Council for Lifeline Earthquake Engineering (TCLEE), provided overall review and guidance for the project. Members were: Lloyd Cluff, James D. Cooper, Holly Cornell, John W. Foss, James H. Gates, Neal Hardman, Jeremy Isenberg, Anne S. Kiremidjian, Le Val Lund, Peter McDonough, Dennis K. Ostrom, Gerard Pardoen (ATC Board Representative), Michael Reichle, Anshel J. Schiff, J. Carl Stepp, and Domenic Zigant. The affiliations and addresses of these individuals are provided in Appendix A.

Applied Technology Council gratefully acknowledges the valuable assistance, support and cooperation provided by Kenneth Sullivan, FEMA Project Officer, and Arthur J. Zeizel and Kupussammy Thirumalai, prior Project Officers.

Christopher Rojahn
Executive Director

FEMA NOTICE

The research forming the basis for this publication was conducted pursuant to a contract with the Federal Emergency Management Agency. The substance of such research is dedicated to the public. The author(s) and publisher are solely responsible for the accuracy of statements or interpretations contained herein.

Table of Contents

	<u>Page Number</u>
Preface.....	i
Executive Summary	xiii
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background and Purpose.....	1
1.2 Importance of the Lifeline Earthquake Risk.....	1
1.3 Project Approach.....	3
1.4 Limitations and Constraints	3
1.5 Organization of the Report.....	3
2. National Lifeline Inventory	7
2.1 Introduction.....	7
2.2 National Lifeline Inventory Data--Overview.....	7
2.3 Transportation Data.....	8
2.4 Energy and Fuel Data	9
2.5 Emergency Service Facility Data.....	9
2.6 Water Supply Data	22
2.7 PC-Compatible Electronic Database.....	22
3. Development of Lifeline Vulnerability Functions	25
3.1 Introduction.....	25
3.2 General Approach for Characterizing Earthquake Performance	25
3.3 Method for Obtaining Lifeline Direct Damage and Residual Capacity Functions	26
3.4 Example Direct Damage and Residual Capacity Computations	30
3.5 Sample Lifeline Vulnerability Function	31
3.5.1 Ports/Cargo Handling Equipment	31
4. Seismic Hazard	35
4.1 Introduction.....	35
4.2 Magnitude and Intensity.....	35
4.3 Earthquake Hazards.....	35
4.4 Seismicity.....	37
4.5 Regional Representative Earthquakes.....	54
4.6 Estimation of Seismic Intensities and Choice of Scenario Earthquakes for this Project	54
5. Estimates of Direct Damage.....	67
5.1 Introduction.....	67
5.2 General Analytical Approach for Estimating Direct Damage	67
5.3 Direct Damage Estimates for Site-Specific Lifelines	69
5.3.1 Airports.....	69
5.3.2 Ports and Harbors	69
5.3.3 Medical Care Facilities.....	69
5.3.4 Police and Fire Stations.....	74
5.3.5 Broadcast Stations.....	74
5.4 Direct Damage Estimates for Extended Lifeline Networks.....	74
5.4.1 Railroad System.....	78
5.4.2 Highway System.....	78
5.4.3 Electric System	87
5.4.4 Water System.....	87
5.4.5 Crude Oil System	97

5.4.6	Refined Oil System	97
5.4.7	Natural Gas System	97
5.5	Dollar Loss Resulting from Direct Damage	97
5.6	Comparison with Previous Studies	120
6.	Estimates of Indirect Economic Losses	125
6.1	Introduction	125
6.2	General Analytical Approach for Estimating Lifeline Interruption	125
6.3	Residual Capacity Analysis of Site-Specific Systems	126
6.3.1	Airports	126
6.3.2	Ports	128
6.3.3	Medical Care Centers	128
6.3.4	Fire Stations	128
6.3.5	Police Stations	128
6.3.6	Broadcast Stations	128
6.4	Residual Capacity Analysis of Extended Regional Networks	128
6.4.1	Railroad System	136
6.4.2	Highway System	136
6.4.3	Electric System	136
6.4.4	Water System	136
6.4.5	Crude Oil System	136
6.4.6	Refined Oil System	139
6.4.7	Natural Gas System	139
6.4.8	Distribution Systems	139
6.5	General Analytical Approach for Estimating Indirect Economic Losses	141
6.5.1	General Assumptions	141
6.5.2	Data Sources and Methodology	142
6.6	Indirect Economic Loss Estimates	148
7.	Combined Economic Losses, Deaths, and Injuries	171
7.1	Introduction	171
7.2	Human Death and Injury	171
7.2.1	Casualties Due to Lifeline Functional Curtailment	171
7.2.2	Casualties Resulting From Lifeline Direct Damage	172
7.3	Combined Direct and Indirect Economic Losses	172
8.	Hazard Mitigation Measures and Benefits	175
8.1	Introduction	175
8.2	Identification of Critical Lifelines	175
8.3	Measures for Reducing Vulnerability of Lifeline Systems	175
8.4	Estimated Overall Benefits of Implementing Hazard Reduction Measures	176
9.	Recommendations for Further Work	183
9.1	Introduction	183
9.2	Lifeline Inventory	183
9.3	Lifeline Component Vulnerability	183
9.4	Seismic Hazard Data	183
9.5	Economic Analysis and Impacts Data and Methodology	183
10.	References	185
	Appendix A: ATC-25 Project Participants	193
	Appendix B: Lifeline Vulnerability Functions	195
	Appendix C: Residual Capacity Plots for Each Lifeline and Scenario Earthquake	305
	Appendix D: Economic Analysis Data	407
	Appendix E: Applied Technology Council Projects and Report Information	433