ATC-28

Development of Guidelines for Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings

Phase I:

Issues Identification and Resolution

by APPLIED TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 550 Redwood City, California 94065

Funded by The Federal Emergency Management Agency

Preface

In September 1989 the Federal Emergency
Management Agency (FEMA) awarded the Applied
Technology Council (ATC) a three-year contract to
identify and resolve issues that will affect the
development of Guidelines for seismic rehabilitation
of existing buildings. The project has been
identified by FEMA as Phase I of a two-phase
effort, with the Guidelines themselves being
developed under a separate contract in Phase II.
The Guidelines are expected to become the
nationally accepted basis for seismic rehabilitation
and are intended for use by the design professions,
building codes and standards writers and
administrators, researchers, and educators.

The two-phase strategy of FEMA is based on the recognition that the writing of the detailed Guidelines will be greatly facilitated by this preparatory study of technical and societal issues. Both of these phases build upon earlier work on existing buildings funded by FEMA as part of the National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP). Such work has included the development of methods for rapid and detailed evaluation of existing buildings; techniques for seismic rehabilitation of buildings; studies of rehabilitation costs, its societal benefits and methods to minimize its negative effects.

This ATC-28 issues and solutions report, developed over a 15-month period, underwent numerous reviews and revisions by the project participants and Project Advisory Panel prior to the preparation of an Interim Report, which was issued January 8, 1991. An earlier version of this report was also reviewed in a workshop setting by approximately 35 individuals from around the United States representing the various user groups that will eventually be affected by nationally applicable seismic rehabilitation Guidelines. The Users Workshop was organized by the Earthquake Engineering Research Institute.

The Interim version of the ATC-28 report was submitted to the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) in January, 1991 for an 18-month consensus review and approval process. The consensus process was completed in August, 1992, after which ATC revised the Interim version to produce this final report, which incorporates the

ASCE recommended changes verbatim.

Background technical information of this report and a description of the development process are provided in the comparison report, ATC-28-1, Development of Guidelines for Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings, Phase I: Issues Selection and Development, Issue Consultant Papers, and ASCE Consensus Approval Process.

This report was developed through the efforts of numerous individuals. Christopher Arnold and William Holmes served as Co-Principal Investigators of the project and provided the primary technical leadership. Robert Reitherman was the Report Preparation Consultant and was responsible for writing the main body of the report and reflecting the input of the numerous project participants.

The Project Advisory Panel members, who provided overall review and guidance for the project were: S.T. Algermissen, James E. Amrhein, Robert A. Bruce, Craig D. Comartin, Mary Comerio, W. Gene Corley, Donald K. Jephcott, James O. Jirsa, Franklin Lew, H.S. Lew (FEMA Technical Monitor), Charles Lindbergh, Hank Martin, H. Crane Miller, Joseph P. Nicoletti, Chris D. Poland, David Prowler, Lawrence D. Reaveley (ATC Board Representative), Daniel Shapiro, William Sommers, Ted L. Winstead, and Barbara Zeidman.

The consultants to ATC who wrote background issue papers and assisted in drafting material that appears in this report were: Eric Elsesser, Melvyn Green, James O. Jirsa, James Lai, H. Crane Miller, Joseph P. Nicoletti, Joanne Nigg, Roland L. Sharpe, Kathleen J. Tierney, Robert Whitman, and John Wiggins.

Susan Tubbesing, EERI Executive Director, was responsible for organizing the Users Workshop, and Ed Jones, ASCE Director of Codes and Standards, has carried out the responsibility for conducting the ASCE consensus review and approval process.

The affiliations of the above-named project participants are provided in Appendix A. Names

and affiliations of the participants in the EERIorganized Users Workshop are provided in Appendix B. Appendix C lists the individuals who participated in the ASCE consensus approval process.

ATC gratefully acknowledges the valuable assistance, support and cooperation provided by Ugo Morelli, FEMA Project Officer.

Christopher Rojahn (Principal Investigator)
Executive Director
Applied Technology Council

Table of Contents

Prefacei						
1						
1		duction	İ			
	1.1	Origin and Purpose				
	1.2	Issue Development				
	1.3	Issue Objectives	3			
	1.4	Categories of Issues and Their Relationship	3			
	1.5	Priorities and Importance	6			
	1.6	Issues Presentation.	6			
	1.7	A Preliminary Concept of the Guidelines	7			
2	Scope Issues					
_	2.1	Definition of "Building"				
	2.2	Determination of Applicability	19			
	2.3	Damage or Deterioration	20			
	2.4	Non-engineered Approaches	21			
	2.5	Seismic Isolation and Energy Dissipation	23			
	2.5	ocisine isolation and bilorgy Dissipation	23			
3	Implementation and Format Issues					
	3.1	Document Title	27			
	3.2	Audience and Use				
	3.3	Involvement of Codes and Standards Development Groups				
	3.4	Implementation Methods	31			
	3.5	Document Format	37			
	3.6	Prescriptive Versus Analytical Provisions	22			
	3.7	Revising and Updating the Guidelines	25			
	3.7	Revising and Opdating the Guidennes	33			
4	Issues	of Coordination With Other Efforts	37			
	4.1	Relationship to Parallel Efforts	39			
	4.2	Relationship to Building Evaluation Methods	40			
	4.3	Relationship to Strengthening Techniques Studies	42			
	4.4	Relationship to Studies of Costs and Benefits	43			
	4.5	Other Natural Hazards	45			
	1.5		15			
5		and Political Issues				
	5.1	Standards of Care				
	5.2	Liability				
	5.3	Standards for New Versus Existing Buildings	54			
	5.4	Impact Variation	56			
	5.5	Due Process and Taking Principles	58			
6	Social	Issues	61			
U	6.1	Affordable Housing.	63			
	6.2	Social Impacts				
	0.2	Social impacts	03			
7	Econo	mic Issues	67			
	7.1	Direct Cost of Strengthening	68			
	7.2	Performance Benefit-Cost Relationships	70			
	7.3	Social and Economic Implications of Alternative Standards	72			
	7.4	Local Government Economic Effects	74			
	7.5	Associated Non-Seismic Requirements	76			

8	Histo 8.1	Oric Building Issues				
	8.2	Standards for Historic Buildings	81			
9	Research and New Technology Issues					
	9.1	Innovative Risk Reduction Methods	85			
	9.2	Dependence on Recent and Future Research				
10	Seisn	nicity and Mapping Issues	. 91			
	10.1	Defining Ground Shaking Hazard	93			
	10.2	Soil Instability and Other Geologic Hazards	94			
	10.3	Soil Amplification	96			
11	Issue	s of Engineering Philosophy and Goals	.97			
	11.1	Definition of "Life Safety"	99			
	11.2	Performance Goals	102			
	11.3	Incremental Strengthening	105			
	11.4	Voluntary Strengthening	106			
	11.5	Strengthening Provisions for Different Seismic Zones	107			
	11.6	Remaining Life of Building				
	11.7	Occupancy	109			
	11.8	Contribution of Nonstructural Elements	110			
12	Issue	s in the Development of Specific Provisions				
	12.1	Minimum Quality Standards and Testing	113			
	12.2	Strength Versus Working Stress Design	115			
	12.3	Force Level Definition Procedure	116			
	12.4	Drift Limits	118			
	12.5	Consideration of Detailing of Existing Systems	119			
	12.6	Detailing For New Elements	120			
	12.7	Compatibility of Old and New Construction				
	12.8	Foundations	122			
	12.9	Unreinforced Masonry Partitions	124			
	12.10		126			
	12.11		128			
	12.12	Alternative and Advanced Analysis Methods	129			
	12.13	Quality Control	130			
13	Nons	tructural Element Issues	131			
	13.1	Inclusion or Exclusion of Nonstructural Elements	133			
	13.2	Standards for Nonstructural Elements	134			
14	Refe	rences	137			
	Appei	ndix A: Project Participants	141			
	70070	ndix B: Users Workshop Participants				
	Appel	ndix C: ASCE Consensus Approval Participants	149			