ATC-35-3

Proceedings:

Workshop on Improved Characterization of Strong Ground Shaking for Seismic Design

July 30-31, 1997 Rancho Bernardo, California

by

APPLIED TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 550 Redwood City, California 94065

Funded by

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Thomas Holzer, Project Officer
Menlo Park, California
Cooperative Agreements 1434-95-A-1046 and
1434-WR-97-AG-00015

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE AND

ATC-35 PROJECT TEAM Gerald Brady E.V. Leyendecker Chris D. Poland Maurice S. Power Christopher Rojahn

Christopher Rojahn Charles C. Thiel, Jr.

*ATC Board Representative

ATC-35 STEERING COMMITTEE

Arthur Frankel I.M. Idriss Klaus Jacob William Joyner Helmut Krawinkler

James Mori Bijan Mohraz* Allan Porush Paul Somerville Nabih Youssef

Preface

The ATC-35 Workshop on Improved Characterization of Strong Ground Shaking for Seismic Design, held in Rancho Bernardo, California on July 30 and 31, 1997, was sponsored by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) under the auspices of the ATC-35 Project. The ATC-35 Project has the objective of enhancing the transfer of USGS earth science research results into engineering practice. The 2-day workshop was held as one of the initial activities of the Ground Motion Initiative (GMI) component of the ATC-35 Project, which is focused on identifying needs and developing improved representations of earthquake ground motion for use in seismic design practice, including codes.

The workshop culminated a year-long planning process to identify the principal needs of engineers for improved ground motion representation and the projects that promise to meet those needs. Initially, a broad range of multi-disciplinary input was obtained from the seismic engineering and earth science professions at large in response to a Call for Input issued by the ATC-35 Project in 1996. In May 1997, a meeting prior to the workshop was held to focus on the special needs for improved ground motion representation in codes, regulations and guidelines, for buildings, bridges and other structures. Attendees at this meeting were active in the development of seismic codes, regulations and guidelines, for buildings and bridges.

The workshop in July, 1997 built on the results of the previous activities and developed a list of initial high-priority projects to improve ground motion representation in engineering practice. A brief prospectus for each of the projects was developed and all are presented in these Proceedings. The list of each project and its prospectus provides a starting point for initiating specific projects subject to availability of funding.

The Applied Technology Council gratefully acknowledges the many individuals who contributed to the workshop and its planning process. These individuals include: the numerous professionals who responded to the ATC-35 GMI Call for Input; the participants who attended the pre-workshop meeting of code development representatives; workshop participants; the ATC-35 Steering Committee; and the ATC-35 Project Team, who also functioned as the organizing committee for the various GMI planning activities. These individuals and their affiliations are listed in Appendices A, C, and D.

ATC also gratefully acknowledges the input and support of the USGS Project Officer, Thomas Holzer.

Christopher Rojahn ATC Executive Director

Contents

Pre	eface.		iii			
r ;	t of E	Figures	iv			
LIS	ot OI I	rigutes	1A			
Lis	st of T	ables	xi			
C v.	agutis	ve Summary	v:::			
CX	ecutiv	ve Sullillary	XIII			
1.		oduction				
	1.1	Organization of Proceedings	2			
2.	Pre-	Workshop Planning Process	5			
	2.1	Call for Input				
	2.2	Pre-Workshop Meeting of Code Development Representatives				
3.	Vici	Vision Paper 1: Future Characterizations of Ground Motion for Design (An Engineer's				
٥.		pective), Andrew T. Merovich	9			
	3.1	Introduction				
	3.2	Evolutionary History of U.S. Seismic Design Practice				
		3.2.1 Selected Chronology				
		3.2.2 Evolution of Key Concepts	11			
	3.3	Recent Developments and Current Limitations	11			
		3.3.1 Developments				
		3.3.2 Concerns and Issues				
	3.4	Alternative Approaches and Recent Research				
		3.4.1 Alternative Ground-Motion Parameters				
	3.5	Recommendations and Vision				
		3.5.1 General Trends				
	26	3.5.2 Vision of the Future				
	3.6	References				
4.	Vision Paper 2: What Seismology May be Able to Bring to Future Codes (A Seismologist's Perspective), <i>Paul Spudich</i>					
	4.1	Introduction				
	4.1	Where We Are Now				
	4.3	Measures of Badness				
	4.4	Selecting the Most Hazardous Earthquake				
	4.5	On-Demand Customized Deaggregated Hazard Matrices and Time Histories				
		4.5.1 Estimating Time Histories				
	4.6	Medium Term Improvements				
		4.6.1 Characterizing Basin Response				
		4.6.2 Site Response Based on Near-Surface Measurements				
		4.6.3 Ground Motion Duration				
		4.6.4 Near Fault Effects				
		4.6.5 A New Near-Fault Hazard: Fault Zone Trapped Waves				
	. –	4.6.6 Topographic Effects				
	4.7	Acknowledgements				
	48	References	29			

5.		kshop, Conclusions, and Recommendations	35
		Develop Guidelines for Generating and Selecting Time Histories of Ground Motions	
		(Near Term)	
		5.1.1 Objective	
		5.1.2 Discussion	
	5.2	Prepare Guidelines for Developing Site-Specific Response Spectra (Near Term)	36
		5.2.1 Objective	36
		5.2.2 Discussion	36
	5.3	Prepare Near-Source Maps for Zone 4 of the UBC, Outside California (Near Term)	36
		5.3.1 Objective	36
		5.3.2 Discussion	
	5.4	Determine Characteristics of Near-Fault Ground Motions and Effects on Structures	
		(Short Term)	37
		5.4.1 Objective	
		5.4.2 Discussion	
	5.5	Develop Suites of Time Histories of Ground Motion; Create a Juke-Box	
		of Strong-Motion Time Histories and Their Characteristics (Near Term and Short Term)	37
		5.5.1 Objective	
		5.5.2 Discussion	
	5.6	Develop New Site Factors for Seismic Design Codes and Standards (Long Term)	
	5.0	5.6.1 Objective	
		5.6.2 Discussion.	
	5.7	Reassess How Earthquake Risk is Represented for Design and Regulation Applications	50
	3.7	(Long Term)	38
		5.7.1 Objective	
		5.7.2 Discussion	
	5.8		30
	5.0	Reduce the Uncertainty in Seismic Hazard Mapping in the Central and Eastern United States by Identifying and Characterizing Potential Seismic Sources and Attenuation	
		Relationships (Short Term and Long Term)	20
		5.8.1 Objective	
	5 0	5.8.2 Discussion	39
	5.9	Determine the Interrelationship Between Ground Motion Characteristics and Building	
		Conditions that Significantly Influence Vulnerability (Near Term, Short Term,	20
		and Long Term)	
		5.9.1 Objective	
		5.9.2 Discussion	40
	5.10	Assess the Effects of Topography (Surface Features and Buried Basins) on Ground	
		Motion for Design Applications (Short Term and Long Term)	
		5.10.1 Objective	
		5.10.2 Discussion	40
	5.11	Quantify Duration of Strong Motion for Use in Seismic Evaluation and Design	
		(Short Term and Long Term)	
		5.11.1 Objective	
		5.11.2 Discussion	41
Ap	pendix	x A: Workshop Participants List	43
Αp	pendix	x B: ATC-35 Ground-Motion Initiative: Call for Input	47
	_	•	
	pendix	x C: Participants in Pre-Workshop Meeting of Code Development Representatives	51
•	_	- · · · · · · · ·	
Δn	nendis	x D: ATC-35 Project Participants	53

Applied Technology Council Projects and Report Information	. 55
Applied Technology Council Directors	. 69