

MCEER/ATC-49
Recommended LRFD Guidelines
for the Seismic Design of Highway Bridges
Part I: Specifications

Based on
NCHRP Project 12-49, FY '98
"Comprehensive Specification for the Seismic Design of Bridges"
National Cooperative Highway Research Program

Prepared by
ATC/MCEER JOINT VENTURE
A partnership of the
Applied Technology Council
(www.ATCouncil.org)
and the
Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research
(<http://mceer.buffalo.edu>)

Prepared under the MCEER Highway Project
Project 094, Task F3-1
Federal Highway Administration

NCHRP 12-49 PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Project Team

Ian Friedland, Principal Investigator
Ronald Mayes, Technical Director
Donald Anderson
Michel Bruneau
Gregory Fenves
John Kulicki
John Mander
Lee Marsh
Geoffrey Martin
Andrzej Nowak
Richard Nutt
Maurice Power
Andrei Reinhorn

Project Engineering Panel

Ian Buckle, Co-Chair
Christopher Rojahn, Co-Chair
Serafim Arzoumanidis
Mark Capron
Ignatius Po Lam
Paul Liles
Brian Maroney
Joseph Nicoletti
Charles Roeder
Frieder Seible
Theodore Zoli

PREFACE

The 1971 San Fernando earthquake was a major turning point in the development of seismic design criteria for bridges in the United States. Prior to 1971, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) specifications for the seismic design of bridges were based in part on the lateral forces requirements for buildings that had been developed by the Structural Engineers Association of California. In 1973, the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) introduced new seismic design criteria for bridges, which included the relationship of the site to active faults, the seismic response of the soils at the site and the dynamic response characteristics of the bridge. AASHTO adopted Interim Specifications in 1975 which were a slightly modified version of the 1973 Caltrans provisions, and made them applicable to all regions of the United States. In addition to these code changes, the 1971 San Fernando earthquake stimulated research activity on seismic problems related to bridges.

In the light of these research findings, the Federal Highway Administration awarded a contract in 1978 to the Applied Technology Council (ATC) to evaluate current criteria used for seismic design of highway bridges, review available seismic research findings for design applicability and use in new specifications, develop new and improved seismic design guidelines for highway bridges applicable to all regions of the United States, and to evaluate the impact of these guidelines and modify them as appropriate. The guidelines from this ATC project, known as ATC-6, *Seismic Design Guidelines for Highway Bridges* (ATC, 1981), were first adopted by AASHTO as a Guide Specification in 1983. They were later adopted as seismic provisions within the AASHTO *Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges* as Division I-A in 1991.

After damaging earthquakes occurred in California (1989), Costa Rica (1991) and the Philippines (1991), AASHTO requested the Transportation Research Board to review these criteria and prepare revised specifications as appropriate. Funded through the AASHTO-sponsored National Cooperative Highway Re-

search Program (NCHRP) under NCHRP Project 20-7, Task 45, the Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (MCEER, formerly known as NCEER) prepared an updated set of seismic design provisions which closely followed the previous criteria but removed ambiguities, corrected technical omissions, and introduced limited new material that was based on field experience and new research findings. The updated provisions were adopted into both the AASHTO *Standard Specifications* and the first and second editions of the AASHTO *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*. However, the technical basis for the updated provisions was essentially the same as that of the ATC-6 provisions which were initially published in 1981.

In 1998, the NCHRP initiated a subsequent study under NCHRP Project 12-49 to develop a new set of seismic design provisions for highway bridges, compatible with the AASHTO *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*. NCHRP Project 12-49, which was conducted by a joint venture of the Applied Technology Council and the Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (the ATC/MCEER Joint Venture), had as its primary objective the development of seismic design provisions that reflected the latest design philosophies and design approaches that would result in highway bridges with a high level of seismic performance. The results of NCHRP Project 12-49 have been reformatted into a stand-alone set of provisions that can be more readily used for seismic design. The provisions contained herein are the results of that effort.

Development of the original NCHRP Project 12-49 provisions (from which this document was generated) was done by the ATC/MCEER Joint Venture. Ian Friedland of ATC (and formerly MCEER) was the Project Principal Investigator and Ronald Mayes was the Project Technical Director. Christopher Rojahn of ATC was the Project Administrative Officer on behalf of the ATC/MCEER Joint Venture. The NCHRP Project 12-49 Project Team included Donald Anderson (CH2M Hill, Inc.), Michel Bruneau (University at Buffalo), Gregory Fenves (University of California at Berkeley), John Kulicki (Modjeski and Masters, Inc.), John Mander (University of Canterbury, formerly University at Buffalo), Lee Marsh (BERGER/ABAM Engineers), Ronald Mayes

(Simpson, Gumpertz & Heger, Inc.), Geoffrey Martin (University of Southern California), Andrzej Nowak (University of Michigan), Richard Nutt (bridge consultant), Maurice Power (Geomatrix Consultants, Inc.), and Andrei Reinhorn (University at Buffalo).

The project also included an advisory Project Engineering Panel; Ian Buckle, of the University of Nevada at Reno, co-chaired this committee with Christopher Rojahn of ATC. Other members included Serafim Arzoumanidis (Steinman Engineers), Mark Capron (Sverdrup Civil Inc.), Ignatius Po Lam (Earth Mechanics), Paul Liles (Georgia DOT), Brian Maroney (California DOT), Joseph Nicoletti (URS Greiner Woodward Clyde), Charles Roeder (University of Washington), Frieder Seible (University of California at San Diego), and Theodore Zoli (HNTB Corporation).

NCHRP Project Panel C12-49, under the direction of NCHRP Senior Program Officer David Beal and chaired by Harry Capers of the New Jersey Department of Transportation (DOT), also provided a significant amount of input and guidance during the conduct of the project. The other members of the NCHRP Project Panel were D.W. Dearasaugh (Transportation Research Board), Gongkang Fu (Wayne State University), C. Stewart Gloyd (Parsons Brinckerhoff), Manoucher Karshenas (Illinois DOT), Richard Land (California DOT), Bryan Millar (Montana DOT), Amir Mirmirman (Uni-

versity of Central Florida), Charles Ruth (Washington State DOT), Steven Starkey (Oregon DOT), and Phillip Yen (FHWA).

Three drafts of the Project 12-49 specifications and commentary were prepared and reviewed by the ATC Project Engineering Panel, NCHRP Project Panel 12-49, and the AASHTO Highway Subcommittee on Bridges and Structures seismic design technical committee (T-3), which was chaired by James Roberts of Caltrans.

The development of this document, and the companion *Commentary* and *Appendices* (Part II), was conducted as a task in the FHWA-sponsored MCEER Highway project following completion of the original NCHRP 12-49 project. A subset of the original NCHRP Project 12-49 team, consisting of Donald Anderson, Michel Bruneau, Ronald Mayes, Lee Marsh, Richard Nutt, and Maurice Power, condensed the original draft specifications prepared by the Project Team to this two-volume document, *Recommended LRFD Guidelines for the Seismic Design of Highway Bridges*. In addition to making the document more amenable for design, the two volumes address issues identified during final project review and provide additional commentary for some of the studies that were carried out in support of the original specification development.

ATC and MCEER staff provided editorial and desktop publishing services during the preparation of this Guide Specification.

Michel Bruneau, MCEER
Christopher Rojahn, ATC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	iii
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.2 BASIC CONCEPTS	1
1.3 NEW CONCEPTS AND MAJOR MODIFICATIONS	2
1.4 ORGANIZATION OF GUIDE SPECIFICATION	6
1.4.1 Part I Organization and Contents.....	7
1.4.2 Part II Organization and Contents	7
1.5 GUIDE SPECIFICATION FLOW CHART	8
1.6 COMPANION STUDIES AND REPORTS	8
2 DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS	13
2.1 DEFINITIONS	13
2.2 NOTATIONS	14
3 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	19
3.1 APPLICABILITY	19
3.2 SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES	19
3.3 SEISMIC DESIGN APPROACH.....	19
3.3.1 Earthquake Resisting Systems (ERS).....	19
3.4 DESIGN GROUND MOTION.....	20
3.4.1 Design Spectra Based on General Procedure	23
3.4.2 Site Effects on Ground Motions	24
3.4.2.1 Site Class Definitions	24
3.4.2.2 Definitions of Site Class Parameters	25
3.4.2.3 Site Coefficients	25
3.4.3 Response Spectra Based on Site-Specific Procedure	26
3.4.4 Acceleration Time Histories	27
3.4.5 Vertical Acceleration Effects.....	28
3.5 LOAD FACTORS	28
3.6 COMBINATION OF SEISMIC FORCE EFFECTS.....	28
3.6.1 SRSS Combination Rule	28
3.6.2 100%-40% Combination Rule.....	30
3.7 SELECTION OF SEISMIC HAZARD LEVEL (SHL), SEISMIC DESIGN AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES (SDAP) AND SEISMIC DESIGN REQUIREMENTS (SDR).....	31
3.8 TEMPORARY AND STAGED CONSTRUCTION	31
4 DESIGN AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES (SDAP)	51
4.1 SINGLE SPAN BRIDGES.....	51
4.2 SDAP A1 AND A2.....	51
4.3 SDAP B – NO SEISMIC DEMAND ANALYSIS.....	51
4.3.1 No Analysis Approach.....	51
4.3.2 Limitations.....	51
4.3.3 Capacity Design and Strength Requirements of Members Framing into Columns.....	52
4.4 SDAP C – CAPACITY SPECTRUM DESIGN METHOD.....	52
4.4.1 Capacity Spectrum Design Approach.....	52
4.4.2 Limitations.....	53
4.5 SDAP D – ELASTIC RESPONSE SPECTRUM METHOD.....	53

4.6	SDAP E – ELASTIC RESPONSE SPECTRUM METHOD WITH DISPLACEMENT CAPACITY VERIFICATION	54
4.7	RESPONSE MODIFICATION FACTORS.....	54
4.8	CAPACITY DESIGN.....	55
4.8.1	Inelastic Hinging Forces	56
4.8.1.1	Single Column and Piers.....	56
4.8.1.2	Bents with Two or More Columns.....	58
4.8.1.3	Capacity Design Forces	58
4.9	PLASTIC HINGE ZONES	59
4.9.1	Top Zone of Columns, Pile Bents and Drilled Shafts	59
4.9.2	Bottom Zone of a Column Above a Footing or Above an Oversized In-ground Drilled Shaft.....	59
4.9.3	Bottom Zone of Pile Bents and Drilled Shafts/Caissons.....	59
4.10	ELASTIC DESIGN OF SUBSTRUCTURES	60
4.10.1	All Substructure Supports are Designed Elastically	60
4.10.2	Selected Substructure Supports are Designed Elastically	60
5	ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS	61
5.1	DEFINITION OF PROCEDURES	61
5.1.1	General.....	61
5.1.2	Selection of Analysis Procedure	61
5.2	SEISMIC LATERAL LOAD DISTRIBUTION.....	61
5.2.1	Applicability.....	61
5.2.2	Design Criteria	61
5.2.3	Load Distribution	62
5.3	MODELING REQUIREMENTS.....	62
5.3.1	General.....	62
5.3.2	Distribution of Mass.....	63
5.3.3	Stiffness and Strength	63
5.3.3.1	General.....	63
5.3.3.2	Substructure	63
5.3.3.3	Superstructure	63
5.3.4	Foundations.....	63
5.3.5	Abutments	64
5.3.6	Seismic Isolator Units	64
5.3.7	Bearings and Joints	64
5.3.8	Damping.....	64
5.4	ANALYSIS PROCEDURES	64
5.4.1	Capacity Spectrum Analysis	65
5.4.1.1	Seismic Isolation Systems	65
5.4.2	Elastic Response Spectrum Analysis	65
5.4.2.1	Selection of Analysis Method.....	65
5.4.2.2	Uniform Load Method	65
5.4.2.3	Multi-Mode Dynamic Analysis Method.....	66
5.4.3	Seismic Displacement Capacity Verification.....	66
5.4.4	Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis Procedure.....	67
6	SEISMIC DESIGN REQUIREMENTS (SDR) 1 AND 2	69
6.1	GENERAL	69
6.2	DESIGN FORCES	69
6.3	DESIGN DISPLACEMENTS	69
6.4	FOUNDATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	69

6.5	ABUTMENT DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	69
6.6	LIQUEFACTION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	69
6.7	STRUCTURAL STEEL DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	69
6.7.1	SDR 1	69
6.7.2	SDR 2	69
6.7.2.1	Ductile Moment-Resisting Frames and Bents.....	69
6.7.2.1.1	Columns.....	69
6.7.2.1.2	Beams, Panel Zones and Connections.....	70
6.7.2.2	Ductile Concentrically Braced Frames.....	70
6.7.2.3	Concentrically Braced Frames and Bents with Nominal Ductility.....	70
6.8	REINFORCED CONCRETE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	70
6.8.1	SDR 1	70
6.8.2	SDR 2	70
6.8.2.1	Minimum Shear Steel.....	70
6.8.2.2	Pile Reinforcement Requirements.....	70
6.9	BEARING DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	70
6.10	SEISMIC ISOLATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	70
7	SEISMIC DESIGN REQUIREMENTS (SDR) 3	71
7.1	GENERAL.....	71
7.2	DESIGN FORCES	71
7.2.1	Ductile Substructures (R>1) – Flexural Capacity.....	71
7.2.1.1	SDAP B.....	71
7.2.1.2	SDAP C.....	71
7.2.1.3	SDAP D and E.....	71
7.2.2	Capacity Protected Elements or Actions	71
7.2.3	Elastically Designed Elements	71
7.2.4	Abutments and Connections.....	71
7.2.5	Single Span Bridges.....	71
7.3	DESIGN DISPLACEMENTS.....	71
7.3.1	General	71
7.3.2	Minimum Seat Width Requirement.....	72
7.3.3	Displacement Compatibility	72
7.3.4	P-Δ Requirements.....	72
7.3.5	Minimum Displacement Requirements for Lateral Load Resisting Piers and Bents	72
7.4	FOUNDATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	72
7.4.1	Foundation Investigation.....	72
7.4.1.1	General	72
7.4.1.2	Subsurface Investigation	72
7.4.1.3	Laboratory Testing	73
7.4.2	Spread Footings.....	73
7.4.2.1	Moment and Shear Capacity	73
7.4.2.2	Liquefaction Check	73
7.4.3	Driven Piles	74
7.4.3.1	General	74
7.4.3.2	Design Requirements	74
7.4.3.3	Moment and Shear Design.....	74
7.4.3.4	Liquefaction Check	74
7.4.4	Drilled Shafts.....	75
7.5	ABUTMENT DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	75
7.5.1	General	75

7.5.1.1	Abutments and Wingwalls.....	75
7.5.2	Longitudinal Direction.....	75
7.5.2.1	SDAP B and C.....	76
7.5.2.2	SDAP D and E.....	76
7.5.3	Transverse Direction.....	78
7.5.3.1	SDAP B and C.....	78
7.5.3.2	SDAP D and E.....	78
7.6	LIQUEFACTION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	79
7.6.1	General.....	79
7.6.2	Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential.....	79
7.6.3	Evaluation of the Effects of Liquefaction and Lateral Ground Movement.....	79
7.6.4	Design Requirements if Liquefaction and Ground Movement Occurs.....	79
7.6.5	Detailed Foundation Design Requirements.....	84
7.6.6	Other Collateral Hazards.....	84
7.7	STRUCTURAL STEEL DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	84
7.7.1	General.....	84
7.7.2	Materials.....	84
7.7.3	Sway Stability Effects.....	84
7.7.4	Ductile Moment Resisting Frames and Single Column Structures.....	84
7.7.4.1	Columns.....	85
7.7.4.2	Beams.....	85
7.7.4.3	Panel Zones and Connections.....	85
7.7.4.4	Multi-Tier Frame Bents.....	85
7.7.5	Ductile Concentrically Braced Frames.....	86
7.7.5.1	Bracing Systems.....	86
7.7.5.2	Design Requirements for Ductile Bracing Members.....	87
7.7.5.3	Brace Connections.....	87
7.7.5.4	Columns, Beams and Other Connections.....	87
7.7.6	Concentrically Braced Frames with Nominal Ductility.....	87
7.7.6.1	Bracing Systems.....	87
7.7.6.2	Design Requirements for Nominally Ductile Bracing Members.....	88
7.7.6.3	Brace Connections.....	88
7.7.6.4	Columns, Beams and Other Connections.....	88
7.7.6.5	Chevron Braced and V-Braced Systems.....	88
7.7.7	Concrete Filled Steel Pipes.....	88
7.7.7.1	Combined Axial Compression and Flexure.....	88
7.7.7.2	Flexural Strength.....	89
7.7.7.3	Beams and Connections.....	89
7.7.8	Other Systems.....	89
7.7.8.1	Ductile Eccentrically Braced Frames.....	89
7.7.8.2	Ductile End-Diaphragm in Slab-on-Girder Bridge.....	90
7.7.8.3	Ductile End Diaphragms in Deck Truss Bridges.....	90
7.7.8.4	Other Systems.....	91
7.7.9	Plastic Rotational Capacities.....	91
7.7.9.1	Life Safety Performance.....	91
7.7.9.2	Immediate Use Limit State.....	91
7.7.9.3	In Ground Hinges.....	91
7.8	REINFORCED CONCRETE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	91
7.8.1	General.....	91
7.8.2	Column Pier Requirements.....	92
7.8.2.1	Longitudinal Reinforcement.....	92

7.8.2.2	Flexural Resistance	92
7.8.2.3	Column Shear and Transverse Reinforcement	92
7.8.2.3.1	Method 1: Implicit Shear Detailing Approach	92
7.8.2.3.2	Method 2: Explicit Approach	93
7.8.2.3.3	Extent of Shear Steel	94
7.8.2.4	Transverse Reinforcement for Confinement at Plastic Hinges	94
7.8.2.5	Transverse Reinforcement for Longitudinal Bar Restraint in Plastic Hinges.....	95
7.8.2.6	Spacing for Transverse Reinforcement for Confinement and Longitudinal Bar Restraint	95
7.8.2.7	Splices	96
7.8.2.8	Flexural Overstrength.....	96
7.8.3	Limited Ductility Requirements for Wall Type Piers.....	96
7.8.4	Moment Resisting Connection Between Members (Column/Beam and Column/Footing Joints)	96
7.8.4.1	Implicit Approach: Direct Design	96
7.8.4.2	Explicit Approach: Detailed Design.....	97
7.8.4.2.1	Design Forces and Applied Stresses.....	97
7.8.4.2.2	Minimum Required Horizontal Reinforcement	97
7.8.4.2.3	Maximum Allowable Compression Stresses	98
7.8.4.3	Reinforcement for Joint Force Transfer	98
7.8.4.3.1	Acceptable Reinforcement Details	98
7.8.4.3.2	Vertical Reinforcement.....	98
7.8.4.3.3	Horizontal Reinforcement	98
7.8.4.3.4	Hoop or Spiral Reinforcement.....	98
7.8.4.4	Structural Strength of Footings	99
7.8.5	Concrete Piles	99
7.8.5.1	Transverse Reinforcement Requirements	99
7.8.5.2	Volumetric Ratio of Transverse Reinforcement.....	99
7.8.5.3	Cast-in-Place and Precast Concrete Piles	99
7.8.6	Plastic Rotation Capacities	99
7.8.6.1	Life Safety Performance.....	99
7.8.6.2	Immediate Use Performance	100
7.8.6.3	In-Ground Hinges.....	100
7.9	BEARING DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	100
7.9.1	Prototype and Quality Control Tests	100
7.10	SEISMIC ISOLATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	100
8	SEISMIC DESIGN REQUIREMENTS (SDR) 4, 5 AND 6.....	103
8.1	GENERAL.....	103
8.2	DESIGN FORCES	103
8.2.1	Ductile Substructures ($R > 1$) – Flexural Capacity.....	103
8.2.1.1	SDAP C	103
8.2.1.2	SDAP D and E.....	103
8.2.2	Capacity Protected Elements or Actions	103
8.2.3	Elastically Designed Elements	103
8.2.4	Abutments and Connections	103
8.2.5	Single Span Bridges.....	103
8.3	DESIGN DISPLACEMENTS	103
8.3.1	General	103
8.3.2	Minimum Seat Width Requirement.....	103

8.3.3	Displacement Compatibility.....	104
8.3.4	P- Δ Requirements	104
8.3.5	Minimum Displacement Requirements for Lateral Load Resisting Piers and Bents	104
8.4	FOUNDATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	104
8.4.1	Foundation Investigation.....	104
8.4.1.1	General.....	104
8.4.1.2	Subsurface Investigation.....	104
8.4.1.3	Laboratory Testing.....	105
8.4.2	Spread Footings.....	105
8.4.2.1	Spring Constants for Footing (Nonliquefiable Sites).....	105
8.4.2.2	Moment-Rotation and Shear-Displacement Relationships for Footing (Nonliquefiable Sites).....	107
8.4.2.3	Liquefaction and Dynamic Settlement.....	107
8.4.3	Driven Piles.....	107
8.4.3.1	General.....	107
8.4.3.2	Design Requirements.....	108
8.4.3.3	Axial and Rocking Stiffness for Driven Pile/Pile Cap Foundations (Nonliquefiable Sites).....	108
8.4.3.4	Lateral Stiffness Parameters for Driven Pile/Pile Cap Foundations (Nonliquefiable Sites).....	108
8.4.3.5	Pile Cap Stiffness and Capacity.....	109
8.4.3.6	Moment and Shear Design (Nonliquefiable Sites)	115
8.4.3.7	Liquefaction and Dynamic Settlement Evaluations.....	115
8.4.4	Drilled Shafts	115
8.5	ABUTMENT DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	115
8.5.1	General.....	115
8.5.1.1	Abutments and Wingwalls.....	115
8.5.2	Longitudinal Direction.....	116
8.5.2.1	SDAP C	116
8.5.2.2	SDAP D and E.....	116
8.5.3	Transverse Direction	118
8.5.3.1	SDAP C	118
8.5.3.2	SDAP D and E.....	118
8.6	LIQUEFACTION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	119
8.6.1	General.....	119
8.6.2	Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential	119
8.6.3	Evaluation of the Effects of Liquefaction and Lateral Ground Movement.....	119
8.6.4	Design Requirements if Liquefaction and Ground Movement Occurs.....	119
8.6.5	Detailed Foundation Design Requirements.....	124
8.6.6	Other Collateral Hazards.....	124
8.7	STRUCTURAL STEEL DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	124
8.7.1	General.....	124
8.7.2	Materials.....	124
8.7.3	Sway Stability Effects.....	125
8.7.4	Ductile Moment Resisting Frames and Single Column Structures.....	125
8.7.4.1	Columns.....	125
8.7.4.2	Beams	125
8.7.4.3	Panel Zones and Connections.....	126
8.7.4.4	Multi-Tier Frame Bents	127
8.7.5	Ductile Centrally Braced Frames	127
8.7.5.1	Bracing Systems	127

8.7.5.2	Design Requirements for Ductile Bracing Members	127
8.7.5.3	Brace Connections.....	127
8.7.5.4	Columns, Beams and Other Connections.....	128
8.7.6	Centrically Braced Frames with Nominal Ductility	128
8.7.6.1	Bracing Systems.....	128
8.7.6.2	Design Requirements for Nominally Ductile Bracing Members	128
8.7.6.3	Brace Connections.....	128
8.7.6.4	Columns, Beams and Other Connections.....	129
8.7.6.5	Chevron Braced and V-Braced Systems	129
8.7.7	Concrete Filled Steel Pipes.....	129
8.7.7.1	Combined Axial Compression and Flexure	129
8.7.7.2	Flexural Strength.....	129
8.7.7.3	Beams and Connections	130
8.7.8	Other Systems.....	130
8.7.8.1	Ductile Eccentrically Braced Frames	130
8.7.8.2	Ductile End-Diaphragm in Slab-on-Girder Bridge	130
8.7.8.3	Ductile End Diaphragms in Deck Truss Bridges	131
8.7.8.4	Other Systems	131
8.7.9	Plastic Rotational Capacities	131
8.7.9.1	Life Safety Performance.....	132
8.7.9.2	Immediate Use Limit State.....	132
8.7.9.3	In-Ground Hinges.....	132
8.8	REINFORCED CONCRETE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	132
8.8.1	General	132
8.8.2	Column Requirements	132
8.8.2.1	Longitudinal Reinforcement	133
8.8.2.2	Flexural Resistance	133
8.8.2.3	Column Shear and Transverse Reinforcement.....	133
8.8.2.3.1	Method 1: Implicit Shear Detailing Approach	133
8.8.2.3.2	Method 2: Explicit Approach	134
8.8.2.3.3	Extent of Shear Steel	135
8.8.2.4	Transverse Reinforcement for Confinement at Plastic Hinges	135
8.8.2.5	Transverse Reinforcement for Longitudinal Bar Restraint in Plastic Hinges.....	136
8.8.2.6	Spacing for Transverse Reinforcement for Confinement and Longitudinal Bar Restraint	136
8.8.2.7	Splices	136
8.8.2.8	Flexural Overstrength.....	136
8.8.3	Limited Ductility Requirements for Wall Type Piers.....	136
8.8.4	Moment Resisting Connection Between Members (Column/Beam and Column/Footing Joints).....	137
8.8.4.1	Implicit Approach: Direct Design	137
8.8.4.2	Explicit Approach: Detailed Design.....	138
8.8.4.2.1	Design Forces and Applied Stresses.....	138
8.8.4.2.2	Minimum Required Horizontal Reinforcement.....	138
8.8.4.2.3	Maximum Allowable Compression Stresses	138
8.8.4.3	Reinforcement for Joint Force Transfer	138
8.8.4.3.1	Acceptable Reinforcement Details	138
8.8.4.3.2	Vertical Reinforcement.....	138
8.8.4.3.3	Horizontal Reinforcement	139
8.8.4.3.4	Hoop or Spiral Reinforcement.....	139

8.8.4.4	Structural Strength of Footings.....	139
8.8.5	Concrete Piles	139
8.8.5.1	Transverse Reinforcement Requirements	139
8.8.5.2	Volumetric Ratio of Transverse Reinforcement	140
8.8.5.3	Cast-in-Place and Precast Concrete Piles	140
8.8.6	Plastic Rotation Capacities.....	140
8.8.6.1	Life Safety Performance	140
8.8.6.2	Operational Performance	140
8.8.6.3	In-Ground Hinges	140
8.9	BEARING DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	140
8.9.1	Prototype and Quality Control Tests	141
8.10	SEISMIC ISOLATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	141
8.11	SUPERSTRUCTURE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	141
8.11.1	General.....	141
8.11.2	Load Paths.....	141
8.11.3	Effective Superstructure Width.....	142
8.11.4	Superstructure to Substructure Connections	142
8.11.4.1	Connection Design Forces	142
8.11.4.2	Fuse Elements and Abutment Connections	142
15	SEISMIC ISOLATION	143
15.1	SCOPE	143
15.2	DEFINITIONS.....	143
15.3	NOTATION	143
15.4	ANALYSIS PROCEDURES	145
15.4.1	Capacity Spectrum Method.....	145
15.4.2	Uniform Load Method	145
15.4.3	Multimode Spectral Method	146
15.4.4	Time-History Method.....	146
15.5	DESIGN PROPERTIES OF THE ISOLATION SYSTEM.....	146
15.5.1	Nominal Design Properties	146
15.5.2	System Property Modification Factors.....	146
15.5.2.1	Minimum and Maximum System Property Modification Factors	146
15.5.2.2	System Property Adjustment Factors.....	147
15.6	CLEARANCES.....	147
15.7	DESIGN FORCES FOR SDAP A1 AND A2.....	147
15.8	DESIGN FORCES FOR SDAP C, D AND E	147
15.9	OTHER REQUIREMENTS.....	147
15.9.1	Non-Seismic Lateral Forces	147
15.9.1.1	Service Force Resistance	148
15.9.1.2	Cold Weather Requirements.....	148
15.9.2	Lateral Restoring Force.....	148
15.9.3	Vertical Load Stability	148
15.9.4	Rotational Capacity.....	148
15.10	REQUIRED TESTS OF ISOLATION SYSTEMS	148
15.10.1	System Characterization Tests	148
15.10.1.1	Low-Temperature Test	148
15.10.1.2	Wear and Fatigue Tests.....	149
15.10.2	Prototype Tests.....	149
15.10.3	Determination of System Characteristics.....	150
15.10.3.1	System Adequacy	150

15.11 ELASTOMERIC BEARINGS	151
15.11.1 General	151
15.11.2 Shear Strain Components for Isolation Design	151
15.11.3 Load Combinations.....	151
15.12 ELASTOMERIC BEARING– CONSTRUCTION	152
15.12.1 General Requirements	152
15.12.2 Quality Control Tests.....	152
15.12.2.1 Compression Capacity.....	152
15.12.2.2 Combined Compression and Shear	152
15.12.2.3 Acceptance Criteria	152
15.13 SLIDING BEARINGS – DESIGN	152
15.13.1 General	152
15.13.2 Materials.....	152
15.13.2.1 PTFE Bearing Liners.....	152
15.13.2.2 Other Bearing Liner Materials	152
15.13.2.3 Mating Surface	153
15.13.3 Geometry	153
15.13.3.1 Minimum Thickness.....	153
15.13.3.1.1 PTFE Bearing Liner.....	153
15.13.3.1.2 Other Bearing Liner Materials.....	153
15.13.3.2 Mating Surface	153
15.13.3.3 Displacement Capacity.....	153
15.13.4 Loads and Stresses.....	153
15.13.4.1 Contact Pressure.....	153
15.13.4.2 Coefficient of Friction.....	154
15.13.4.2.1 Service Coefficient of Friction	154
15.13.4.2.2 Seismic Coefficient of Friction.....	154
15.13.5 Other Details.....	154
15.13.5.1 Bearing Liner Attachment.....	154
15.13.5.2 Mating Surface Attachment.....	154
15.13.6 Materials for Guides	154
15.14 SLIDING BEARINGS – CONSTRUCTION	154
15.14.1 General Requirements	154
15.14.2 Quality Control Tests.....	154
15.14.2.1 Compression Capacity.....	154
15.14.2.2 Combined Compression and Shear	155
15.14.2.3 Acceptance Criteria	155
15.15 OTHER ISOLATION SYSTEMS.....	155
15.15.1 Scope	155
15.15.2 System Characterization Tests.....	155
15.15.3 Design Procedure.....	155
15.15.4 Fabrication, Installation, Inspection, and Maintenance Requirements	156
15.15.5 Prototype Tests	156
15.15.6 Quality Control Tests.....	156
15.15.6.1 Compression Capacity.....	156
15.15.6.2 Combined Compression and Shear	156
15.15.6.3 Acceptance Criteria	156
REFERENCES AND ACRONYMS.....	157
PROJECT PARTICIPANTS.....	163