

ATC-57

The Missing Piece: Improving Seismic Design and Construction Practices

by

APPLIED TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 550
Redwood City, California 94065
www.ATCouncil.org

PROJECT MANAGER

Christopher Rojahn

REPORT EDITOR

Charles C. Thiel, Jr.

CONTRIBUTORS

James E. Beavers
Edwin T. Dean
James M. Delahay
Ronald Eguchi
Ronald O. Hamburger
Robert D. Hanson
James Harris
Roberto Leon
Christopher Rojahn
Charles C. Thiel Jr.
Charles Thornton

STEERING COMMITTEE

James E. Beavers
Lloyd Cluff
James M. Delahay¹
Robert D. Hanson
James R. Harris
Richard E. Neal
Christopher Rojahn
Paul Somerville
Charles C. Thiel Jr.
Charles H. Thornton

¹ATC Board Contact

PREFACE

In 2001, the Applied Technology Council (ATC) commenced a broadly based effort to define a problem-focused knowledge development, synthesis and transfer program to improve seismic design and construction practices. Input was sought from seismic design and construction industry leaders, and a Workshop was convened in the summer of 2002 to develop the program. THE MISSING PIECE: IMPROVING SEISMIC DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES is the result of an industrial collaboration. It provides a framework for creating a knowledge bridge and allows the nation to more fully realize its NEHRP (National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program) investment in practical terms—safer buildings.

THE MISSING PIECE: IMPROVING SEISMIC DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES had its genesis in the strategic planning process for NEHRP, which was undertaken by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) from 1998 to 2001. In the course of that strategic planning process, representatives from the design and construction industry determined and documented, as one of their major findings, that a technology transfer gap has emerged within NEHRP, and that it limits the adaptation of basic research knowledge into practice. To resolve this problem, industry participants recommended that NEHRP agencies develop a much-expanded, problem-focused knowledge development, synthesis and transfer program that will:

1. Develop standards and guidelines that incorporate the best knowledge available in a practical way.
2. Facilitate the development of new mitigation technologies.
3. Improve the productivity of the engineering and construction industries.

Included in this report are:

- A definition of what needs to be done;
- Background information on the impetus for THE MISSING PIECE: IMPROVING SEISMIC DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES program, on how technology transfer works, and a history of the decline in engineering and construction productivity in the United States; and
- THE MISSING PIECE program plan

THE MISSING PIECE: IMPROVING SEISMIC DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES program emphasizes two subject areas, with a total of five Program Elements proposed:

- ***Systematic support of the seismic code development process.***
 - Program Element 1** Provide technical support for the seismic practice and code development process.
 - Program Element 2** Develop the technical basis for performance-based seismic engineering by supporting problem-focused, user-directed research and development.
- ***Improve seismic design and construction productivity.***
 - Program Element 3** Support the development of technical resources (e.g., guidelines and manuals) to improve seismic engineering practice.
 - Program Element 4** Make evaluated technology available to practicing professionals in the design and construction communities.

Program Element 5 Develop tools to enhance the productivity, economy and effectiveness of the earthquake resistant design and construction process.

Also included in this report are six issue papers commissioned to develop the basis for the proposed program, along with a list of project participants and other supplementary information.

ATC gratefully acknowledges the broad range of industry representatives who participated in the process. Charles C. Thiel Jr. served as the report editor and is the principal architect of the report. The project Steering Committee consisted of James E. Beavers, Lloyd Cluff, James M. Delahay, Robert D. Hanson, James Harris, Richard E. Neal, Christopher Rojahn, Paul Somerville, Charles C. Thiel, Jr., and Charles H. Thornton. The issue paper authors consisted of Edwin T. Dean, Ronald T. Eguchi, Ronald O. Hamburger, Roberto Leon and selected Steering Committee members. Workshop participants consisted of the above named individuals as well as Daniel Abrams, Gerald Brady, Joe Brewer, James Cagley, Alan Carr, Gene Corley, Ian Friedland, John Gillengerten, Melvyn Green, Gayle Johnson, H. S. Lew, Lee Marsh, Ed Matsuda, Peter McDonough, Bernadette Mosby, Chris Poland, Maurice Power, Woody Savage, Charles Scawthorn, Tom Schlafly, Paul Senseny, Daniel Shapiro, Shyam Sunder, Susan Tubbesing, and Ray Zelinski. Gail H. Shea served as Production Editor and Michelle Schwartzbach served as the Report Production Specialist.

ATC gratefully acknowledges the financial support provided by the project participants (in the form of donated services) and partial financial support provided by the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Christopher Rojahn
ATC Executive Director

Contents

1. The Missing Piece: Improving Seismic Design and Construction Practices	1
1.1 What is to be Done	1
2. Background	2
2.1 NEHRP and the National Earthquake Risk	2
2.2 Decline in Construction Productivity	4
2.3 Inter-organizational Design Process	6
2.4 Technology Transfer	7
3. Program Proposal—The Missing Piece: Improving Seismic Design and Construction Practices	9
3.1 Seismic Code Development Support Initiative	10
3.1.1 Background	10
3.1.2 Program Element 1: Technical Support for Seismic Practice and Code Development	12
3.1.3 Program Element 2: Problem-Focused Research to Support Development of Performance-Based Seismic Design Concepts and Guidelines	13
3.2 Improving Design and Construction Productivity	14
3.2.1 Background	14
3.2.2 Program Element 3: Problem-Focused Research and Technical Resources Development to Improve Seismic Engineering Practice (Guidelines and Manuals Development)	15
3.2.3 Program Element 4: Evaluated Technology for Practicing Professionals in the Design and Construction Communities	17
3.2.4 Program Element 5: Tools to Enhance the Productivity, Economy, and Effectiveness of the Earthquake-Resistant Design and Construction Process	19
3.3 Personnel Requirements	20
3.4 Budgetary Requirements	20
3.5 Schedule and Management	22
3.6 Feasibility	22
3.7 Benefits of Implementing the MISSING PIECE	23
Appendix 1: Issue Paper 1, Productivity Tools	1-1
1.1 Background	1-1
1.2 Improving the Design Process	1-2
1.2.1 Opportunities to Improve Construction Industry Productivity	1-2
1.2.2 How the Construction Process Proceeds	1-4
1.2.3 How Will It Be Accomplished?	1-6
1.2.4 Personnel	1-7
1.2.5 Resources	1-7
1.2.6 Schedule	1-7
1.2.7 Feasibility	1-7
1.2.8 Benefits If Successful	1-8
1.3 TechBriefs—Disseminating Advances in Earthquake Resistant Design and Construction	1-8
1.3.1 What Needs to Be Done	1-8
1.3.2 How Will It Be Accomplished	1-10

1.3.3	Personnel.....	1-12
1.3.4	Resources.....	1-12
1.3.5	Schedule.....	1-13
1.3.6	Feasibility.....	1-13
1.3.7	Benefits If Successful.....	1-13
Appendix 2:	Issue Paper 2, Systematic Technical Support for the Seismic Code Development Process.....	2-1
2.1	Background.....	2-1
2.2	An Unmet Need: Systematic Technical Support for Seismic Code Development.....	2-2
2.3	What Needs to Be Done?.....	2-3
2.4	How Should It Be Done?.....	2-5
2.5	Feasibility.....	2-6
2.6	Benefits.....	2-6
Appendix 3:	Issue Paper 3, Problem-Focused Study in Performance-Based Seismic Engineering.....	3-1
3.1	Introduction.....	3-1
3.2	Performance Measures.....	3-2
3.3	Performance Qualification.....	3-4
3.4	Performance Prediction Tools.....	3-5
3.5	Performance Translation Tools.....	3-7
3.6	Construction Systems.....	3-8
3.7	Sensor Development and Calibration.....	3-9
3.8	Performance-Monitoring Systems.....	3-10
3.9	Multihazard Testing and Simulation.....	3-10
3.10	Workshop Discussion.....	3-11
3.11	Summary.....	3-12
Appendix 4:	Issue Paper 4, Development of Technical Resources and Associated Problem-Focused Research for Improved Seismic Engineering Practice.....	4-1
4.1	Introduction.....	4-1
4.2	Proposed Program to Develop Technical Resources and Conduct Associated Problem-Focused Research for Improved Seismic Engineering Practices.....	4-2
4.3	Resources Needed.....	4-5
4.4	Feasibility of Developing Technical Resources and Conducting Associated Problem-Focused Research for Improved Seismic Engineering Practices.....	4-8
4.5	Benefits of the Proposed Program Element.....	4-8
Appendix 5:	Issue Paper 5, Technology Transfer Mechanisms and Programs.....	5-1
5.1	Introduction.....	5-1
5.2	Objective.....	5-2
5.3	Resources.....	5-3
5.4	Program Model.....	5-4
5.5	Benefit.....	5-6
5.6	Conclusion.....	5-6
Appendix 6:	Issue Paper 6, Program Management.....	6-1
6.1	Introduction.....	6-1
6.2	What Needs To Be Done?.....	6-1
6.3	How Should It Be Done?.....	6-2

6.4	What Makes It Possible?	6-3
6.5	Why Should It Be Done?	6-4
6.6	Workshop Recommendations	6-4
Appendix 7:	Project Participants and Resumes	7-1
Appendix 8:	Applied Technology Council Projects and Report Information	8-1
Appendix 9:	Applied Technology Council Directors	9-1

Figures

Figure 1	Seismicity of the Conterminous United States	3
Figure 2	Labor Productivity Index for U.S. Construction Industry and all Non-Farm Industries from 1964 through 2001	4
Figure 3	The Code Development Cycle Showing Where the Short-Term and Long-Term Projects Fit into the Development Process	12

Tables

Table 1	Budgetary Requirements	21
---------	------------------------------	----