#### PEER/ATC-72-1

#### Modeling and Acceptance Criteria for Seismic Design and Analysis of Tall Buildings

Prepared by

APPLIED TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL 201 Redwood Shores Pkwy, Suite 240 Redwood City, California 94065 www.ATCouncil.org

in collaboration with Building Seismic Safety Council (BSSC) National Institute of Building Sciences (NIBS) Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)

Prepared for

PACIFIC EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER (PEER) Jack P. Moehle, Principal Investigator Stephen Mahin, Director Yousef Bozorgnia, Executive Director

TASK 7 PROJECT CORE GROUP

James O. Malley (Technical Director) Gregory Deierlein Helmut Krawinkler Joseph R. Maffei Mehran Pourzanjani John Wallace Jon A. Heintz

October 2010

#### Preface

In October 2006, the Applied Technology Council (ATC) began work on a contract assisting the Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center (PEER) in developing guidelines for the seismic design of tall buildings as part of the PEER Tall Buildings Initiative. The purpose of this work was to prepare recommendations for modeling the behavior of tall building structural systems and acceptance values for use in seismic design. Shortly thereafter, ATC secured additional funding on behalf of PEER from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), through the Building Seismic Safety Council (BSSC) of the National Institute of Building Sciences, in support of this effort.

A Workshop on Tall Building Seismic Design and Analysis Issues was conducted in January 2007. The purpose of this workshop was to identify and prioritize seismic design and analytical challenges related to tall buildings by soliciting the opinions and collective recommendations of leading practitioners, regulators, and researchers actively involved in the design, permitting, and construction of tall buildings. The outcome of this workshop is recorded in a companion report, ATC-72 *Proceedings of Workshop on Tall Building Seismic Design and Analysis Issues*, which includes a prioritized list of the most important tall building modeling and acceptance criteria issues needing resolution, based on the opinions of those in attendance.

Using the workshop as a starting point, this report is the result of further work under the PEER Tall Buildings Initiative to develop modeling recommendations and acceptance criteria for design and analysis of tall buildings. It is intended to serve as a resource document for the *Guidelines for Seismic Design of Tall Buildings*, published as a companion report by PEER (2010).

ATC is indebted to the leadership of Jim Malley, Project Technical Director, and to the members of the PEER/ATC-72 Task 7 Project Core Group, consisting of Greg Deierlein, Helmut Krawinkler, Joe Maffei, Mehran Pourzanjani, and John Wallace, for their efforts in researching and assembling the information contained herein. A group of experts on tall building design and analysis was convened to obtain feedback on the recommendations as they were being developed, and input from this group was instrumental in shaping the final product. The names and affiliations of all who contributed to this project are included in the list of Project Participants at the end of this report.

ATC also gratefully acknowledges Jack Moehle, Yousef Bozorgnia, and the PEER Tall Buildings Project Advisory Committee for their input and guidance in the completion of this report, Ayse Hortacsu and Peter N. Mork for ATC report production services, and Charles H. Thornton as ATC Board Contact.

Jon A. Heintz ATC Director of Projects Christopher Rojahn ATC Executive Director

## **Table of Contents**

Prefacei	ii
List of Figuresi	ix
List of Tables xv	ii
1. Introduction 1-   1.1 Background 1-   1.2 Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center Tall 1-   Buildings Initiative 1-   1.3 Workshop on Tall Building Seismic Design and Analysis 1-   Issues 1-   1.4 Issues in Tall Building Design 1-   1.5 Report Organization and Content 1-	-1 -2 -3 -4
2. General Nonlinear Modeling 2-   2.1 Overview of Modeling Issues for Nonlinear Response 2-   History Analysis 2-   2.1.1 Types of Nonlinear Models 2-   2.1.2 Inelastic Component Attributes 2-   2.1.3 Energy Dissipation and Viscous damping 2-   2.1.4 Gravity Load effects in Nonlinear Analysis 2-   2.1.5 Acceptance Criteria 2-   2.2 Deterioration 2-1   2.2.2 Consequences of Deterioration on Structural Response 2-1   2.2.3 Sources of Deterioration 2-1   2.2.4 Modeling of Deterioration 2-1   2.2.5 Analytical Modeling Options 2-2   2.2.6 Sensitivity of Response to Deterioration 2-2   2.2.7 Summary Observations for Modeling of Deterioration 2-2	-1 -1 -3 -5 -5 -6 -8 10 13 14 15 25 27
Deterioration	29 31 34
2.4 Damping 2-3   2.4.1 Physical sources of Damping 2-3   2.4.2 Survey of Damping Assumptions in Design and 2-3   2.4.2 Survey of Damping in Buildings 2-3   2.4.3 Measurement of Damping in Buildings 2-3   2.4.4 Modeling Techniques for Damping 2-4   2.4.5 Recommendations for Nonlinear Analysis and Design 2-5	35 38 39 47
2.5 Expected Properties and Uncertainty 2-5   2.5.1 Statistical Characterization of Modeling 2-5   Uncertainties 2-5	56

3.	Mode	eling of	Frame Components	3-1
	3.1		ng Parameters for Frame Components	
	3.2		ear Modeling of Steel Beam and Column	
			onents	3-2
		3.2.1	Behavioral Considerations for Steel Beams	
		3.2.2	Quantification of Properties for Steel Beams	
		3.2.3	- · ·	
		3.2.4		
		3.2.5		
		3.2.6	Acceptance Criteria for Steel Beams and Columns	
	22		*	
	3.3	3.3.1	ear Modeling of Steel Panel Zones	
			Quantification of Properties for Steel Panel Zones	
	2.4	3.3.2	Acceptance Criteria for Steel Panel Zones	.3-28
	3.4		ear Modeling of Reinforced Concrete Beams,	
			ns, and Beam-Column Joints	.3-28
		3.4.1	Behavioral Considerations for Reinforced Concrete	
			Frame Components	.3-30
		3.4.2	Quantification of Properties for Reinforced Concret	
			Beams and Columns	.3-32
		3.4.3	Quantification of Properties for Reinforced	
			Concrete Beam-Column Joints	.3-42
		3.4.4	Recommendations for Modeling of Reinforced	
			Concrete Frame Components	.3-43
		3.4.5	Acceptance Criteria for Reinforced Concrete Frame	
			Components	
			r	
4.	Mode	eling of	Shear Wall and Slab-Column Frame Systems	4-1
	4.1		ing of Planar and Flanged Reinforced Concrete Shear	
		4.1.1	Beam-Column Element Models	
		4.1.2	Fiber Beam-Column Models	
		4.1.2	Biaxial Fiber and Detailed Finite Element Models	
		4.1.3		
	4.2		Coupled Models (Shear-Flexure Interaction)	
	4.2		fication of Properties for Planar and Flanged Walls	
		4.2.1	Shear Behavior	
		4.2.2	Effective Flexural Stiffness	
		4.2.3	Material Models	.4-16
		4.2.4	Material Models in Commercially Available	
			Software	
		4.2.5	Simulation of Tested Behavior	.4-20
		4.2.6	Model Sensitivity to Material and Model	
			Parameters	.4-26
		4.2.7	Summary Recommendations for Modeling of	
			Planar and Flanged Walls	.4-29
	4.3	Modeli	ing of Coupling Beams	.4-30
		4.3.1	Effective Stiffness	
		4.3.2	Detailing Options and Force-Deformation	
		-	Response	.4-32
		4.3.3	Implied Damage States	
		4.3.4	Simulation of Tested Behavior	
		4.3.5	Summary Recommendations for Modeling of	
		1.5.5	Coupling Beams	4-39
				/ /
	4.4	Respor	use and Behavior of Core Wall Systems	

		4.4.1	Core Wall Geometry, Configuration, and	4 40
		4.4.0	Modeling	4-40
		4.4.2	Summary Findings for Core Wall Response and	
			Behavior	4-48
	4.5		ing of Slab-Column Frame Components and	
			ctions	4-49
		4.5.1	Quantification of Properties for Slab-Column	
			Frames	4-49
		4.5.2	Application to Core Wall Systems	
		4.5.3	Summary Recommendations for Modeling of Sla	
			Column Frames	
	4.6	Perfor	mance of Post-Tensioned Slab-Wall Connections	4-57
A.	Mod	eling of	Podium Diaphragms, Collectors, and Backstay	
	Effe	cts		A-1
	A.1	Podiur	n and Backstay Effects	A-1
		A.1.1	Structural Elements of the Podium	
		A.1.2	Seismic-Force-Resisting Elements of the Tower	A-5
		A.1.3		
		A.1.4	Impact of Structural System Type and Configurat	
			on Backstay Effects	
	A.2	Effects	s of Other Structural Configurations	
	11.2	A.2.1	Buildings Without Backstay Effects	
		A.2.2		
		A.2.3	<b>1</b>	
		A.2.4		
	A.3		near Seismic Response and Capacity Design	
	<b>A</b> .J		Capacity Design	
		A.3.1 A.3.2		
	A.4		ing of Structural Elements	
	A.4	A.4.1	•	
	A 5			
	A.5		tors and Diaphragm Segments	
			Role of Collectors	
			Design for System Overstrength	
		A.5.3	J 1 C C	
	A.6		ragm Flexibility	A-15
		A.6.1	Relative Stiffness of Diaphragms and Vertical	. 17
			Elements	
		A.6.2	Building Code Requirements	
	A.7		Rigid Diaphragm Modeling	
		A.7.1	Linear versus Nonlinear Analysis	
	A.8	Desigr	n of Diaphragms and Collectors	
		A.8.1	Diaphragm In-Plane Shear	
		A.8.2	Strut-and-Tie Models	
		A.8.3	Diaphragm In-Plane Flexure	
		A.8.4	Distribution of Collector Forces	A-20
		A.8.5	Slab Reinforcement for Gravity and Seismic	
			•	A-21
	A.9	Recon	nmended Stiffness Properties for Modeling of	
			tay Effects	A-23
		A.9.1	Lateral Stiffness for Passive Soil Resistance	A-25

Glossary	B-1
References	C-1
Project Participants	D-1

# **List of Figures**

Figure 2-1	Comparison of nonlinear component model types 2-2
Figure 2-2	Illustration of modeling components for a reinforced concrete beam-column: (a) inelastic hinge model; (b) initial (monotonic) backbone curve; and (c) cyclic response model
Figure 2-3	Plots showing different rates of deterioration: (a) slow deterioration; and (b) rapid deterioration
Figure 2-4	Monotonic and cyclic experimental response of a steel beam
Figure 2-5	Hysteretic response of identical steel beam specimens under different loading histories
Figure 2-6	Hysteretic response of identical reinforced concrete column specimens under different loading histories 2-12
Figure 2-7	Incremental dynamic analysis (IDA) curves for a moment-resisting frame example using non-deteriorating and deteriorating component models2-13
Figure 2-8	Parameters of the initial (monotonic) backbone curve of the Ibarra-Krawinkler model
Figure 2-9	Basic options for stable hysteresis characteristics: (a) bilinear; (b) peak oriented; and (c) pinching2-19
Figure 2-10	Simulations obtained with a modified Bouc-Wen model
Figure 2-11	Ramberg-Osgood Model
Figure 2-12	Individual deterioration modes illustrated for a peak- oriented model
Figure 2-13	Ibarra-Krawinkler model calibration examples: (a) steel beam; and (b) reinforced concrete beam
Figure 2-14	Example of a simulation using the Sivalsevan-Reinhorn model showing: (a) experimental results; and (b) calibrated simulation

Figure 2-15	Song-Pincheira model: (a) backbone curve; (b) hysteresis rules for cycles of increasing deflection amplitude; (c) hysteresis rules for small amplitude or internal cycles	.2-23
Figure 2-16	Monotonic and cyclic responses of identical specimens, and skeleton curve fit to cyclic response for: (a) steel beam (Tremblay et al., 1997); and (b) plywood shear wall panel	.2-24
Figure 2-17	Illustration of four options for analytical component modeling	.2-27
Figure 2-18	Effects of deterioration parameters on median collapse capacity of generic 8-story moment-resisting frame (MRF) and shear wall (SW) structures	.2-28
Figure 2-19	Response history of a single degree of freedom system incorporating P-Delta effects	.2-30
Figure 2-20	Pushover deflection profiles for an 18-story frame structure at different roof drifts with P-Delta excluded (thin line), and P-Delta included (thick line)	.2-31
Figure 2-21	Effects of P-Delta on median collapse capacity $(Sa_c/g)$ of: (a) 8-story moment-resisting frame; and (b) shear wall structure deforming in a flexural mode	.2-32
Figure 2-22	Base shear versus roof displacement pushover curves for the SAC 20-story Los Angeles structure	.2-32
Figure 2-23	Dynamic response of SAC 20-story Los Angeles structure using four different analytical models shown as: (a) response histories; and (b) incremental dynamic analyses	.2-33
Figure 2-24	Damping and drift demand data from buildings excited by strong ground motions	
Figure 2-25	Measured damping from buildings in Japan	.2-43
Figure 2-26	Illustration of amplitude dependence of measured damping under wind loading	.2-44
Figure 2-27	Variation in percent of critical damping for mass, stiffne and Rayleigh proportional damping with $\zeta = 2\%$ at T <sub>1</sub> = 5 seconds	-
Figure 2-28	Suggested target limits on damping	
Figure 3-1	Hysteretic response of a steel beam with composite slab	3-6

Figure 3-2	Plot showing comparison of deterioration model to experimental results
Figure 3-3	Cumulative distribution functions for pre-capping plastic rotation, $\theta_p$ , for: (a) full data sets; and (b) beam depths, $d \ge 21$ in
Figure 3-4	Cumulative distribution functions for post-capping rotation, $\theta_{pc}$ , for: (a) full data sets; and (b) beam depths, $d \ge 21$ in
Figure 3-5	Cumulative distribution functions for reference cumulative plastic rotation, $\Lambda$ , for: (a) full data sets; and (b) beam depths, $d \ge 21$ in
Figure 3-6	Dependence of pre-capping plastic rotation, $\theta_p$ , on beam depth, <i>d</i> , for non-RBS connections, full data set 3-11
Figure 3-7	Dependence of pre-capping plastic rotation, $\theta_p$ , on shear span to depth ratio, $L/d$ , for non-RBS connections, full data set
Figure 3-8	Dependence of modeling parameters on $h/t_w$ , for beam depths $d \ge 21$ in., and RBS and non-RBS connections
Figure 3-9	Procedure for obtaining the modified backbone curve for modeling Option 3, and the ultimate rotation, $\theta_u$ , for modeling Option 4
Figure 3-10	Strong column factor, $R_{\mu}$ , required to avoid plastic hinging in columns for a 9-story moment-resisting frame structure
Figure 3-11	Representative results from tests on W14x176 column sections subjected to an axial load and cyclic bending moment: (a) moment versus story drift response for $P/P_y = 0.35$ ; and (b) peak moment versus story drift for $P/P_y = 0.75$
Figure 3-12	Analytical predictions of flexural cyclic response of: (a) W27x146 columns for $P/P_y = 0.35$ ; and (b) W27x194 columns for $P/P_y = 0.55$
Figure 3-13	Analytical model for panel zone
Figure 3-14	Cyclic shear behavior of weak panel zone
Figure 3-15	Trilinear shear force and shear distortion relationship for panel zone

Figure 3-16	Moment and shear forces at a connection due to lateral loads	.3-27
Figure 3-17	Use of two springs to model trilinear behavior	.3-27
Figure 3-18	Shear force-distortion response for a typical panel zone	.3-27
Figure 3-19	Reinforced concrete flexural member: (a) idealized flexural element; (b) monotonic backbone curve and hysteretic response; and (c) monotonic and modified backbone curves.	.3-29
Figure 3-20	Idealization of reinforced concrete beam-column joint	.3-30
Figure 3-21	Definitions of secant elastic stiffness	.3-33
Figure 3-22	Comparison of effective stiffness values of reinforced beam-columns	.3-34
Figure 3-23	Modified force-deformation response curve	.3-39
Figure 3-24	Comparison of plastic rotation parameters for modeling Option 3 versus ASCE/SEI 41-06 <i>Supplement No. 1</i> for: (a) pre-capping rotation capacity; and (b) post-capping rotation capacity	.3-40
Figure 3-25	Comparison of ultimate plastic rotation versus ASCE/SEI 41-06 <i>Supplement No. 1</i> acceptance criteria at the Collapse Prevention performance level for: (a) Option 4; and (b) Option 3	.3-42
Figure 3-26	Recommended rigid end zone offsets for reinforced concrete beam column joints based on relative column and beam strengths	.3-43
Figure 4-1	Equivalent beam-column element representation of a reinforced concrete shear wall	4-2
Figure 4-2	Fiber element representation of a reinforced concrete shear wall.	4-2
Figure 4-3	Biaxial fiber model for bending in two-dimensions	4-4
Figure 4-4	Coupled model and results for a low-aspect ratio wall	4-5
Figure 4-5	Shear force-deformation curves based on: (a) FEMA 356; and (b) ASCE/SEI 41-06 Supplement No. 1	4-6
Figure 4-6	ASCE/SEI 41-06 variation in column shear strength versus ductility demand	4-9

Figure 4-7	Shear force-deformation results for lightly reinforced wall piers
Figure 4-8	Roof displacement response correlation studies for: (a) 10-story walls; and (b) 7-story walls
Figure 4-9	Upper-bound and lower-bound wall flexural stiffness versus: (a) axial load ratio; and (b) displacement ratio 4-13
Figure 4-10	Impact of wall flexural strength on effective stiffness 4-14
Figure 4-11	Comparison between predicted and tested effective stiffness values for: (a) rectangular walls; and (b) T-shaped walls
Figure 4-12	Comparison of modeled and tested moment versus curvature relations for: (a) slender wall; and (b) bridge column
Figure 4-13	Uniaxial material models commonly used in fiber models
Figure 4-14	Material models in commercially available software 4-18
Figure 4-15	Comparison of wall tests versus model results generated by commercially available software
Figure 4-16	Behavior of a rectangular wall section subjected to constant axial load and reverse cyclic loading
Figure 4-17	Comparison of model and test results for a rectangular wall section
Figure 4-18	Comparison of simulated results using two different concrete constitutive models
Figure 4-19	Comparison of measured versus modeled average strain in a rectangular wall section
Figure 4-20	Curvature profiles for a rectangular wall section at three levels of drift
Figure 4-21	Behavior of a flanged (T-shaped) wall section subjected to constant axial load and reverse cyclic loading
Figure 4-22	Comparison of model and test results for a T-shaped wall
Figure 4-23	Distribution of concrete strains in the flange of a T-shaped wall
Figure 4-24	Distribution of reinforcing steel strains in the flange of a T-shaped wall

Figure 4-25	Distribution of concrete strains in the flange of a T-shaped wall
Figure 4-26	Influence of reinforcing steel stress-strain relation on force-deformation response for: (a) elastic-perfectly- plastic; and (b) strain hardening behavior
Figure 4-27	Influence of mesh size on force-deformation response for: (a) 91 elements; and (b) six elements
Figure 4-28	Influence of mesh size on wall strain distribution4-28
Figure 4-29	Coupling beam effective flexural stiffness ratios4-32
Figure 4-30	Coupling beam reinforcement detailing for: (a) prior ACI 318 provisions; and (b) current ACI 318 provisions
Figure 4-31	Coupling beam reinforcement detailing
Figure 4-32	Coupling beam load-deformation relations for specimens B1, B2, B3, and B44-34
Figure 4-33	Comparison of: (a) effective stiffness; and (b) backbone relations for coupling beam test results4-34
Figure 4-34	Crack patterns in a coupling beam with an aspect ratio of $l_n/h=3.33$ at different drift levels
Figure 4-35	Schematic coupling beam models: (a) moment hinge; and (b) shear-displacement hinge4-37
Figure 4-36	Rigid plastic rotational springs for moment-hinge model (half-scale test specimens)4-37
Figure 4-37	Load-deformation relations for moment- and shear- hinge models
Figure 4-38	Configuration and plan section of tall core wall building system used in parametric studies
Figure 4-39	Variation in shear force over height in the: (a) north- south direction; and (b) east-west direction, for each case of relative stiffness
Figure 4-40	Variation in moment over height in the east-west direction, for each case of relative stiffness
Figure 4-41	Comparison of shear force distribution over height for fiber-hinge and fiber-all models4-44
Figure 4-42	Comparison of moment distribution over height for fiber-hinge and fiber-all models4-44

Figure 4-43	Comparison of shear force distribution over height for fiber-hinge and fiber-all models, for each case of relative stiffness
Figure 4-44	Comparison of moment distribution over height for fiber-hinge and fiber-all models, for each case of relative stiffness
Figure 4-45	Distribution of maximum compression and tension strains over height for elements along the north wall of the core
Figure 4-46	Distribution of maximum compression and tension strains over height for elements along the east wall of the core
Figure 4-47	Comparison of shear and moment distributions over height for the 100% Steel and Reduced Steel models 4-48
Figure 4-48	Normalized effective stiffness factors for interior slab- column frames based on Equations 4-10 through 4-12 4-51
Figure 4-49	Model of slab-column connection
Figure 4-50	Unbalanced moment transferred between the slab and column in a torsional connection element
Figure 4-51	ASCE/SEI 41-06 <i>Supplement No. 1</i> modeling parameter <i>a</i> for reinforced concrete and post-tensioned slab-column connections
Figure 4-52	Floor plan and simplified model of the combined slab- column frame and core wall system
Figure 4-53	Application of effective width model to core wall
Figure 4-54	Schematic of the slab model 4-55
Figure 4-55	Comparison of story drifts in the north-south and east-west directions for the core wall model and coupled core-slab model
Figure 4-56	Comparison of column axial stress in the north-south and east-west directions for the core wall model and coupled core-slab model
Figure 4-57	Slab-to-wall connection details for Specimen 1 (left) and Specimen 2 (right)
Figure 4-58	Overall test specimen geometry
Figure 4-59	Force-displacement relations for slab-wall connection Specimens 1 and 2

Figure 4-60	Observed cracking at 2.5% drift in Specimen 1 (left) and Specimen 2 (right)4-60
Figure A-1	Example of a tall building structural system with a concrete core wall superstructure and below-grade perimeter retaining walls forming a podium
Figure A-2	Construction of a concrete core and below-grade levels of a high-rise building
Figure A-3	Construction of concrete walls for a high-rise apartment building. The structural system has two individual walls, at left, and a concrete core, at right
Figure A-4	Example of a setback in a concrete core wall building in which an additional concrete wall extends above the lower podium, but not the full height of the building A-7
Figure A-5	Example of two towers on a common base
Figure A-6	Desirable nonlinear mechanisms for: (a) cantilever wall; and (b) coupled wall
Figure A-7	Example location of a collector (shown hatched)
Figure A-8	Eccentric collector and reinforcement into, and alongside, a shear wall
Figure A-9	Relative stiffness assumptions associated with diaphragm flexibility models

## List of Tables

Table 2-1	Selected Results of Measured Damping in Tall Buildings under Wind-Induced Vibration2-45
Table 2-2	Measured Damping versus Level of Damage from Shaking Table Tests
Table 2-3	Comparison of Effective Damping with Inelastic Softening and Period Elongation
Table 3-1	Modeling Parameters for Various Beam Sizes (non-RBS connections) Based on Regression Equations with Assumed Beam Shear Span $L=150$ in., $L_b/r_y=50$ , and Expected Yield Strength, $F_y=55$ ksi
Table 3-2	Modeling Parameters for Various Beam Sizes (with RBS connections) Based on Regression Equations with Assumed Beam Shear Span $L=150$ in., $L_b/r_y=50$ , and Expected Yield Strength, $F_y=55$ ksi
Table 3-3	Empirical Plastic Rotation Values, $\theta_p$ and $\theta_{pc}$ , for a Representative Column Section
Table 4-1	New Zealand Standard 3101 Coupling Beam Coefficients
Table 4-2	Parametric Variation in Stiffness Parameters
Table A-1	Diaphragm Flexibility and Applicability of Modeling Assumptions
Table A-2	Recommended Stiffness Assumptions for Structural Elements of a Podium and Foundation
Table A-3	Recommended Stiffness Assumptions for Structural Elements of a Tower and Foundation A-24