

# **FEMA 306**

## **EVALUATION OF EARTHQUAKE DAMAGED CONCRETE AND MASONRY WALL BUILDINGS**

### **Basic Procedures Manual**

Prepared by:



**Applied Technology Council (ATC-43 Project)**

555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 550  
Redwood City, California 94065

Prepared for:

**The Partnership for Response and Recovery**

Washington, D.C.

Funded by:

**Federal Emergency Management Agency**

*1998*

## **Applied Technology Council**

---

The Applied Technology Council (ATC) is a nonprofit, tax-exempt corporation established in 1971 through the efforts of the Structural Engineers Association of California. ATC is guided by a Board of Directors consisting of representatives appointed by the American Society of Civil Engineers, the Structural Engineers Association of California, the Western States Council of Structural Engineers Associations, and four at-large representatives concerned with the practice of structural engineering. Each director serves a three-year term.

The purpose of ATC is to assist the design practitioner in structural engineering (and related design specialty fields such as soils, wind, and earthquake) in the task of keeping abreast of and effectively using technological developments. ATC also identifies and encourages needed research and develops consensus opinions on structural engineering issues in a nonproprietary format. ATC thereby fulfills a unique role in funded information transfer.

Project management and administration are carried out by a full-time Executive Director and support staff. Project work is conducted by a wide range of highly qualified consulting professionals, thus incorporating the experience of many individuals from academia, research, and professional practice who would not be available from any single organization. Funding for ATC projects is obtained from government agencies and from the private sector in the form of tax-deductible contributions.

### **1998-1999 Board of Directors**

Charles H. Thornton, President  
Edwin T. Dean, Vice President  
Andrew T. Merovich, Secretary/  
Treasurer  
C. Mark Saunders, Past President  
James R. Cagley  
Arthur N. L. Chiu  
Robert G. Dean

Edwin H. Johnson  
Kenneth A. Luttrell  
Newland J. Malmquist  
Stephen H. Pelham  
Richard J. Phillips  
Charles W. Roeder  
Jonathan G. Shipp

### **Notice**

---

This report was prepared under Contract EMW-95-C-4685 between the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the Partnership for Response and Recovery.

Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views of the Applied Technology Council (ATC), the Partnership for Response and Recovery (PaRR), or the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Additionally, neither ATC, PaRR, FEMA, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, nor assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, product, or process included in this publication. Users of information from this publication assume all liability arising from such use.

For further information concerning this document or the activities of the ATC, contact the Executive Director, Applied Technology Council, 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 550, Redwood City, California 94065; phone 650-595-1542; fax 650-593-2320; e-mail [atc@atcouncil.org](mailto:atc@atcouncil.org).

# Preface

Following the two damaging California earthquakes in 1989 (Loma Prieta) and 1994 (Northridge), many concrete wall and masonry wall buildings were repaired using federal disaster assistance funding. The repairs were based on inconsistent criteria, giving rise to controversy regarding criteria for the repair of cracked concrete and masonry wall buildings. To help resolve this controversy, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) initiated a project on evaluation and repair of earthquake damaged concrete and masonry wall buildings in 1996. The project was conducted through the Partnership for Response and Recovery (PaRR), a joint venture of Dewberry & Davis of Fairfax, Virginia, and Woodward-Clyde Federal Services of Gaithersburg, Maryland. The Applied Technology Council (ATC), under subcontract to PaRR, was responsible for developing technical criteria and procedures (the ATC-43 project).

The ATC-43 project addresses the investigation and evaluation of earthquake damage and discusses policy issues related to the repair and upgrade of earthquake-damaged buildings. The project deals with buildings whose primary lateral-force-resisting systems consist of concrete or masonry bearing walls with flexible or rigid diaphragms, or whose vertical-load-bearing systems consist of concrete or steel frames with concrete or masonry infill panels. The intended audience is design engineers, building owners, building regulatory officials, and government agencies.

The project results are reported in three documents. The FEMA 306 report, *Evaluation of Earthquake Damaged Concrete and Masonry Wall Buildings, Basic Procedures Manual*, provides guidance on evaluating damage and analyzing future performance. Included in the document are component damage classification guides, and test and inspection guides. FEMA 307, *Evaluation of Earthquake Damaged Concrete and Masonry Wall Buildings, Technical Resources*, contains supplemental information including results from a theoretical analysis of the effects of prior damage on single-degree-of-freedom mathematical models, additional background information on the component guides, and an example of the application of the basic procedures. FEMA 308, *The Repair of Earthquake Damaged Concrete and Masonry Wall Buildings*, discusses the policy issues pertaining to the repair of earthquake damaged buildings and illustrates how the procedures developed for the project can be used to provide a technically sound basis for policy decisions. It

also provides guidance for the repair of damaged components.

The project also involved a workshop to provide an opportunity for the user community to review and comment on the proposed evaluation and repair criteria. The workshop, open to the profession at large, was held in Los Angeles on June 13, 1997 and was attended by 75 participants.

The project was conducted under the direction of ATC Senior Consultant Craig Comartin, who served as Co-Principal Investigator and Project Director. Technical and management direction were provided by a Technical Management Committee consisting of Christopher Rojahn (Chair), Craig Comartin (Co-Chair), Daniel Abrams, Mark Doroudian, James Hill, Jack Moehle, Andrew Merovich (ATC Board Representative), and Tim McCormick. The Technical Management Committee created two Issue Working Groups to pursue directed research to document the state of the knowledge in selected key areas: (1) an Analysis Working Group, consisting of Mark Aschheim (Group Leader) and Mete Sozen (Senior Consultant) and (2) a Materials Working Group, consisting of Joe Maffei (Group Leader and Reinforced Concrete Consultant), Greg Kingsley (Reinforced Masonry Consultant), Bret Lizundia (Unreinforced Masonry Consultant), John Mander (Infilled Frame Consultant), Brian Kehoe and other consultants from Wiss, Janney, Elstner and Associates (Tests, Investigations, and Repairs Consultant). A Project Review Panel provided technical overview and guidance. The Panel members were Gregg Borchelt, Gene Corley, Edwin Huston, Richard Klingner, Vilas Mujumdar, Hassan Sassi, Carl Schulze, Daniel Shapiro, James Wight, and Eugene Zeller. Nancy Sauer and Peter Mork provided technical editing and report production services, respectively. Affiliations are provided in the list of project participants.

The Applied Technology Council and the Partnership for Response and Recovery gratefully acknowledge the cooperation and insight provided by the FEMA Technical Monitor, Robert D. Hanson.

Tim McCormick  
PaRR Task Manager

Christopher Rojahn  
ATC-43 Principal Investigator  
ATC Executive Director



# Table of Contents

<b>Preface</b> .....	iii
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	ix
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	xi
<b>List of Test and Inspection Guides</b> .....	xiii
<b>List of Component Damage Classification Guides</b> .....	xv
<b>Prologue</b> .....	xvii
What have we learned? .....	xvii
What does it mean? .....	xviii
<b>1. Introduction and Overview</b> .....	1
1.1 Purpose .....	1
1.2 Scope .....	1
1.3 Basis .....	2
1.4 Overview of the Damage Investigation and Evaluation Procedures .....	4
1.4.1 Introduction and Overview .....	4
1.4.2 Characteristics of Concrete and Masonry Wall Buildings .....	4
1.4.3 Investigation of Earthquake Damage .....	4
1.4.4 Evaluation of Earthquake Damage .....	6
1.4.5 Component Information .....	7
1.4.6 Terms and Symbols .....	7
1.4.7 Related Documents .....	7
1.5 Limitations .....	8
<b>2. Characteristics of Concrete And Masonry Wall Buildings</b> .....	9
2.1 Typical Vertical Elements .....	9
2.1.1 Bearing Walls and Infilled Frames .....	9
2.1.2 Wall Elevations .....	10
2.1.3 Foundation Effects .....	10
2.2 Horizontal Elements .....	10
2.3 Three-Dimensional Considerations .....	14
2.4 Identification of Components .....	14
<b>3. Investigation of Earthquake Damage</b> .....	17
3.1 Characteristics of the Damaging Earthquake .....	17
3.2 Review of Existing Building Data .....	17
3.3 Assessing the Consequences of the Damaging Earthquake .....	18
3.4 Pre-existing Conditions .....	22
3.5 Component Damage Classification .....	23

3.6	Verification .....	24
3.7	Documentation .....	26
3.8	Test and Inspection Guides .....	29
<b>4.</b>	<b>Evaluation of Earthquake Damage .....</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1	Basis of Evaluation .....	61
4.2	Seismic Performance Objectives .....	61
4.3	Seismic Performance Parameters .....	61
4.4	Relative Performance Analysis .....	62
4.4.1	Overview .....	62
4.4.2	Global Displacement Performance Limits .....	64
4.4.3	Component Modeling and Acceptability Criteria .....	65
4.4.4	Global Displacement Demand .....	70
4.5	Performance Restoration Measures .....	74
4.6	An Alternative—The Direct Method .....	75
<b>5:</b>	<b>Reinforced Concrete .....</b>	<b>77</b>
5.1	Introduction and Background .....	77
5.2	Reinforced Concrete Component Types and Behavior Modes .....	77
5.2.1	Component Types .....	77
5.2.2	Behavior Modes and Damage .....	77
5.2.3	Behavior Modes with High Ductility Capacity (Flexural Response) .....	78
5.2.4	Behavior Modes with Intermediate Ductility Capacity .....	78
5.2.5	Behavior Modes with Little or No Ductility Capacity .....	82
5.2.6	Foundation Rocking Response .....	83
5.3	Reinforced Concrete Evaluation Procedures .....	83
5.3.1	Cracking .....	83
5.3.2	Expected Strength and Material Properties .....	84
5.3.3	Plastic-Hinge Location and Length .....	85
5.3.4	Ductility Classifications .....	86
5.3.5	Moment Strength .....	87
5.3.6	Shear Strength .....	88
5.3.7	Wall Boundary Confinement .....	90
5.3.8	Lap Splice Strength .....	91
5.3.9	Wall Buckling .....	92
5.4	Symbols for Reinforced Concrete .....	93
5.5	Reinforced Concrete Component Guides .....	95
<b>6:</b>	<b>Reinforced Masonry .....</b>	<b>107</b>
6.1	Introduction and Background .....	107
6.2	Reinforced Masonry Component Types and Behavior Modes .....	108
6.2.1	Component Types .....	108
6.2.2	Behavior Modes with High Ductility .....	109
6.2.3	Behavior Modes with Moderate Ductility .....	113
6.2.4	Behavior Modes with Low Ductility .....	113

6.3	Reinforced Masonry Evaluation Procedures	114
6.3.1	Material Properties	114
6.3.2	Flexure	114
6.3.3	Shear	116
6.3.4	Sliding	117
6.3.5	Wall Instability	117
6.3.6	Lap-Splice Slip	118
6.3.7	Masonry Beams	118
6.4	Symbols for Reinforced Masonry	120
6.5	Reinforced Masonry Component Guides	121
<b>7:</b>	<b>Unreinforced Masonry</b>	<b>137</b>
7.1	Introduction and Background	137
7.1.1	Section Organization	137
7.1.2	Material Types and Structural Framing	137
7.1.3	Seismically Rehabilitated URM Buildings	138
7.2	Unreinforced Masonry Component Types and Behavior Modes	139
7.2.1	Non-Wall Components	139
7.2.2	Wall Components	142
7.2.3	Foundation Rocking	144
7.2.4	Wall-Pier Rocking	144
7.2.5	Bed-Joint Sliding	146
7.2.6	Bed-Joint Sliding at Wall Base	149
7.2.7	Spandrel-Joint Sliding	150
7.2.8	Rocking/Toe Crushing	150
7.2.9	Flexural Cracking/Toe Crushing/Bed Joint Sliding	151
7.2.10	Flexural Cracking/Diagonal Tension	151
7.2.11	Flexural Cracking/Toe Crushing	151
7.2.12	Spandrel-Unit Cracking	152
7.2.13	Corner Damage	152
7.2.14	Preemptive Diagonal Tension	152
7.2.15	Preemptive Toe Crushing	152
7.2.16	Out-of-Plane Flexural Response	153
7.2.17	Other Modes	154
7.3	Unreinforced Masonry Evaluation procedures	154
7.3.1	Overview	154
7.3.2	Evaluation Procedures for In-Plane Behavior of Piers in Walls with Weak Pier - Strong Spandrel Mechanisms	155
7.3.3	Evaluation Procedures for In-Plane Behavior of Solid Wall Components	157
7.3.4	Evaluation Procedures for In-Plane Behavior of Perforated Walls with Spandrel Damage	157
7.3.5	Evaluation Procedures for Out-of-Plane Behavior of Wall and Pier Components	162
7.4	Symbols for Unreinforced Masonry	163
7.5	Unreinforced Masonry Component Guides	165

<b>8:</b>	<b>Infilled Frames</b>	183
8.1	Introduction and Background	183
8.2	Infilled Frame Masonry Component Types and Behavior Modes	184
8.2.1	Component Types	184
8.2.2	Panel and Frame Modeling and Interaction	187
8.2.3	Behavior Modes	187
8.3	Infilled Frame Evaluation Procedures	197
8.3.1	Solid Infilled-Panel Components	197
8.3.2	Infilled-Panel Components with Openings	199
8.3.3	Out-of-Plane Behavior of Infilled-Panel Components	199
8.3.4	Steel-Frame Components	200
8.3.5	Concrete-Frame Components	200
8.4	Infilled Frame Component Guides	205
	<b>Glossary</b>	215
	<b>List of General Symbols</b>	217
	<b>References</b>	219
	<b>ATC-43 Project Participants</b>	233
	<b>Applied Technology Council Projects And Report Information</b>	237



# List of Figures

Figure 1-1	Global Displacement Capacities for Various Performance Levels. Capacities will vary, depending on damage level and restoration measure. . . . .	2
Figure 1-2	Global Displacement Demands for Restored and Unrestored Damaged Buildings. . . . .	3
Figure 1-3	Flowchart for the Investigation and Evaluation of Earthquake Damage to Concrete and Masonry Wall Buildings. . . . .	5
Figure 2-1	Global Structure, Lateral-Force-Resisting Elements, and Components. . . . .	9
Figure 2-2	Characteristics of Bearing Walls and Infilled Frames . . . . .	11
Figure 2-3	Three General Categories of Concrete and Masonry Wall Configurations . . . . .	12
Figure 2-4	Example Wall Mechanisms and Components . . . . .	13
Figure 3-1	Parameters Needed and Form of Approximate Site Response Spectrum . . . . .	18
Figure 3-2	Peak Ground Acceleration Contours for 1994 Northridge, California, Earthquake. . . . .	19
Figure 3-3	Spectral Acceleration Contours for T=0.3 sec., 1994 Northridge, California, Earthquake . .	21
Figure 3-4	Spectral Acceleration Contours for T=1.0 sec., 1994 Northridge, California, Earthquake . .	22
Figure 3-5	Different Inelastic Lateral Mechanisms and Components for Same Wall Element . . . . .	25
Figure 3-6	Relationship between design strength and expected strength . . . . .	26
Figure 3-7	Component force-deformation behavior, ductility, and severity of damage . . . . .	27
Figure 3-8	Example Component Damage Record . . . . .	28
Figure 4-1	Displacement Parameters for Damage Evaluation . . . . .	63
Figure 4-2	Idealized Component Force-Deformation Relationship . . . . .	64
Figure 4-3	Global Displacement Limits and Component Acceptability used in FEMA 273/274 . . . . .	65
Figure 4-4	Component Modeling Criteria . . . . .	66
Figure 4-5	Component Acceptability Criteria . . . . .	67
Figure 4-6	Component Modification Factors and Damage Severity . . . . .	68
Figure 4-7	Determining $\lambda$ values from structural testing . . . . .	69
Figure 4-8	Maximum Displacement Dependency on Damaging Earthquake . . . . .	71
Figure 4-9	Global Capacity Dependency on Initial and Effective Stiffness . . . . .	71
Figure 4-10	Pre- and Post-Event Capacity Curves with Associated Stiffnesses . . . . .	72
Figure 7-1	Diagram of Parapet Failure. . . . .	140
Figure 7-2	Photo of Parapet Failure . . . . .	141
Figure 7-3	Diagram of an Appendage Failure . . . . .	144
Figure 7-4	Photos of Appendage Failures . . . . .	145
Figure 7-5	Diagram of Wall-Diaphragm Tension Tie Failure . . . . .	146
Figure 7-6	Photo of Wall-Diaphragm Tension Tie Failure . . . . .	147
Figure 7-7	Diagram of Wall-Diaphragm Shear Tie Failure . . . . .	148
Figure 7-8	Examples of Various Masonry Diaphragms. . . . .	149
Figure 7-9	URM Wall Components . . . . .	150
Figure 7-10	Photo of Bed Joint Sliding . . . . .	151
Figure 7-11	Diagram of Corner Damage . . . . .	153
Figure 7-12	Photo of Corner Damage. . . . .	154

Figure 7-13	Spandrel Joint Sliding .....	158
Figure 7-14	Implications of Spandrel Cracking .....	161
Figure 8-1	Ductile reinforced concrete frames with concrete masonry infills tested by Mehrabi et al. (1996) .....	189
Figure 8-2	Bed-joint sliding of a two-bay steel frame-block infill. Model study by Gergely et al. (1994). .....	190
Figure 8-3	Specimen tested by Mander et al. (1993a). Steel frame-clay brick masonry infill. Top and seat angles semi-rigid connections used to connect beams to columns. ....	191
Figure 8-4	Effect of openings on the monotonic lateral-load performance of steel frame-masonry infill tested by Dawe and Seah (1988). ....	192
Figure 8-5	Out-of-plane behavior of infilled masonry walls showing crack patterns and out-of-plane lateral load vs. lateral displacement of an air bag test. ....	193
Figure 8-6	Experiments conducted by Aycardi et al. (1994), showing the performance of nonductile frame members with lap splices at the base of the column.....	194

# List of Tables

Table 2-1	Component Types for Reinforced Concrete Walls . . . . .	15
Table 3-1	Summary of Inspection and Test Procedures . . . . .	20
Table 5-1	Component Types and Descriptions for Reinforced Concrete Walls. . . . .	78
Table 5-2	Behavior Modes for Reinforced Concrete Wall Components. . . . .	79
Table 5-3	Likelihood of Earthquake Damage to Reinforced Concrete Walls According to Wall Component and Behavior Mode. . . . .	80
Table 6-1	Component Types for Reinforced Masonry . . . . .	109
Table 6-2	Likelihood of Earthquake Damage to Reinforced Masonry Components According to Component and Behavior Mode. . . . .	110
Table 6-3	Behavior Modes for Reinforced Masonry Components . . . . .	111
Table 6-4	Initial Clay or Concrete Masonry Properties . . . . .	114
Table 7-1	Behavior Modes for Non-Wall URM Elements . . . . .	142
Table 7-2	Behavior Modes for URM Walls . . . . .	143
Table 8-1	Component Types for Infilled Frames . . . . .	185
Table 8-2	Behavior Modes For Solid Infilled Panel Components . . . . .	188
Table 8-3	Behavior Modes For Infilled Steel-Frame Components . . . . .	196
Table 8-4	Behavior Modes For Infilled Concrete-Frame Components . . . . .	197
Table 8-5	Out-of-plane infill strength parameters. . . . .	201



# List of Test and Inspection Guides

(See Section 3.8)

<u>ID</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
NDE1	Visual Inspection . . . . .	30
NDE2	Sounding . . . . .	34
NDE3	Rebound Hammer . . . . .	36
NDE4	Rebar Detector . . . . .	38
NDE5	Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity . . . . .	40
NDE6	Impact Echo . . . . .	42
NDE7	Spectral Analysis of Surface Waves (SASW) . . . . .	44
NDE8	Radiography . . . . .	46
NDE9	Penetrating Radar . . . . .	48
IT1	Selective Removal . . . . .	50
IT2	Petrography . . . . .	52
IT3	Material Extraction and Testing . . . . .	54
IT4	In Situ Testing - In-Place Shear . . . . .	56
IT5	In Situ Testing - Flat Jack . . . . .	58

## List of Test and Inspection Guides

---

# List of Component Damage Classification Guides

## Concrete (See Chapter 5)

<u>ID</u>	<u>Component Type</u>	<u>Behavior Mode</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
RC1A	Isolated Wall or Stronger Pier	Ductile Flexural . . . . .	96
RC1B	Isolated Wall or Stronger Pier	Flexure/Diagonal Tension. . . . .	98
RC1C	Isolated Wall or Stronger Pier	Flexure/Web Crushing . . . . .	100
RC1D	Isolated Wall or Stronger Pier	Flexure/Sliding Shear . . . . .	101
RC1E	Isolated Wall or Stronger Pier	Flexure/Boundary Compression . . . . .	102
RC2A	Weaker Pier	Ductile Flexural . . . . .	103
RC2H	Weaker Pier	Preemptive Diagonal Tension. . . . .	104
RC3B	Coupling Beam	Flexure/Diagonal Tension. . . . .	105
RC3D	Coupling Beam	Flexure/Sliding Shear . . . . .	106

## Reinforced Masonry (See Chapter 6)

<u>ID</u>	<u>Component Type</u>	<u>Behavior Mode</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
RM1A	Stronger Pier	Ductile Flexural . . . . .	122
RM1B	Stronger Pier	Flexure/Shear . . . . .	124
RM1C	Stronger Pier	Flexure/Sliding Shear . . . . .	126
RM1D	Stronger Pier	Flexure/Out-of-Plane Instability. . . . .	127
RM1E	Stronger Pier	Flexure/Lap Splice Slip . . . . .	128
RM2B	Weaker Pier	Flexure/Shear . . . . .	130
RM2G	Weaker Pier	Preemptive Shear . . . . .	132
RM3A	Weaker Spandrel	Flexure . . . . .	134
RM3G	Weaker Spandrel	Preemptive Shear . . . . .	135

## Unreinforced Masonry (See Chapter 7)

<u>ID</u>	<u>Component Type</u>	<u>Behavior Mode</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
URM2A	Weaker Pier	Wall-Pier Rocking . . . . .	166
URM2B	Weaker Pier	Bed Joint Sliding . . . . .	168
URM3D	Weaker Spandrel	Spandrel Joint Sliding. . . . .	170
URM1F	Solid Wall	Flexural Cracking/Toe Crushing/Bed Joint Sliding . . . . .	172

## List of Component Damage Classification Guides

---

URM2K	Weaker Pier	Diagonal Tension . . . . .	174
URM1H	Solid Wall	Flexural Cracking/Toe Crushing . . . . .	176
URM3I	Weak Spandrel	Spandrel Unit Cracking . . . . .	178
URM1M	Solid Wall	Out-of-Plane Flexural Response . . . . .	180

### Infilled Frames (See Chapter 8)

<u>ID</u>	<u>Component Type</u>	<u>Behavior Mode</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
INPS1	Infill Panel	Corner Crushing . . . . .	206
INPS2	Infill Panel	Diagonal Tension . . . . .	207
INPS3	Infill Panel	Bed Joint Sliding . . . . .	208
INPS4	Infill Panel	Corner Crushing and Diagonal Cracking. . . . .	209
INPS5	Infill Panel	Out-of-Plane. . . . .	210
INF1C1	Concrete Column	Column Snap through Shear Failure . . . . .	211
INF1C2	Concrete Column	Lap Splice Failure . . . . .	212
INF3C	Concrete Frame	Connection Damage. . . . .	213
INF3S	Framed Connection	Simple Connection Damage . . . . .	214