

# IMPROVING THE SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF EXISTING BUILDINGS AND OTHER STRUCTURES

---

PROCEEDINGS OF THE 2009 ATC & SEI CONFERENCE ON IMPROVING  
THE SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF BUILDINGS AND OTHER STRUCTURES

---

December 9–11, 2009  
San Francisco, California

ORGANIZED BY

Applied Technology Council

The Structural Engineering Institute (SEI)  
of the American Society of Civil Engineers

EDITED BY

Barry Goodno, Ph.D., P.E.



Published by the American Society of Civil Engineers

# Foreword

Buildings are the backbone of the world's infrastructure - they house our families and businesses, provide emergency shelter, provide places for education, enable our industries, and bring about an improved standard of living for people throughout the world. Without this backbone, civilization doesn't exist. Each year buildings and other structures are designed and built with a continually improving understanding of their performance during earthquakes, yet the vast majority of structures were built with substantially less understanding of seismic actions than we currently possess.

The challenges to improving the seismic performance of existing buildings and other structures are as broad and varied as the individual structures themselves. How should they be evaluated and strengthened? What plans exist? What materials were used? What assumptions were made? Were they built as designed, and if not, what modifications were made but possibly (probably) not documented?

To begin addressing these and other critical issues, the Applied Technology Council and the Structural Engineering Institute of ASCE organized this conference held on December 9-11, 2009 in San Francisco, California. This inaugural conference is the first conference dedicated solely to improving the seismic performance of existing buildings and other structures. The program was planned to provide a forum for the presentation and exchange of new information on the seismic evaluation and seismic rehabilitation of existing buildings, including case studies, new discoveries, innovative use of new technologies and materials, implementation issues, needed improvements to existing standards and methods, and socio-economic issues.

The goal of the Conference, and hence these proceedings, was to provide an invaluable opportunity to advance the profession's understanding of the tools, techniques and innovations available to assist in meeting the challenges of seismic evaluation and rehabilitation. For those new to the profession, these proceedings are an opportunity to get up to speed on core issues surrounding seismic rehabilitation.

Thank you to everyone who participated in making the Conference a tremendous success whether it was attending, presenting, or helping to organize the event.

**Barry Goodno, Ph.D., P.E., F.ASCE**

Georgia Institute of Technology  
Chair, Program Steering Committee

**Christopher Rojahn, P.E.**

Executive Director, ATC

**James A. Rossberg, P.E.**

Director, SEI of ASCE

# Acknowledgments

## **Program Steering Committee**

**Robert Bachman, P.E., M. ASCE**

Robert E. Bachman SE

**Jerry Hajjar, Ph.D., P.E., F. ASCE**

University of Illinois

**William Holmes, P.E., M. ASCE**

Rutherford & Chekene

**Dawn E. Lehman Ph.D**

University of Washington

**Jack Moehle, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE**

Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center

**Chris Poland, M. ASCE**

Degenkolb Engineers

**Gregory Soules, P.E., S.E., F. ASCE**

Chicago Bridge and Iron Company

**Michael Valley, P.E., M.ASCE**

**John W. Wallace, Ph.D., P.E**

University of California

# Contents

## Plenary Papers

<b>National Seismic Hazard and Risk—The Problem</b>	<b>1</b>
Mary Lou Zoback, Chesley Williams, Patricia Grossi, and Taronne Tabucchi	
<b>Performance-Based Seismic Upgrade of Building Structural Systems: A 2020 Vision</b>	<b>10</b>
Ronald O. Hamburger	
<b>Progress of Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings in the U.S.</b>	<b>17</b>
William T. Holmes	

## Analysis and Rehabilitation Case Studies 1

### Case Studies in Seismic Evaluation and Rehabilitation

<b>Seismic Assessment and Retrofit of BART Parking Structures</b>	<b>32</b>
M. IJ. Schotanus, P. C. Revelli, D. E. Campi, and E. S. Fok	
<b>Seismic Assessment and Retrofit of Engineering Systems in Wellington Hospitals—A Case Study</b>	<b>44</b>
S. R. Uma, Graeme Beattie, and A. B. King	
<b>Seismic Evaluation and Rehabilitation of a Three Story Pre-Northridge Steel Frame Essential Service Facility</b>	<b>56</b>
W. Liu, J. D. Givens, R. Kantikar, and C. Blaney	
<b>Seismic Rehabilitation of an Existing Braced Frame Hospital Building by Direct Replacement with Buckling-Restrained Braces</b>	<b>68</b>
Cale Ash and Stacy Bartoletti	
<b>Seismic Upgrade of a 15-Story Steel Moment Frame Building—Satisfying Performance Criteria with Application of Experimental and Analytical Procedures</b>	<b>75</b>
I. J. Malley, M. Sinclair, T. Graf, C. Blaney, M. Fraynt, C. Uang, J. Newell, and T. Ahmed	

### ASCE 41 Case Studies

<b>Evaluation of the ASCE 41 Linear Elastic Procedure for Seismic Retrofit of Existing Structures: Pros and Cons of the Method</b>	<b>85</b>
Luis A. Toranzo-Dianderas	
<b>Immediate Occupancy Seismic Upgrade of an Operating High-Tech Manufacturing Facility</b>	<b>92</b>
M. Lisbeth Blaisdell, Andrew D. Mitchell, and Laurie K. Johnston	
<b>Nonlinear Analysis of Pre-Northridge Steel High-Rise Building Using Modal-</b>	<b>103</b>

## **Pushover-Based Ground Motion Scaling Procedure**

Ali Sumer, Ryan A. Kersting, and David A. Hutchinson

## **Seismic Rehabilitation of Santa Monica Place Mall Based on ASCE 41** 114

F. Tasbihgoo, C.-M. Lin, and L. Ho

## **Seismic Mitigation Program Case Studies**

## **Observations from California's Unreinforced Masonry and Public School Programs and the California Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan** 125

Fred Turner and Julie Norris

## **Risk Based Seismic Evaluation of Pre-1973 Hospital Buildings Using the HAZUS Methodology** 137

Chris Tokas and Roy Lobo

## **Seismic Analysis and Retrofit of Existing Department of Defense Structures in Accordance with the Unified Facilities Criteria** 153

Nathan C. Gould, Regan M. Milam, and James R. Snow

## **The Policy Problem of Non-Ductile Concrete Buildings in Los Angeles: Costly Earthquakes, Uncertain Owners** 161

Fynnwin Prager, Jennie Tucker, and Lena Prudence Sneberger

## **Analysis and Rehabilitation Case Studies 2**

### **Incremental Seismic Rehabilitation and Cost-Benefit Studies**

## **Financial Benefit of Retrofitting Seismic-Risk Buildings with Passive Control Devices** 173

Seda Dogruel

## **Incremental Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings** 184

Barry H. Welliver

## **Integrated Incremental Seismic Rehabilitation: A Practical Approach to Reducing Risk in Existing Vulnerable Buildings** 190

D. B. Hattis and F. Krimgold

## **Preliminary Results of a Cost-Benefit Assessment of Replacing Seismically Vulnerable Non-Ductile Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures** 201

A. B. Liel and G. G. Deierlein

## **Roble Hall at Stanford University: A Case Study in the Evolution of Seismic Rehabilitation Standards** 212

Bret Lizundia

### **Seismic Evaluation and Rehabilitation of School Buildings: An International Perspective**

## **High Performance Fiber Reinforced Concrete Jacketing in a Seismic Retrofitting** 224

## Application

C. Beschi, A. Meda, and P. Riva

### **Performance-Based Retrofit of School Buildings in British Columbia, Canada** 234

Graham Taylor, Carlos E. Ventura, Freddy Pina, and W. D. Liam Finn

### **Seismic Assessment on In Situ School Testing in Taiwan Using Methodology of ASCE/SEI 41-06** 246

Tsung-Chih Chiou, Yuan-Tao Weng, Shyh-Jiann Hwang, and Kenneth J. Elwood

### **Seismic Risk Reduction for Schools with Stone Slab Roof Systems in Delhi** 258

W. T. Holmes, J. E. Rodgers, S. Wij, M. Gupta, H. Kumar, L. T. Tobin, and A. Seth

## **Earthquake Performance Assessment and Retrofit of Public Buildings in Istanbul: ISMEP Project**

### **Development of Guidelines and Effective Retrofit Strategies for Public Schools and Hospitals in Istanbul, Turkey** 268

H. Kit Miyamoto, Amir S. J. Gilani, S. B. Erdurmus, and M. E. Akdogan

### **Displacement-Based Seismic Rehabilitation of Non-Ductile RC Frames with Added Shear Walls** 281

Haluk Sucuoğlu and Can Karageyik

### **Improving the Seismic Performance of Existing Structures in Istanbul, Turkey** 294

Rafael Alaluf and Craig A. Cole

### **Parametric Evaluation of Seismic Retrofitting Techniques Applied to the Public School Buildings in Istanbul** 307

C. Tuzun, C. Zulfikar, C. Yenidogan, U. Hancilar, M. E. Akdogan, and M. Erdik

### **Performance Comparisons of Seismic Assessment Methods with PSD Test Results of a Deficient RC Frame** 319

G. Ozcebe, E. G. Kurt, B. Binici, O. Kurc, E. Canbay, and U. Akpınar

## **Analysis and Rehabilitation Case Studies 3**

### **Practical Issues with Retrofit of Soft Story Residential Buildings**

#### **Evaluation and Retrofit Provisions for Bay Area Soft Story Woodframe Buildings** 331

Stephen K. Harris, David L. McCormick, Stephen T. Bono, and David Bonowitz

#### **Manufactured, Pre-Engineered Moment Resisting Frames Used in Soft-Story Building Retrofits of Light-Framed Construction** 343

Chris Burnett and Paul McEntee

#### **Recommended Directions for IEBC Appendix Chapter A4: Earthquake Hazard Reduction in Existing Wood-Frame Residential Buildings with Soft, Weak, or Open-Front Walls** 350

Kelly E. Cobeen, Gary R. Searer, Terrence F. Paret, and Sigmund A. Freeman

<b>Soft/Weak Story Problems and Solutions for Residential Structures</b>	<b>358</b>
Gary R. Searer, Joseph Valancius, and Kelly E. Cobeen	
<b>Seismic Performance and Rehabilitation of Non-Building Structures</b>	
<b>Probabilistic Evaluation of Seismic Performance of Vincent Thomas Bridge under Spatially Variable Ground Motions</b>	<b>367</b>
D. Karmakar, S. Ray Chaudhuri, and M. Shinozuka	
<b>Seismic Performance Evaluation of Container Cranes</b>	<b>379</b>
S. Ray Chaudhuri, D. Karmakar, U. J. Na, and M. Shinozuka	
<b>The Excellent Seismic Performance of Steel Orthotropic Bridges</b>	<b>389</b>
Carl Huang, Alfred R. Mangus, and Craig Copelan	
<b>Seismic Evaluation and Rehabilitation Using Performance-Based Objectives</b>	
<b>Fragility Curves for Reinforced Concrete Moment Frames</b>	<b>403</b>
Laura N. Lowes and Jingjuan Li	
<b>Performance Based Seismic Retrofit of the Los Angeles Downtown Women's Center Project</b>	<b>415</b>
Saif M. Hussain, Paul Van Benschoten, Silian Lin, and David Johnson	
<b>Seismic Assessment of Buildings, Considering Post-Earthquake Safety</b>	<b>427</b>
Karl Telleen, Joe Maffei, and Jay Yin	
<b>Suggested Improvements to Guidelines, Standards, and Analysis Procedures 1</b>	
<b>Improving ASCE 31 and 41</b>	
<b>An Action Plan for Improving the Seismic Performance of Existing Buildings: ATC 71</b>	<b>442</b>
A. T. Merovich	
<b>Concept Paper on Utilizing the FEMA P695 (ATC-63) Ground Motion Spectral Shape Guidelines to Adjust the Target Displacement in the ASCE/SEI 41 Nonlinear Static Procedure</b>	<b>453</b>
C. B. Haselton, C. A. Kircher, and A. B. Liel	
<b>Evaluation of Coefficient Method for Seismic Assessment of Existing Buildings Built on Soft Soil Sites</b>	<b>465</b>
Jorge Ruiz-García	
<b>Resilience Criteria for Seismic Evaluation of Existing Buildings: A Proposal to Supplement ASCE 31 for Intermediate Performance Objectives</b>	<b>477</b>
David Bonowitz	
<b>Current Experimental and Analytical Research on Existing Reinforced Concrete Columns</b>	

<b>Experimental Study on Dynamic Behavior of Multi-Story Reinforced Concrete Frames with Non-Seismic Detailing</b>	<b>489</b>
Soheil Yavari, Kenneth J. Elwood, Shih-Han Lin, Chiun-lin Wu, Shyh-Jiann Hwang, and Jack P. Moehle	
<b>Local Deformation Measures for RC Column Shear Failures Leading to Collapse</b>	<b>500</b>
Matthew R. LeBorgne and Wassim M. Ghannoum	
<b>Progressive Collapse Simulation of Reinforced Concrete Buildings Using Column Models with Strength Deterioration after Yielding</b>	<b>512</b>
Toshikazu Kabeyasawa, Toshimi Kabeyasawa, and Yousok Kim	
<b>Response Estimation of Non-Ductile Reinforced Concrete Columns Subjected to Lateral Loads</b>	<b>524</b>
H. Sezen and M. S. Lodhi	
<b>Simultaneous Shear and Axial Failures of Reinforced Concrete Columns</b>	<b>536</b>
Kurt W. Henkhaus, Julio A. Ramirez, and Santiago Pujol	
<b>Development of Guide for Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Concrete Buildings</b>	
<b>Guide for Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Concrete Buildings: Vision</b>	<b>547</b>
K. J. Elwood	
<b>Guide for Seismic Rehabilitation of Concrete Buildings: Summary of Future Changes</b>	<b>551</b>
H. Sezen, J. Dragovich, W. Ghannoum, L. N. Lowes, S. F. Brena, and K. J. Elwood	
<b>A Practical Model for Beam-Column Connection Behavior in Reinforced Concrete Frames</b>	<b>560</b>
Anna Birely, Laura Lowes, and Dawn Lehman	
<b>Seismic Performance Evaluation of Rehabilitated Reinforced Concrete Columns through Jacketing</b>	<b>572</b>
Sergio F. Breña and Sergio M. Alcocer	
<b>Suggested Improvements to Guidelines, Standards, and Analysis Procedures 2</b>	
<b>All About PMLs</b>	
<b>A Definition Undone: Explicit Estimation of PMLs in the Age of Reliance on Design Ground Motion Records</b>	<b>584</b>
Mahmoud M. Hachem, Terrence F. Paret, and Gary R. Searer	
<b>Report Cards for Buildings: A Proposed Rating System for Earthquake Performance</b>	<b>593</b>
Ron Mayes, Kate Stillwell, Marguerite Bello, Mathew Bittleston, Stephen Bono, David Bonowitz, Evelyn Bravo, Doug Hohbach, David McCormick, Lee Pursell, and Ann Roche	



<b>The Problems with PMLs</b>	<b>605</b>
Gary R. Searer, Terrence F. Paret, Brian E. Kehoe, and Mahmoud M. Hachem	
<b>Improving Acceleration Demands for Acceleration-Sensitive Nonstructural Components in Buildings</b>	
<b>A Comprehensive Study of Floor Acceleration Demands in Multi-Story Buildings</b>	<b>616</b>
Eduardo Miranda and Shahram Taghavi	
<b>Response Spectrum Method for Estimation of Peak Floor Acceleration Demand</b>	<b>627</b>
Shahram Taghavi and Eduardo Miranda	
<b>Seismic Acceleration Demands on Nonstructural Components Attached to Elastic and Inelastic Structures</b>	<b>639</b>
Ricardo A. Medina, Joshua Clayton, Ragnath Sankaranarayanan, and Mary Ferguson	
<b>Seismic Performance of Nonstructural Components</b>	
<b>Nonstructural Seismic Performance for Facilities in Seismic Regions: Is the Expected Earthquake Performance Really Being Achieved?</b>	<b>651</b>
Michael J. Griffin and Victoria Winn	
<b>Numerical Study to Investigate the Effect of Elastomeric Snubber Properties on Seismic Response of Vibration-Isolated Nonstructural Components</b>	<b>663</b>
Saeed Fathali and André Filiatrault	
<b>Reducing the Risks of Nonstructural Earthquake Damage</b>	<b>674</b>
Cynthia Perry, Maryann Phipps, and Ayse Hortacsu	
<b>Seismic Vulnerability of Data Centers</b>	<b>686</b>
F. F. Tajirian	
<b>Suggested Improvements to Guidelines, Standards, and Analysis Procedures 3</b>	
<b>Analysis Methods for Seismic Rehabilitation and Evaluation</b>	
<b>A Simplified Nonlinear Analysis Procedure Using Linear Analysis</b>	<b>696</b>
Alireza Asgari and Michael Mehrain	
<b>Experimental and Numerical Validation of Selective Weakening Retrofit for Existing Non-Ductile R.C. Frames</b>	<b>706</b>
W. Y. Kam and S. Pampanin	
<b>FEMA P-440A: Effects of Strength and Stiffness Degradation on the Seismic Response of Structural Systems</b>	<b>721</b>
Jon A. Heintz	
<b>Instrumental Assessment of the Predictive Capability of Nonlinear Static Analysis Procedures for Seismic Evaluation of Buildings</b>	<b>731</b>

Dionisio Bernal and Arash Nasser

**Seismic Retrofitting of Existing RC Frames with Buckling Restrained Braces** 741

L. Di Sarno and G. Manfredi

**From Research to Practice: Transforming Analysis in the Design Office**

**A Simplified Axial-Shear-Flexure Interaction Approach for Load and Displacement Capacity of Reinforced Concrete Columns** 753

H. Mostafaei, F. J. Vecchio, and T. Kabeyasawa

**An Energy Spectrum Method for Seismic Evaluation of Structures** 765

Subhash C. Goel, Wen-Cheng Liao, M. Reza Bayat, and Sutat Leelataviwat

**Seismic Evaluation and Rehabilitation of Concentrically Braced Frames** 777

C. W. Roeder, D. E. Lehman, E. Lumpkin, and P. C. Hsiao

**Simplified Analysis Methods for Low-Rise Buildings**

**Displacement-Based Assessment Procedure for Regular Confined Masonry Buildings in Seismic Regions** 789

Amador Teran-Gilmore and Jorge Ruiz-García

**Ductility-Related Force Modification Factors of Wood Constructions with Shear Walls of Different Ductility** 801

N. Yamaguchi and M. Nakao

**Nonlinear Performance Based Seismic Assessment for Low-Rise Buildings** 813

Robert D. Hanson, Graham Taylor, Carlos Ventura, and Freddy Pina

**Innovative Approaches to Rehabilitation 1**

**New Materials and Innovative Approaches for Seismic Rehabilitation**

**Evaluation of a Sprayable, Ductile Cement-Based Composite for the Seismic Retrofit of Unreinforced Masonry Infills** 823

Sarah L. Billington, Marios A. Kyriakides, Ben Blackard, Kaspar Willam, Andreas Stavridis, and P. Benson Shing

**Experimental Investigation of Concrete Columns Wrapped with Shape Memory Alloy Spirals** 835

Bassem Andrawes and Moochul Shin

**Improving Seismic Performance Using Seismic Isolation and/or Tuned Mass Dampers**

**An Innovative Application of Base Isolation Technology** 841

A. Dutta, J. F. Sumnicht, R. L. Mayes, R. O. Hamburger, and A. Citipitioglu

**Analytical and Experimental Studies on Seismic Behavior of Buildings with Mid-Story Isolation** 855

K. C. Chang, J. S. Hwang, S. J. Wang, and B. H. Lee

<b>Seismic Isolation Retrofit for Existing Buildings in Japan</b>	<b>867</b>
N. Kani and S. Katsuta	
<b>Seismic Retrofit of a Landmark Structure Using a Mass Damper</b>	<b>879</b>
H. Kit Miyamoto, Amir S. J. Gilani, Jaime Garza, and Stephen A. Mahin	
<b>Seismic Retrofitting of Three Important Buildings in Italy and Turkey</b>	<b>892</b>
Agostino Marioni	
<b>Improving the Seismic Evaluation of Existing Structures through Monitoring</b>	
<b>Assessment of ASCE-7 Ground Motion Scaling Method Using Computer Model of Instrumented High-Rise Building</b>	<b>905</b>
Erol Kalkan and Mehmet Çelebi	
<b>Estimation of Seismic Performance of Existing Steel Moment Resisting Frame Buildings by Using Continuous Models</b>	<b>916</b>
Eduardo Miranda and Dimitrios Lignos	
<b>Performance Comparisons of External Strengthening Methods for Deficient RC Frames</b>	<b>926</b>
M. E. Ozkok, R. Ozcelik, and B. Binici	
<b>Improving Seismic Performance Using Viscous or Friction Dampers</b>	
<b>Identification and Modeling of Limit States of Viscous Dampers under Large Earthquakes</b>	<b>937</b>
H. Kit Miyamoto, Amir S. J. Gilani, and Akira Wada	
<b>Seismic Rehabilitation of Extreme Soft-Story School Building with Friction Dampers Using the ASCE 41 Standard</b>	<b>949</b>
P. E. Oyen and J. C. Parker	
<b>Structural Optimization of Viscous Dampers Using Genetic Algorithms for Improving Seismic Performance of Existing Buildings</b>	<b>955</b>
M. Kargahi and C. G. Ekwueme	
<b>Viscous Dampers Used to Renovate Twin 17-Story State Buildings</b>	<b>967</b>
Kenneth A. Luttrell and Arthur E. Ross	
<b>Improved Seismic Performance Using Other Types of Supplemental Damping—I</b>	
<b>Arc Shaped Damper Retrofit Technique for Existing Rail Way Viaduct Structures</b>	<b>979</b>
H. Ohuchi, Y. Nakata, K. Ohgi, and H. Tsunokake	
<b>Comparison of Retrofitting Techniques for Existing Steel Moment Resisting Frames</b>	<b>988</b>
Dimitrios G. Lignos, Carlos Molina-Hunt, Andrew D. Krebs, and Sarah L. Billington	
<b>Seismic Retrofitting Using Energy Dissipation Façades</b>	<b>1000</b>

T. Takeuchi, K. Yasuda, and M. Iwata

**Seismic Retrofit Using Rocking Walls and Steel Dampers** 1010

A. Wada, Z. Qu, H. Ito, S. Motoyui, H. Sakata, and K. Kasai

### **Improved Seismic Performance Using Other Types of Supplemental Damping—II**

**Dynamic Response of Steel Moment-Frame Structures with Hybrid Passive Control Systems** 1022

Justin D. Marshall and Finley A. Charney

**Non-Structural Reinforced Concrete Partition Walls as Secondary Damping Devices** 1034

Kaori Okubo, Hitoshi Shiohara, Hüseyin Darama, and Kazuo Tamura

**The Evaluation of a Damper Device with High Damping Rubber for Wooden Houses** 1046

Tomoki Furuta and Masato Nakao

## **Innovative Approaches to Rehabilitation 2**

### **Case Study of Comprehensive Nonlinear Analysis and Laboratory Testing of RC Concrete Structure**

**Benefits of Using Nonlinear Analysis on Seismic Retrofit from Structural Engineering Standpoint** 1057

Aaron Reynolds and Methee Chiewanichakorn

**Seismic Rehabilitation—Benefits of Component Testing** 1068

Leonardo Massone, Kutay Orakcal, and John Wallace

**The Importance of Performance-Based Geotechnical Parameters for Nonlinear Analysis** 1077

Mark A. Murphy and Marshall Lew

### **Infilled Non-Ductile Concrete Frames**

**FRP Retrofit for Collapse Mitigation of RC Frames with URM Infills: 3-D Computational Modeling of an As-Built and Retrofitted One Story Building** 1086

Wassim I. Naguib, Mohamed Talaat, and Ahmet Citipitioglu

**Infill Walls as a Spine to Enhance the Seismic Performance of Non-Ductile Reinforced Concrete Frames** 1093

S. Günay, M. Korolyk, D. Mar, K. M. Mosalam, and J. Rodgers

**Seismic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Frame with New CFRP Units Infilled Wall** 1105

Y. Tateishi, Y. Jinno, Y. Kimoto, and A. Hattori

**Seismic Performance of Non-Ductile RC Frames with Brick Infill** 1117

P. Benson Shing, Andreas Stavridis, Ioannis Koutromanos, Kaspar Willam, Ben Blackard, Marios

A. Kyriakides, Sarah L. Billington, and Scott Arnold

## **Mitigation Policy Issues, Strategies, and Ongoing Programs 1**

### **Addressing the Global Earthquake Risks Posed by Existing Buildings**

[Istanbul Seismic Risk Mitigation and Emergency Preparedness Project \(ISMEP\)](#) 1129

K. G. Elgin

[Building Capacity in Delhi to Seismically Retrofit Existing Important Buildings](#) 1141

J. E. Rodgers, H. Kumar, and L. T. Tobin

[Earthquakes and Existing Buildings: New Zealand Experience 1968 to 2008](#) 1153

David C. Hopkins

### **Policy Issues with Soft Story Residential Buildings**

[Mitigating San Francisco's Soft-Story Building Problem](#) 1163

Laura Dwelley Samant, Keith Porter, Kelly Cobeen, L. Thomas Tobin, Laurence Kornfield, Hope Seligson, Simon Alejandrino, and John Kidd

[Problem to Policy: Linking Hazard and Residential Building Data to Policy Decisions](#) 1175

J. B. Perkins

### **Emerging Seismic Mitigation Programs for Hazardous Wood-Frame Structures**

[ATC-50, Seismic Grading and Retrofitting Project for Detached Single-Family Wood-Frame Dwellings](#) 1180

Ronald T. Eguchi, Christopher Rojahn, David Breiholz, Shafat Qazi, Robert A. Bruce, L. Thomas Tobin, and Nick Delli Quadri

[Loss Estimates for Large Soft-Story Woodframe Buildings in San Francisco](#) 1191

Keith Porter and Kelly Cobeen

[Strengthening of Existing Light-Framed Buildings with Gypsum Shear Walls Using a Newly Developed Fiber Reinforced Polymer \(FRP\) Assembly](#) 1204

Victor Reyes, William P. Graf, and Scott F. Arnold

[Use of Garage Doors to Resist Lateral Forces](#) 1213

Laurence Kornfield and Patrick Buscovich

## **Mitigation Policy Issues, Strategies, and Ongoing Programs 2**

### **Concrete Coalition: Finding and Fixing Dangerous Buildings**

[The Concrete Coalition: A Panel Discussion on Understanding the Policy, Inventory, and Technical Problems Associated with Pre-1980 Concrete Buildings](#) 1224

Craig D. Comartin, Marjorie Greene, Thalia Anagnos, David L. McCormick, and Jack Moehle

### **Overcoming Technical Impediments to Risk Awareness**

**A ShakeCast User's Observations on the Benefits of Situational Awareness for Seismic Risk Management** 1235

Loren L. Turner, David Wald, and Kuo-Wan Lin

**Applications and Challenges to Using HAZUS-MH for Building Seismic Risk Awareness** 1241

Stefan Otto

**End-to-End Seismic Risk Management Software** 1247

Keith Porter, Sid Hellman, Tom McLane, and Cathleen Carlisle

**Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Assessment for Quetta and Surrounding Region** 1258

Mohammad Tahir, M. Ali Shah, J. R. Grasso, M. Qaisar, and Javed Iqbal

### **Earthquake Surface Fault Rupture Design Considerations**

**Designing Buildings to Accommodate Earthquake Surface Fault Rupture** 1269

Jonathan D. Bray

**Evaluation and Retrofit for Fault Rupture: UC Berkeley, Bowles Hall** 1281

Joe Maffei, Lucy Redmond, and John Burton

**UC Berkeley's California Memorial Stadium: Seismic Strengthening of an Historic Structure Residing over an Active Fault** 1295

Rene Vignos, Mason Walters, Geoff Bomba, and David Friedman

## **Mitigation Policy Issues, Strategies, and Ongoing Programs 3**

### **Improving the Seismic Performance of Historic Buildings: An International Perspective**

**Seismic Assessment and Rehabilitation of Historical Unreinforced Masonry (URM) Buildings in Istanbul** 1305

Ufuk Hancilar, Eser Durukal, and Mustafa Erdik

**The Improving of the Seismic Performance of Existing Old Public Unreinforced Masonry Buildings** 1317

I. Vlad

**Traditional and Innovative Techniques for the Seismic Strengthening of Barrel Vaulted Structures Subjected to Rocking of the Abutments** 1329

Luca Ferrario, Alessandra Marini, Paolo Riva, and Ezio Giuriani

## **Posters**

### **Poster Session 1**

**Cyclic Model for High Performance Fiber Reinforced Cementitious Composite Structures** 1341

Chung-Chan Hung and Sherif El-Tawil

<b>Development of Seismic Vulnerability Curves for Masonry Buildings Using the Applied Element Method</b>	<b>1353</b>
A. Karbassi and M.-J. Nollet	
<b>Distribution of Inelastic Demand in Slender R/C Shear Walls Subjected to Eastern North America Ground Motions</b>	<b>1361</b>
I. Ghorbanirenani, A. Rallu, R. Tremblay, and P. Léger	
<b>Importance of Wood and Iron Tension Members on Seismic Performance of Historic Masonry Buildings: Three Case Studies from Turkey</b>	<b>1374</b>
Oguz C. Celik, Haluk Sesigur, and Feridun Cili	
<b>Seismic Enhancement of Existing Buildings by Means of Fiber Reinforced Concrete Diaphragms</b>	<b>1384</b>
A. Marini, G. Plizzari, and C. Zanotti	
<b>Seismic Resistance of Fire-Damaged Reinforced Concrete Columns</b>	<b>1396</b>
H. Mostafaei, Frank J. Vecchio, and N. Bénichou	
<b>Poster Session 2</b>	
<b>Static Pushover Analysis Based on an Energy-Equivalent SDOF System: Application to Spatial Systems</b>	<b>1408</b>
Grigorios Manoukas, Asimina Athanatopoulou, and Ioannis Avramidis	
<b>Steel Bar Fracture of Reinforced Concrete Frame under Extremely Strong Seismic Load</b>	<b>1417</b>
Motoo Saisho	
<b>Structural Pounding Response Mitigation by Liquid Dampers</b>	<b>1429</b>
Nirand Anunthanakul and Chatchai Jiansinlapadamrong	
<b>Studying the Rehabilitation of Existing Structures Using Compound System of Cables and Shape Memory Alloys</b>	<b>1440</b>
S. M. Zahrai and M. J. Hamidia	
<b>Poster Session 3</b>	
<b>Seismic Retrofit of Reinforced Concrete Beam-Column Joints with CFRP Composites</b>	<b>1449</b>
H. Shiohara, F. Kusuhara, S. Tajiri, and H. Fukuyama	