



# Interim Testing Protocols for Determining the Seismic Performance Characteristics of Structural and Nonstructural Components

FEMA 461 / June 2007



**FEMA**





# Interim Testing Protocols for Determining the Seismic Performance Characteristics of Structural and Nonstructural Components

Prepared by the

APPLIED TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL  
201 Redwood Shores Parkway, Suite 240  
Redwood City, California 94065  
[www.ATCouncil.org](http://www.ATCouncil.org)

In Cooperation with the



MID-AMERICA EARTHQUAKE (MAE) CENTER  
Urbana, Illinois



MULTIDISCIPLINARY CENTER FOR EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING  
RESEARCH (MCEER)  
Buffalo, New York



PACIFIC EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH (PEER) CENTER  
Berkeley, California

Prepared for

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
Department of Homeland Security (DHS)  
Michael Mahoney, Project Officer  
Washington, D.C.



**FEMA**



## Notices

---

Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Homeland Security's Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the National Science Foundation (NSF), the Applied Technology Council (ATC), the Mid-America Earthquake (MAE) Center, the Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (MCEER), or the Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research (PEER) Center. Additionally, neither ATC, FEMA, MAE, MCEER, NSF, PEER, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, nor assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, product, or process included in this publication. Users of information from this publication assume all liability arising from such use.

This work was supported in part by the Earthquake Engineering Research Centers Program of the National Science Foundation, under NSF Award Numbers EEC-9701785 (MAE Center), EEC-9701471, (MCEER), and EEC-9701568 (PEER Center).

*Cover Photo:* Roof-top Air Handling Unit being tested on a shake table in the Structural Engineering and Earthquake Simulation Laboratory at the University at Buffalo. Photo courtesy of the American Society for Heating Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers and MCEER.

---

# Foreword

One of the primary goals of the Department of Homeland Security's Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) is prevention or mitigation of this country's losses from hazards that affect the built environment. To achieve this goal, we as a nation must determine what level of performance is expected from our buildings during a severe event, such as an earthquake. To do this, several years ago FEMA contracted with the Applied Technology Council (ATC) to develop next-generation performance-based seismic design guidelines, which would allow stakeholders and their representatives to assess the probable seismic performance of new and existing buildings, and to be able to design or improve their structures to meet their performance goals. These guidelines could be voluntarily used by engineers and designers to: (1) assess and improve the performance of buildings that are currently designed to a building code "life safety" level, which would, in all likelihood, still suffer significant structural and nonstructural damage in a severe event; and (2) more effectively meet the performance targets of current building codes by providing verifiable alternatives to current prescriptive code requirements. This program is based on a long-term plan published as FEMA 445, which was developed with the input of the nation's leading seismic professionals.

One of the key requirements in performance based seismic design is the ability to test and evaluate the intended performance of the various structural and nonstructural components that make up a building. To develop this testing criteria, the project worked closely with the three Earthquake Engineering Research Centers (EERC) funded by the National Science Foundation. The three EERC's are:

- The Mid-America Earthquake Center (MAE)
- The Multidisciplinary Center for Research in Earthquake Engineering Research (MCEER)
- The Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center (PEER)

The three EERC's and others involved in the project have done an excellent job developing these interim testing protocols for structural and nonstructural components. These protocols will go a long way towards bringing consistency to the future testing of these components, and will help the various industries by providing a clear seismic performance target towards which they can now aim.

It is FEMA's hope that as performance based seismic design moves into the mainstream, these protocols will ultimately become standardized and more broadly used.

FEMA wishes to express its sincere gratitude to all who were involved in this project and in the development of this publication. The result of their hard work and dedication will play an important role in helping the nation move towards performance-based seismic design and reducing losses suffered by our citizens in future earthquakes.

—Federal Emergency Management Agency

---

# Preface

In October 2001 the Applied Technology Council (ATC), with funding from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Department of Homeland Security, commenced work on a multi-year project to development performance-based seismic design guidelines for eventual incorporation in existing standards for the seismic design of new buildings and the upgrade of existing buildings (ATC-58 project). The plan for development of the guidelines is defined in the companion FEMA 445 report, *Next-Generation Performance-Based Seismic Design Guidelines, Program Plan for New and Existing Buildings*, which was prepared under the ATC-58 project and published by FEMA in 2006.

As part of the initial work on the ATC-58 project, interim recommended protocols (documented herein) were developed for testing of structural and nonstructural components and systems found in buildings, for the purpose of establishing their seismic performance characteristics. The protocols were developed through a cooperative effort of ATC and the three National Science Foundation-funded Earthquake Engineering Research Centers (EERCs): the Mid-America Earthquake (MAE) Center at the University of Illinois, Urbana; the Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (MCEER), University at Buffalo, The State University of New York; and the Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research (PEER) Center at the University of California, Berkeley.

Two interim protocol types are provided in this document:

- Interim Protocol I – Quasi-Static Cyclic Testing, which should be used for the determination of performance characteristics of components whose behavior is primarily controlled by the application of seismic forces or seismic-induced displacements (e.g., cladding panels, glazing panels, drywall partitions, piping and ducting system connections, ducts, and various types of anchors and braces); and
- Interim Protocol II – Shake Table Testing, which should be used to assess performance characteristics of components whose behavior is affected by the dynamic response of the component itself, or whose behavior is velocity sensitive, or sensitive to strain-rate effects (e.g., mechanical and electrical equipment).

The document also contains an introductory chapter that presents an overview of performance-based seismic design (to provide context for the recommended interim testing protocols) and discussions on a variety of topics and issues germane to these protocols. A Commentary is provided for each protocol (in the chapter immediately following the protocol), and an appendix is included that describes the process used to develop nonstructural component fragility functions based on laboratory testing.

Christopher Rojahn  
ATC Executive Director



---

# Acknowledgements

Numerous individuals and institutions deserve credit for the development of the interim protocols provided in this document. The need for the protocols was first recognized by the FEMA Performance-Based Seismic Design Project (ATC-58) Nonstructural Performance Products Team, consisting of Robert Bachman (Team Leader), David Bonowitz, Philip Caldwell, Andre Filiatrault, Robert Kennedy, Gary McGavin, Eduardo Miranda, and Keith Porter. The protocol development effort was carried out by three protocol teams: (1) the Shaking Table Testing Protocol Team, consisting of Andre Filiatrault (Team Leader), Philip Caldwell, Peter Dusicka, Tara Hutchinson, Ahmad Itani, Eduardo Miranda, Gokhan Pekcan, Andrei Reinhorn, Jose Restrepo, and James Wilcoski; (2) The Racking Testing Protocol Team, consisting of Helmut Krawinkler (Team Leader), David Bonowitz, Barry Goodno, Steven Kuan, Joseph Maffei, Ali Memari, Jose Restrepo, and Chia-Ming Uang; and (3) the Component Cyclic Testing Protocol Team, consisting of Manos Maragakis (Team Leader), George Antaki, Scott Campbell, and Robert Kennedy.

Additional guidance and input was provided by participants in the FEMA/ATC-58 Workshop on *Interim Protocols for Seismic Performance Assessment Testing of Nonstructural Component*, held on November 4-5, 2004 in the San Francisco Bay area. Participants included the individuals cited above as well as members of the Project Team, FEMA representatives, and invited participants: Dennis Alvarez, John Caffrey, James Carlson, Mary Comerio, Craig Comartin (Risk Management Products Team Leader), William Gates, Jeff Gatscher, Nathan Gould, Ronald Hamburger (Project Technical Director), Robert Hanson (FEMA Technical Monitor), Brian Kehoe, Charles Kircher (Risk Management Products Team Member), Richard Lloyd, Mike Mahoney (FEMA Project Officer), Sami Masri, Kelly Merz, Christopher Rojahn (Project Executive Director), Anshel Schiff, John Silva, Donald Smith, Greg Soules, William Staehlin, and Chris Tokas.

Project and Workshop coordination was provided by Bernadette Hadnagy, and editorial and publication services were provided by Gerald Brady and Peter Mork. The affiliations of these individuals and those cited above are provided in the list of Project Participants and the list of Workshop Participants at the end of this document.

This work was also supported in part by the Earthquake Engineering Research Centers Program of the National Science Foundation, under NSF Award Numbers EEC-9701785 (MAE Center), EEC-9701471, (MCEER), and EEC-9701568 (PEER Center).

Funding for the development of this report under the ATC-58 Performance-Based Seismic Design Project was provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency through Contract EMW-2001-CO-0378. The leadership, insight, and support provided by Michael Mahoney (FEMA Project Officer) and the review comments by Robert Hanson (FEMA Technical Monitor) are gratefully acknowledged.

---

# Contents

Foreword.....	iii
Preface.....	v
Acknowledgements.....	vii
List of Figures.....	xiii
List of Tables .....	xvii
Executive Summary .....	xix
1. Introduction and General Considerations .....	1
1.1 Purpose and Background .....	1
1.2 Performance Based Design .....	1
1.3 Fragility Functions.....	4
1.4 Relevant Damage States and Performance Levels.....	8
1.5 Uncertainty Issues.....	9
1.6 Damage and Repair Costs.....	10
1.7 Nonstructural Component Qualification.....	11
1.8 Protocol Types .....	12
2. Interim Protocol I – Quasi-Static Cyclic Testing.....	13
2.1 Scope .....	13
2.2 Applicability .....	14
2.3 Component Documentation .....	15
2.3.1 Structural Components .....	15
2.3.2 Nonstructural Components .....	15
2.4 Procurement, Fabrication and Inspection of Test Specimens .....	16
2.5 Extrapolation and Interpolation to Similar Components .....	16
2.6 Test Facility Standards.....	17
2.6.1 Laboratory.....	17
2.6.2 Equipment.....	17
2.7 Test Plan and Procedures .....	18
2.8 Loading and Load Control.....	20
2.8.1 Deformation-Controlled Testing.....	20
2.8.2 Force-Controlled Testing.....	21
2.8.3 Directions of Loading .....	21
2.9 Loading Histories.....	21
2.9.1 Unidirectional Testing .....	21
2.9.2 Bidirectional Testing.....	24
2.9.3 Force-Controlled Loading.....	24

2.10	Reporting.....	26
C2.	Commentary on Interim Protocol I – Quasi-Static Cyclic Testing.....	29
C2.6	Test Facility Standards	
	C2.6.2 Equipment .....	29
C2.7	Test Plan and Procedures .....	29
C2.8	Loading and Load Control .....	32
C2.9	Loading Histories .....	39
3.	Interim Protocol II – Shake Table Testing.....	53
3.1	General .....	53
	3.1.1 Scope.....	53
	3.1.2 Definitions.....	53
3.2	Test Procedures .....	54
	3.2.1 Types of Testing and Sequence.....	54
	3.2.2 Pretest Inspection and Functional Verification .....	54
	3.2.3 Definition and Documentation of Functional Performance and Anticipated Damage States .....	55
	3.2.4 System Identification Tests .....	55
	3.2.5 Performance Evaluation Tests.....	57
	3.2.6 Failure Tests.....	57
3.3	Intensities of Test Shaking .....	58
3.4	Directions of Shaking.....	58
3.5	Data Acquisition System.....	59
3.6	Test Plan.....	59
3.7	Input Motions.....	59
3.8	Time Stamping and Damage State Documentation.....	61
3.9	Notch Filtering of Input Motions .....	62
3.10	Testing Equipment .....	63
	3.10.1 Shake Tables .....	63
	3.10.2 Instrumentation and Monitoring .....	64
	3.10.3 Data Acquisition.....	66
	3.10.4 Safety Procedures.....	66
3.11	Test Report.....	67
	3.11.1 Test Specimen Description.....	67
	3.11.2 List of Specimens Tested .....	67
	3.11.3 Preliminary System Identification Tests and Report Requirements.....	68
	3.11.4 Measured Fidelity Data .....	68
	3.11.5 Performance and Failure Test Evaluations.....	68
	3.11.6 Photographs and Video Recordings .....	69
C3.	Commentary on Interim Protocol II – Shake Table Testing .....	71
C3.1	General	
	C3.1.1 Scope.....	71
C3.2	Test Procedures	
	C3.2.2 Pretest Inspection and Functional Verification .....	71
	C3.2.3 Definition and Documentation of Functional Performance and Anticipated Damage States .....	71
C3.7	Input Motions.....	72

C3.9	Notch Filtering of Input Motions.....	89
C3.10	Testing Equipment.....	91
C3.10.1	Shake Tables.....	92
C3.10.2	Instrumentation and Monitoring.....	94
Appendix A:	Determination of Fragility Functions Based on	
	Laboratory Data.....	95
A.1	Purpose.....	95
A.2	Background.....	95
A.3	General Procedure.....	97
References.....		101
Acronyms.....		103
Project Participants.....		105
Workshop Participants:	Workshop on Interim Protocols for Seismic	
	Performance Assessment Testing of Nonstructural Components.....	111