



Seismic Retrofit Guidelines for Detached, Single-Family, Wood-Frame Dwellings

FEMA P-50-1 / June 2012



FEMA



Seismic Retrofit Guidelines for Detached, Single-Family, Wood-Frame Dwellings

Prepared by

APPLIED TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL
201 Redwood Shores Parkway, Suite 240
Redwood City, California 94065
www.ATCouncil.org

Prepared for

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
Michael Mahoney, Project Officer
Washington, D.C.

TASK ORDER CONTRACT MANAGEMENT
Christopher Rojahn (Project Executive Director)
Thomas R. McLane (Project Manager)
Jon A. Heintz (Project Quality Control Monitor)
William T. Holmes (Project Technical Monitor)

PROJECT REVIEW PANEL
Kelly E. Cobeen
Susan Dowty
Ronald T. Eguchi
Douglas C. Hohbach

REPORT PREPARATION CONSULTANT
Robert Bruce

June 2012



Notice

Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views of the Applied Technology Council (ATC), the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), or the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Additionally, neither ATC, DHS, FEMA, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, nor assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, product, or process included in this publication. Users of information from this publication assume all liability arising from such use.

Cover Photos: Source: FEMA G225-CD, *Seismic Retrofit Training for Building Contractors & Inspectors*, 2006.

Foreword

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has the goal of reducing the ever-increasing cost that disasters inflict on our country. Preventing losses before they happen by designing and building to withstand anticipated forces from these hazards is one of the key components of mitigation, and is the only truly effective way of reducing the cost of disasters. As part of its responsibilities under the National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP), and in accordance with the National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Act of 1977 (PL 94-125) as amended, FEMA is charged with supporting activities necessary to improve technical quality in the field of earthquake engineering. The primary method of addressing this charge has been supporting the investigation of seismic technical issues as they are identified by FEMA, the development and publication of technical design and construction guidance products, the dissemination of these products, and support of training and related outreach efforts.

In recent earthquake events, typical wood-frame residential structures were observed to have suffered more damage than had traditionally been thought. This risk is magnified by the sheer numbers of these buildings that exist in moderate and high seismic regions in our country.

This residential seismic retrofit guidelines document and a companion seismic assessment procedure were originally developed by the Applied Technology Council (ATC) for the City of Los Angeles using FEMA disaster funds following the 1994 Northridge earthquake. At a recent workshop on seismic rating systems, one of the recommendations was to update and expand that original ATC-50 seismic assessment system for national use. FEMA supported the development of the expanded residential rating system (FEMA P-50) and this accompanying retrofit guidelines document (FEMA P-50-1) to be applicable in all high seismic areas of the country. FEMA supported this work not to promote the use of a residential rating system, but to provide a tool that communities or other entities could then use to encourage the seismic retrofitting of residential structures, thereby reducing future earthquake losses.

FEMA wishes to express its gratitude to Robert Bruce, the principal developer of this report, and the Project Review Panel of Kelly E. Cobeen, Susan Dowty, Ronald T. Eguchi, and Douglas C. Hohbach.

Federal Emergency Management Agency

Preface

In September 2011 the Applied Technology Council (ATC), with funding from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) under Task Order Contract HSFEHQ-08-D-0726, commenced the updating of the ATC-50-1 report, *Seismic Retrofit Guidelines for Detached, Single-Family, Wood-Frame Dwellings* (ATC, 2002), which had been written for use in Los Angeles, California. The project's purpose was to make the ATC-50-1 document nationally applicable and, at the same time, take advantage of technological developments, including code developments, that have occurred since 2002. The update effort was one of several projects in a task order series to develop written guidance for FEMA on the creation, update, and maintenance of seismic evaluation and retrofit documents for existing buildings.

The ATC-50-1 report was originally developed in 2002 (first printing) and modified in 2007 (second printing) to include updated contact information for important related resources. The original project was prompted by high economic losses resulting from damage to single-family, wood-frame dwellings during the 1994 Northridge earthquake, and focused on the development and testing of standardized procedures for voluntary seismic evaluation and retrofit. In addition to the ATC-50-1 report, two additional documents were also prepared in the original project: (1) the ATC-50 report, *Simplified Seismic of Detached Detached, Single-Family, Wood-Frame Dwellings*; and (2) the ATC-50-2 report, *Safer at Home in Earthquakes: A Proposed Earthquake Safety Program*.

In a separate recent related FEMA-funded project, ATC also updated the ATC-50 report to incorporate an expanded Simplified Seismic Assessment Form for national application. Evaluation of a dwelling using the Simplified Seismic Assessment Form enables an inspector to assign a Seismic Performance Grade for the dwelling and identify portions of the dwelling in need of retrofit. The updated document is now available as FEMA P-50, *Simplified Seismic Assessment of Detached, Single-Family, Wood-Frame Dwellings* (FEMA, 2012).

This FEMA P-50-1 *Guidelines* document includes specific guidance for retrofitting a dwelling's seismic deficiencies (identified using the FEMA P-50 Simplified Seismic Assessment Form) and potentially improving its

Seismic Performance Grade. The updated *Guidelines* incorporate methods that utilize:

- the Cripple Wall and Anchorage Provisions of the *International Existing Building Code*;
- the *International Residential Code* Provisions
- prescriptive or engineered methods for the retrofit of nonstructural building elements; and
- engineered structural retrofit procedures.

ATC is indebted to Robert Bruce, who was the principal developer of these updated *Guidelines*, and to the Project Review Panel, which consisted of Kelly E. Cobeen, Susan Dowty, Ronald T. Eguchi, and Douglas C. Hohbach. Thomas R. McLane served as Project Manager, and Peter N. Mork and Bernadette Hadnagy provided report production services. The affiliations of these individuals are provided in the list of Project Participants.

ATC also gratefully acknowledges the input, support, and guidance provided by Michael Mahoney (FEMA Project Officer) and Jennifer Lynette (FEMA Region IX).

Christopher Rojahn
ATC Executive Director

Table of Contents

Foreword.....	iii
Preface.....	v
List of Figures.....	xi
List of Tables	xvii
Illustration Credits.....	xix
1. Introduction	1-1
1.1 Background	1-1
1.2 Intended Users of the Guidelines	1-1
1.3 Purpose and Scope of the Guidelines	1-1
1.4 Contents and Organization of the Guidelines.....	1-2
1.5 Key References.....	1-3
2. Basics of Seismicity, Wood-Frame House Construction, and Seismic Resistance.....	2-1
2.1 Seismic Hazards in the United States	2-1
2.2 Wood-Frame House Foundation Configurations	2-2
2.2.1 Cripple-Wall Crawl Space Houses	2-3
2.2.2 Slab-on-Grade Houses.....	2-5
2.2.3 Basement Houses (Perimeter Foundation with no Cripple Wall).....	2-8
2.2.4 Perimeter Post-and-Pier Foundation Houses.....	2-11
2.2.5 Split-Level Houses, Multi-Level Hillside Houses....	2-13
2.3 Elements of the Primary Seismic Load Path	2-13
2.3.1 Horizontal Diaphragms	2-14
2.3.2 Shear Walls	2-15
2.3.3 Connections Between Walls and Horizontal Diaphragms	2-17
2.3.4 Cripple Walls.....	2-18
2.3.5 Anchor Bolts	2-19
2.3.6 Foundations	2-19
2.4 Platform Framing and Balloon Framing.....	2-20
2.5 House Elements not on the Primary Seismic Load Path	2-20
2.5.1 Roofs and Floors of Porches and Decks.....	2-20
2.5.2 Interior Pier Foundations and Posts.....	2-21
2.5.3 Chimneys, Veneer and Roof Tile	2-21
3. Interpretation of Simplified Seismic Assessment Results.....	3-1
3.1 The Simplified Seismic Assessment Form	3-1
3.1.1 Use of the Assessment Form	3-1
3.1.2 Consideration of the Seismic Performance Grade.....	3-2
3.1.3 Improving the Seismic Performance Grade	3-2

3.1.4	Consideration of the Individual Assessment Items.....	3-3
3.2	Assessment of Foundation System (Section A).....	3-4
3.3	Assessment of Superstructure Framing and Configuration (Section B).....	3-8
3.4	General Condition Assessment (Section C).....	3-12
3.5	Nonstructural Elements, Age and Size (Section D).....	3-15
3.6	Assessment of Local Site Conditions (Section E)	3-17
3.7	Regional Seismic Hazards (Section F)	3-20
3.7.1	Ground Shaking Hazards.....	3-21
3.7.2	Liquefaction and Seismic Landslide Hazards.....	3-21
3.7.3	Surface Fault Rupture Hazards	3-21
3.8	Total Regional Seismic Hazard Points	3-22
3.9	Hazards Not Considered	3-22
3.10	Determination of Seismic Performance Grade (Section G)....	3-22
4.	Retrofit Method Options and Reassessment of the Seismic Performance Grade.....	4-1
4.1	Choice of Retrofit Method.....	4-1
4.1.1	Method 1: IEBC Cripple Wall Provisions Method....	4-2
4.1.2	Method 2: Prescriptive Retrofit Method for Nonstructural Building Elements	4-4
4.1.3	Method 3: IRC Prescriptive Retrofit Method	4-4
4.1.4	Method 4: Engineered Structural Retrofit Method	4-5
4.1.5	Seismic Retrofit in Accordance with the IBC	4-6
4.2	Reassessment of the Seismic Performance Grade by a Qualified Licensed Design Professional.....	4-6
4.2.1	Reassessment Example 1	4-7
4.2.2	Reassessment Example 2	4-8
4.2.3	Reassessment Example 3	4-9
4.2.4	Reassessment Example 4	4-9
5.	Detailed House Survey Before Retrofit	5-1
5.1	Pre-Retrofit House	5-1
5.1.1	Plan View with Dimensions	5-1
5.1.2	Exterior and Interior Sheathing Materials	5-1
5.1.3	Foundation Condition as Surveyed From Outside.....	5-2
5.1.4	Survey within the Crawl Space.....	5-6
5.2	Additional Measurements and Survey Procedures for an Engineered Method 4 Analysis and Retrofit.....	5-11
6.	Method 1: IEBC Cripple Wall Provisions Method	6-1
6.1	The IEBC Cripple Wall Provisions	6-1
6.1.1	Key Parts of the Provisions.....	6-3
6.1.2	Figures in the Provisions	6-9
6.1.3	Discussion of Selected Provision Figures.....	6-10
6.2	Retrofit of Post Supports at Floor Beams in Crawl-Space Homes	6-11
6.3	Retrofit of Basement Houses	6-11
6.4	Consideration of the Engineering Method for Cripple-Wall Houses or Basement Houses.....	6-12

7.	Method 2: Retrofit Method for Nonstructural Building	
	Elements	7-1
7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.2	Masonry Chimneys (Assessment Item D-1).....	7-2
7.2.1	Reducing the Vulnerability of Chimneys	7-2
7.3	Stone or Masonry Veneer (Assessment Item B-5)	7-4
7.4	Water Heaters (Assessment Item D-2)	7-5
7.5	Gas System (Assessment Item D-3)	7-7
7.5.1	Gas Appliance Connections	7-7
7.5.2	Addition of Gas Shut-Off Valves	7-7
7.6	Decks, Porches, Balconies and Patio Covers (Assessment Item D-4).....	7-7
7.6.1	Strengthening the Connection to the House	7-10
7.6.2	Porch Roof Post Supports.....	7-11
7.7	Roof Tile	7-14
8.	Method 4: Engineered Structural Retrofit Method	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Overview of Method 4	8-2
8.3	Use of Method 4 to Retrofit Selected Conditions to Improve the Structural Score.....	8-5
8.3.1	Retrofitting Concrete or Masonry Foundations (Assessment Items A-1, C-4, E-3).....	8-5
8.3.2	Adding Anchor Bolts or Anchor Side Plates (Assessment Item A-5).....	8-7
8.3.3	Retrofitting Long Walls with Openings (Assessment Items B-2, B-5, C-3).....	8-7
8.3.4	Installing Lighter Roofing (Assessment Item B-3)	8-9
8.3.5	Installing Plywood/OSB-Sheathed Narrow Walls or Steel Frame at Garage Front (Assessment Item B-4)	8-10
8.3.6	Repairing Cut Structural Framing (Assessment Item C-2)	8-14
8.3.7	Improving Rain Water Routing Away From Foundations (Assessment Item E-6).....	8-15
8.4	Retrofitting Potentially Vulnerable House Configurations	8-16
8.4.1	Retrofitting a Split-Level House	8-16
8.5	Water Retention, Site, and Foundation Considerations.....	8-17
8.5.1	Site Stabilization Measures	8-17
8.5.2	Liquefaction Potential	8-18
9.	Example Buildings Retrofitted by Methods 1 and 4	9-1
9.1	Introduction	9-1
9.2	Example Building Retrofits.....	9-1
9.2.1	Example 1: One-Story Slab-on-Grade House in Utah	9-1
9.2.2	Example 2: One-Story Basement House in Utah	9-4
9.2.3	Example 3: One-Story Crawl Space Cripple-Wall House in Missouri	9-5
9.2.4	Example 4: Two-Story L-Plan Crawl Space Cripple Wall House	9-7

Appendix A: Water Heater Bracing Detail.....	A-1
References	B-1
Project Participants.....	C-1