



**Background  
Document**

**Evaluation of Mechanical Properties in Full-Scale  
Connections and Recommended Minimum Weld Toughness  
for Moment Resisting Frames**

**Report No. SAC/BD-00/14**

**SAC Joint Venture**

**A partnership of  
Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC)  
Applied Technology Council (ATC)  
California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe)**

**By**

**Matt Q. Johnson and Bill Mohr**  
Edison Welding Institute, Columbus, Ohio

**John Barsom**  
Barsom Consulting, Ltd.

Submitted for distribution to  
**SAC Joint Venture**  
650-595-1542  
<http://www.sacsteel.org>

**September 22, 2000**

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document is one of a series documenting background information related to Phase II of the FEMA-funded SAC Steel Project. It is being disseminated in the public interest to increase awareness of the many factors which contribute to the seismic performance of steel moment frame structures. The information contained herein is not for design use and is not acceptable to specific building projects. This report has not been reviewed for accuracy, and the SAC Joint Venture has not verified any of the results presented. **No warranty is offered with regard to the recommendations contained herein, by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the SAC Joint Venture, the individual joint venture partners, or the partner's directors, members or employees. These organizations and their employees do not assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any of the information, products or processes included in this publication. The reader is cautioned to review carefully the material presented herein and exercise independent judgment as to its suitability for application to specific engineering projects.** This publication has been prepared by the SAC Joint Venture with funding provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, under contract number EMW-95-C-4770.



**Background  
Document**

**Evaluation of Mechanical Properties in Full-Scale  
Connections and Recommended Minimum Weld Toughness  
for Moment Resisting Frames**

**Report No. SAC/BD-00/14**

**SAC Joint Venture**

A partnership of  
**Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC)**  
**Applied Technology Council (ATC)**  
**California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe)**

By

**Matt Q. Johnson and Bill Mohr**  
Edison Welding Institute, Columbus, Ohio

**John Barsom**  
Barsom Consulting, Ltd.

Submitted for distribution to  
**SAC Joint Venture**  
**650-595-1542**  
**<http://www.sacsteel.org>**

**September 22, 2000**

## **THE SAC JOINT VENTURE**

SAC is a joint venture of the Structural Engineers Association of California (SEAOC), the Applied Technology Council (ATC), and California Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREe), formed specifically to address both immediate and long-term needs related to solving performance problems with welded, steel moment-frame connections discovered following the 1994 Northridge earthquake. SEAOC is a professional organization composed of more than 3,000 practicing structural engineers in California. The volunteer efforts of SEAOC's members on various technical committees have been instrumental in the development of the earthquake design provisions contained in the *Uniform Building Code* and the 1997 *National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and other Structures*. ATC is a nonprofit corporation founded to develop structural engineering resources and applications to mitigate the effects of natural and other hazards on the built environment. Since its inception in the early 1970s, ATC has developed the technical basis for the current model national seismic design codes for buildings; the *de facto* national standard for postearthquake safety evaluation of buildings; nationally applicable guidelines and procedures for the identification, evaluation, and rehabilitation of seismically hazardous buildings; and other widely used procedures and data to improve structural engineering practice. CUREe is a nonprofit organization formed to promote and conduct research and educational activities related to earthquake hazard mitigation. CUREe's eight institutional members are the California Institute of Technology, Stanford University, the University of California at Berkeley, the University of California at Davis, the University of California at Irvine, the University of California at Los Angeles, the University of California at San Diego, and the University of Southern California. These laboratory, library, computer and faculty resources are among the most extensive in the United States. The SAC Joint Venture allows these three organizations to combine their extensive and unique resources, augmented by subcontractor universities and organizations from across the nation, into an integrated team of practitioners and researchers, uniquely qualified to solve problems related to the seismic performance of steel moment-frame buildings.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Funding for Phases I and II of the SAC Steel Program to Reduce the Earthquake Hazards of Steel Moment-Frame Structures was principally provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, with ten percent of the Phase I program funded by the State of California, Office of Emergency Services. Substantial additional support, in the form of donated materials, services, and data has been provided by a number of individual consulting engineers, inspectors, researchers, fabricators, materials suppliers and industry groups. Special efforts have been made to maintain a liaison with the engineering profession, researchers, the steel industry, fabricators, code-writing organizations and model code groups, building officials, insurance and risk-management groups, and federal and state agencies active in earthquake hazard mitigation efforts. SAC wishes to acknowledge the support and participation of each of the above groups, organizations and individuals. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the contributions provided by the American Institute of Steel Construction, the Lincoln Electric Company, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the National Science Foundation, and the Structural Shape Producers Council. SAC also takes this opportunity to acknowledge the efforts of the project participants – the managers, investigators, writers, and editorial and production staff – whose work has contributed to the development of these documents. Finally, SAC extends special acknowledgement to Mr. Michael Mahoney, FEMA Project Officer, and Dr. Robert Hanson, FEMA Technical Advisor, for their continued support and contribution to the success of this effort.

## PREFACE

The primary objectives of the FEMA/SAC Phase II Steel Project are to develop guidelines for the seismic evaluation, inspection, repair, design and construction of moment-resisting steel frame buildings. A diverse collection of technical investigations is supporting this effort, including the identification of basic material properties in rolled steel sections; development of appropriate welding materials, details, and inspection procedures; specification of anticipated seismic demands imposed on connections as a result of structural response to strong ground motions; and large-scale connection testing to calibrate and verify the design procedures that are ultimately proposed. Tying these activities together is a series of detailed finite element analyses of various connection configurations to quantify the influence of material properties, geometry, and detailing on predicted behavior. In addition, a series of studies have been performed to incorporate the results of the various investigations into a performance-based seismic engineering format that can become the basis of the SAC guidelines. Cost and risk studies and investigations into the past performance of this class of structures were also performed to gather valuable information used in the development of the guidelines and other documents.

This report was carried out as part of the overall efforts of the Welding and Inspection team of the SAC Phase II Steel Project. This team was responsible for assessing the factors that effect the behavior of complete joint penetration welds of the type used in steel beam to column connections, assessing the ability of nondestructive evaluation methods to detect and characterize weld defects, and developing weld acceptance criteria considering the properties of the welds, the applied deformations or stress conditions, and likely local defects and imperfections. A variety of tests, theoretical studies and finite element analyses were conducted as part of this task. The work in this task was closely linked to parallel efforts and full size connections tests carried out by the Connection Performance and Materials and Fracture teams.

This report focuses on a series of tests to identify mechanical and chemical properties of weld metal removed from complete joint penetration joints in steel beam to column connections. During the construction of specimens for several SAC connection test programs, mock-ups replicas were also fabricated using the same materials, welders, welding processes and procedures. These mock-ups were then machined into material test specimens, and properties were determined. Toughness and strength properties were measured in different locations and orientations. These data are used to compare in-situ with specified or nominal properties as well as input by others as part of refined finite element analyses of the test specimens. In addition, this project developed a recommendation for minimum weld toughness, based on theoretical considerations, as well as the behavior exhibited by full scale test specimens conducted as part of Task 7 and weldment tests carried out in Task 5.2. This project was identified as Task 7.12 in the SAC Phase II work plan.

Numerous individuals helped to develop the scope and content of this project and to review a preliminary version of this report. These individuals included members of the Technical Advisory Panels (TAP) for Welding and Inspection and Connection Performance; the Project Management Committee, and several members of the Project Oversight Committee. The contributions of these individuals are greatly appreciated.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1.INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2.MECHANICAL PROPERTIES MEASURED IN FULL-SCALE TEST WELDS.....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Weld Metal Mechanical Property Requirements .....	4
2.2 Connection and Welding Details .....	4
2.3 Mechanical Testing and Chemical Analysis .....	5
2.3.1 Tensile Tests .....	5
2.3.2 CVN Impact Testing and CTOD Testing.....	6
2.3.3 Chemical analysis.....	6
2.4 Discussion of Test Results .....	6
2.4.1 University of Michigan .....	6
2.4.1.1 Weld Quality .....	6
2.4.1.2 Tensile Properties.....	7
2.4.1.3 CVN Impact Data.....	7
2.4.1.4 CTOD Toughness.....	8
2.4.2 Lehigh University Test Results .....	8
2.4.2.1 Weld Quality .....	8
2.4.2.2 Tensile Testing .....	8
2.4.2.3 CVN Testing .....	9
2.4.2.4 CTOD Test Results .....	9
2.4.3 UTA/TAMU Test Results .....	9
2.4.3.1 Weld Quality .....	9
2.4.3.2 Weld Tensile Results.....	10
2.4.3.3 CVN Testing .....	10
2.4.3.4 CTOD Testing.....	10
2.5 Summary of Full-Sale Test Weld Mechanical Properties .....	11
<b>3.ESTABLISHMENT OF MINIMUM TOUGHNESS CRITERIA.....</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1 Property Requirement Based on Full-Scale Tests .....	12
3.2 Development of Fundamentally Based Toughness Requirements.....	13
3.3 Derivation of Equivalent Charpy V-Notch (CVN) Impact Toughness .....	15
3.4 Validation of Proposed Methodology .....	16
3.4.1 Production and Testing of Verification Welds.....	16
3.4.2 Mechanical Properties of Verification Test Welds .....	17
3.4.3 Crack Growth Rate In Fatigue.....	18
3.5 Proposed Charpy V-Notch Requirements.....	19

<b>4.PREQUALIFICATION OF ELECTRODES.....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>4.1 Prequalification Requirements .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>4.2 Evaluation of AWS A5 Classification Test Procedures .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>5.CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>6.REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>APPENDIX A.UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN TEST DATA.....</b>	<b>A-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX B.LEHIGH UNIVERSITY TEST DATA .....</b>	<b>B-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX C.UTA/TAMU TEST DATA .....</b>	<b>C-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX D.SAC 5.2.8 MECHANICAL TEST DATA .....</b>	<b>D-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX E.FATIGUE STUDY TEST DATA AND PLOTS.....</b>	<b>E-1</b>
<b>S.LISTING OF SAC REPORTS .....</b>	<b>S-1</b>