NIST GCR 14-917-27



Nonlinear Analysis Research and Development Program for Performance-Based Seismic Engineering

NEHRP Consultants Joint Venture A partnership of the Applied Technology Council and the Consortium of Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering





Disclaimers

This report was prepared for the Engineering Laboratory of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) under the National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) Earthquake Structural and Engineering Research Contract SB134107CQ0019, Task Order 11174. The contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of NIST or the U.S. Government.

This report was produced by the NEHRP Consultants Joint Venture, a joint venture of the Applied Technology Council (ATC) and the Consortium of Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREE). While endeavoring to provide practical and accurate information, the NEHRP Consultants Joint Venture, the authors, and the reviewers assume no liability for, nor express or imply any warranty with regard to, the information contained herein. Users of information contained in this report assume all liability arising from such use.

Unless otherwise noted, photos, figures, and data presented in this report have been developed or provided by NEHRP Consultants Joint Venture staff or consultants engaged under contract to provide information as works for hire. Any similarity with other published information is coincidental. Photos and figures cited from outside sources have been reproduced in this report with permission. Any other use requires additional permission from the copyright holders.

Certain commercial software, equipment, instruments, or materials may have been used in the preparation of information contributing to this report. Identification in this report is not intended to imply recommendation or endorsement by NIST, nor is it intended to imply that such software, equipment, instruments, or materials are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

NIST policy is to use the International System of Units (metric units) in all its publications. In this report, however, information is presented in U.S. Customary Units (inch-pound), as this is the preferred system of units in the U.S. earthquake engineering industry.

Cover image – Soil-structure interaction simulation model (courtesy of M. Willford)

NIST GCR 14-917-27

Nonlinear Analysis Research and Development Program for Performance-Based Seismic Engineering

Prepared for U.S. Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology Engineering Laboratory Gaithersburg, MD 20899

By

NEHRP Consultants Joint Venture A partnership of the Applied Technology Council and the Consortium of Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering

December 2013



U.S. Department of Commerce Penny Pritzker, Secretary of Commerce

National Institute of Standards and Technology Patrick D. Gallagher, Under Secretary of Commerce for Standards and Technology and Director

NIST GCR 14-917-27

Participants

National Institute of Standards and Technology

John (Jack) R. Hayes, Jr., Director, National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program Steven L. McCabe, Deputy Director, National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program Kevin Wong, NIST Project Manager

NEHRP Consultants Joint Venture

Applied Technology Council 201 Redwood Shores Parkway, Suite 240 Redwood City, California 94065 www.ATCouncil.org

Joint Venture Management Committee

James R. Harris Robert Reitherman Christopher Rojahn Andrew Whittaker

Project Technical Committee

Gregory Deierlein (Project Director) Peter Behnam Finley Charney Laura Lowes Jonathan P. Stewart Michael Willford Consortium of Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering 1301 S. 46th Street, Building 420 Richmond, California 94804 www.CUREE.org

Joint Venture Program Committee

Jon A. Heintz (Program Manager) Michael Constantinou C.B. Crouse James R. Harris William T. Holmes Jack Moehle Andrew Whittaker

Project Review Panel

C.B. Crouse Jeremy Isenberg Ali Karakaplan Michael Korolyk Bret Lizundia Graham Powell Andrei Reinhorn

Project Manager

Laura Dwelley Samant

Preface

The NEHRP Consultants Joint Venture is a partnership between the Applied Technology Council (ATC) and the Consortium of Universities for Research in Earthquake Engineering (CUREE). In 2007, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) awarded the NEHRP Consultants Joint Venture a National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) "Earthquake Structural and Engineering Research" task order contract (SB1341-07-CQ-0019) to conduct a variety of tasks. In 2011, NIST initiated Task Order 11174 entitled, "Analysis, Modeling, and Simulation for Performance-Based Seismic Engineering." The objective of this project was to develop a comprehensive, long-range research and development program to establish best-practice guidelines for practitioners to conduct nonlinear analysis, structural modeling, and computer simulation for seismic applications, and to support the ongoing the development and implementation of performance-based seismic engineering.

This work is an extension of NIST GCR 09-917-2, *Research Required to Support Full Implementation of Performance-Based Seismic Design*, in which several research topics were identified as high-priority in terms of fostering full development and implementation of performance-based seismic engineering. These included: (1) improvement in analytical modeling and demand assessment capabilities for buildings in near-collapse seismic loading; and (2) clarification and coordination in the translation of test results to currently used performance levels.

This project intends to advance the practice of nonlinear dynamic analysis so that it can be used more widely and with more confidence, enabling widespread adoption of performance-based seismic engineering. This entails addressing the gap between state-of-the-art academic research and state-of-the-practice engineering applications of nonlinear analysis, structural modeling, and computer simulation. It also entails improving state-of-the-art techniques to more reliably capture the full range of structural response than is currently possible with methods that are in use today. Taken as a whole, the program presents a suite of initiatives that, if implemented, would improve nonlinear dynamic analysis capabilities, and identify procedures that are suitable and attractive to practitioners, while maintaining levels of accuracy commensurate with research models.

The NEHRP Consultants Joint Venture is indebted to the leadership of Greg Deierlein, Project Director, and to the members of the Project Technical Committee, consisting of Peter Behnam, Finley Charney, Laura Lowes, Jonathan Stewart, and Michael Willford for their contributions in developing this report and the resulting recommendations. The Project Review Panel, consisting of C.B. Crouse, Jeremy Isenberg, Ali Karakaplan, Michael Korolyk, Bret Lizundia, Graham Powell, and Andrei Reinhorn provided technical review and comment at key developmental milestones during the project. The names and affiliations of all who contributed to this report are provided in the list of Project Participants.

The NEHRP Consultants Joint Venture also gratefully acknowledges Jack Hayes (NEHRP Director), Steve McCabe (NEHRP Deputy Director), and Kevin Wong (NIST Project Manager) for their input and guidance in the preparation of this report, Laura Samant for ATC project management, and Amber Houchen and Bernadette Hadnagy for ATC report production services.

Jon A. Heintz Program Manager

Table of Contents

Preface iii							
List of Figuresix							
List of Tablesxi							
1.	Introduction and Background1-1						
	1.1	Project Objectives and Background					
	1.2	Approach to Program Development 1-2					
	1.3	Organization and Content 1-3					
2.	Vision for Use of Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis						
	2.1	Role of Nonlinear Analysis in Engineering Practice					
		2.1.1 Demand and Acceptance Criteria					
		2.1.2 Model Types					
	2.2	Current Status of Nonlinear Analysis					
		2.2.1 Earthquake Engineering Practice					
		2.2.2 Earthquake Engineering Research					
		2.2.3 Other Scientific and Engineering Fields 2-10					
	2.3	Vision for Nonlinear Analysis in Earthquake Engineering					
		Practice					
		2.3.1 Near-Term Vision					
		2.3.2 Longer-Term Vision					
	2.4	Obstacles to Achieving the Vision					
3.	Verific	ation, Validation, and Calibration3-1					
	3.1	How Reliable Are Predictions from State-of-the-Art Analysis					
		Methods?					
		3.1.1 Reliability, Variability, Realism, and Accuracy					
		3.1.2 Blind Prediction Exercises					
		3.1.3 Factors Affecting Blind Prediction Accuracy					
		3.1.4 Differences Between Blind Prediction and Analysis					
		for Design					
		3.1.5 Learning from Blind Prediction Exercises					
	3.2	Tiered Approach to Verification, Validation, and Calibration 3-6					
		3.2.1 Definitions and Past Experience					
		3.2.2 Typical Validation Approach in Current Structural					
		Engineering Research and Practice					
		3.2.3 Types of Input Parameters and Their Role in the Tiered					
		Approach					
		3.2.4 Implementation of the Tiered Approach					
	3.3	Applications of the Tiered Approach					
		3.3.1 Identification of Limits in Nonlinear Analysis					
		Techniques					

		3.3.2	Selection of Appropriate Software for Use by	0.10			
		** 0	Practitioners	3-13			
	3.4	Use of	Use of Currently Available Test Data for Validation and				
		Calibra	ition	3-14			
		3.4.1	Data Types Necessary for Validation and				
			Calibration	3-15			
		3.4.2	Classification of Available Data for Validation and				
			Calibration	3-15			
	3.5	Best Pr	actices and Critical Needs for Future Benchmark				
		Testing	y	3-17			
		3.5.1	Cyclic Loading Protocols	3-17			
		3.5.2	Best Practices for Future Benchmark Testing	3-18			
		3.5.3	Development of Specific Testing Programs	3-20			
4.	Modeling Capabilities4-						
	4.1	Fundar	nental Research Initiatives	4-1			
		411	Inherent Damping	4-1			
		412	Parameters for Standard Nonlinear Cyclic Component				
		7.1.2	Models with Degradation	4-5			
		113	Phenomenological Models with Degrading P-M -M	5			
		4.1.5	and P M V Interaction	18			
		111	Improved Models of Isolators, Dempers, and Other				
		4.1.4	Response Modification Devices	1 13			
		115	Characterization and Inclusion of Uncertainties in	4-13			
		4.1.5	Varlinger Degrange Simulation	116			
	4.2	Turnlan	Nonlinear Response Simulation	4-10			
	4.2		Coometrie Nonlineorities				
		4.2.1	Geometric Noninneanues	4-19			
		4.2.2	Calorating and Interpreting Fiber Models for Beam-	4 0 2			
		402	Columns and Siender Walls	4-23			
		4.2.3	Criteria for Modeling Accidental Torsional Effects in	1.05			
		4.0.4	Buildings	4-25			
		4.2.4	Modeling of Collector and Diaphragm Demands in				
			Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis	4-28			
		4.2.5	Modeling of Vertical Ground Motion Effects in				
			Nonlinear Analysis	4-31			
		4.2.6	Development of Direct (Continuum) Approach for				
			Modeling Soil-Structure Interaction	4-33			
5.	Comp	utation	al Technologies	5-1			
	5.1	Compu	tational Advancements through High-Performance				
		Compu	iting	5-1			
	5.2	Convei	ence				
	53	Model	Development and Integration of BIM and Analysis				
	5.5	Softwa	re	5-7			
	54	Data M	Ianagement and Tools for Overving Data Validating				
	5.4	Data IV.	and Reanalysis	58			
		Results	, and itealiary 515				
6.	Guidelines and Standards6-1						
	6.1	Introdu	letion	6-1			
	6.2	Curren	tly Available Guidelines and Standards	6-2			
			-				

6.3	Relationship between Guideline Development Initiatives and					
	other Research Initiatives					
6.4	Nonlinear Analysis Guidelines for PBSE					
	6.4.1 Template for Nonlinear Analysis Guidelines					
	6.4.2 General and System-Specific Nonlinear Analysis					
	Guidelines6-11					
	6.4.3 Nonlinear Analysis Example Problems					
6.5	Acceptance Criteria for Performance-Based Seismic					
	Engineering					
7. Summa	ary of Recommended Research and Development					
Progra	m7-1					
7.1	Summary of Vision and Research Initiative Areas					
7.2	Summary of Proposed Research Initiatives and Tasks					
7.3	Estimated Budget Requirements					
7.4	Priorities for Research Planning					
7.5	Key Collaborators					
7.6	Implementation of the Research and Development					
	Program					
7.7	Implementation of Results in Codes, Standards, Software, and					
	Practice					
7.8	Long-Term Challenges and Opportunities					
ReferencesA-1						
Project ParticipantsB-1						