

IMPROVING THE SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF EXISTING BUILDINGS AND OTHER STRUCTURES 2015

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SECOND ATC & SEI CONFERENCE ON
IMPROVING THE SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF EXISTING BUILDINGS
AND OTHER STRUCTURES

December 10–12, 2015
San Francisco, California

SPONSORED BY
Applied Technology Council

The Structural Engineering Institute of the
American Society of Civil Engineers

EDITED BY
Roberto T. Leon, Ph.D., P.E.



Published by the American Society of Civil Engineers

Published by American Society of Civil Engineers
1801 Alexander Bell Drive
Reston, Virginia, 20191-4382
www.asce.org/publications | ascelibrary.org

Any statements expressed in these materials are those of the individual authors and do not necessarily represent the views of ASCE, which takes no responsibility for any statement made herein. No reference made in this publication to any specific method, product, process, or service constitutes or implies an endorsement, recommendation, or warranty thereof by ASCE. The materials are for general information only and do not represent a standard of ASCE, nor are they intended as a reference in purchase specifications, contracts, regulations, statutes, or any other legal document. ASCE makes no representation or warranty of any kind, whether express or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, suitability, or utility of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed in this publication, and assumes no liability therefor. The information contained in these materials should not be used without first securing competent advice with respect to its suitability for any general or specific application. Anyone utilizing such information assumes all liability arising from such use, including but not limited to infringement of any patent or patents.

ASCE and American Society of Civil Engineers—Registered in U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Photocopies and permissions. Permission to photocopy or reproduce material from ASCE publications can be requested by sending an e-mail to permissions@asce.org or by locating a title in ASCE's Civil Engineering Database (<http://cedb.asce.org>) or ASCE Library (<http://ascelibrary.org>) and using the “Permissions” link.

Errata: Errata, if any, can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/9780784479728>

Copyright © 2015 by the American Society of Civil Engineers and the Applied Technology Council.
All Rights Reserved.
ISBN 978-0-7844-7972-8 (PDF)
Manufactured in the United States of America.

Preface

Over the last 25 years, the incidence and consequences of natural disasters have increased. In 2011 alone, the United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction reported that natural disasters resulted in \$366 billion in direct damages and 29,782 fatalities worldwide; average annual losses in the United States due to natural disasters amount to about \$55 billion. It is clear that most of these losses are coupled to continuous concentrations of population, energy, economic and political power in locations of high risk of natural disasters, along with insufficient resistance in existing infrastructure. The vulnerability of industrialized societies to seismic risk has been recently highlighted by the 2010 Maule (Chile), 2011 Christchurch (New Zealand) and the 2011 Tohoku (Japan) earthquakes. Each year buildings and other structures are designed and built with a continually improving understanding of their performance during earthquakes, yet the vast majority of structures were built with substantially less understanding of seismic actions than we currently possess.

To stem future losses, it is necessary to increase conventional approaches (building codes, land use planning, and emergency response measures) and develop novel methods of design considering interdependent systems operations before and after disasters and public engagement so that buildings, geographically distributed infrastructure, and local communities are more resilient to natural hazards and human threats. It is also important to provide incentives for public and private organizations to reduce societal risk through educational programs, improved planning, and tools for quantification of risk, assessment of losses, and measurement of community resilience.

The challenges to improving the seismic performance of existing buildings and other structures are as broad and varied as the individual structures themselves. How should they be evaluated and strengthened? What plans exist? What materials were used? What assumptions were made? Were they built as designed, and if not, what modifications were made but possibly (probably) not documented? Are there elements other than the existing structure, such as nonstructural components, that can be mitigated to avoid damage in an earthquake?

To begin addressing these and other critical issues, the Applied Technology Council (ATC) and the Structural Engineering Institute (SEI) of the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) organized an inaugural conference in 2009 in San Francisco, California. As a follow up, this *2nd Conference on Improving the Seismic Performance of Existing Buildings and Other Structures* was held once again by ATC and SEI in San Francisco on December 10-12, 2015 in San Francisco, California. The program was planned to provide a forum for the presentation and exchange of new information on the seismic evaluation and seismic rehabilitation of existing buildings, including case studies, new discoveries, innovative use of new technologies and

materials, implementation issues, needed improvements to existing standards and methods, and socio-economic issues.

The goal of the Conference, and hence these proceedings, was to provide an invaluable opportunity to advance the profession's understanding of the tools, techniques and innovations available to assist in meeting the challenges of seismic evaluation and rehabilitation. For those new to the profession, these proceedings are an opportunity to get up to speed on core issues surrounding seismic rehabilitation.

Roberto T. Leon
Virginia Tech

Acknowledgments

Thank you to everyone who participated in making the Conference a tremendous success whether it was attending, presenting or helping to organize the event.

Roberto T. Leon, P.E., Ph.D., F.ACI, F.IABSE, F.SEI, F.ASCE
Virginia Tech
Chair Program Steering Committee

*Laura Champion, P.E.,
Director, SEI of ASCE*

*Ayse Hortacsu, P.E.,
Director of Projects, Applied Technology Council*

Program Steering Committee

Thalia Anagnos, Ph.D., A.M.ASCE
San Jose State University

Kelly Cobeen, P.E., S.E., M.ASCE
Wiss, Janney, Elstner Associates, Inc.

Greg Deierlein, P.E., F.ASCE
Stanford University

Jack Moehle, P.E., Ph.D., M.ASCE
University of California, Berkeley

Farzad Naeim, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
Farzad Naeim, Inc.

James Parker, P.E., M.ASCE
Simpson Gumpertz & Heger

Maryann Phipps, S.E.
Estructure

Peter Somers, P.E., M.ASCE
Magnusson Klemencic Associates

Jonathan Stewart, Ph.D., P.E., M.ASCE
University of California, Los Angeles

Table of Contents

If you can read this text, your browser does not support Cascading Style Sheets. Although not essential for using this CD-ROM, you may wish to upgrade your browser to a more recent version.

Case Studies

1 [Seismic Retrofit of a Machine Shop at the Puget Sound Naval Shipyard](#)

Scott L. Neuman, John M. Hochwalt, and Gregory L. Varney

13 [Starbucks Reserve Roastery and Tasting Room, Seattle, Washington](#)

Michael A. Wright and Lara Simmons

26 [Seismic Upgrade of Four-Story Wood-Framed Condominium Buildings](#)

John M. Coil

Concrete Structures and Nonlinear Analysis

36 [Nonlinear Analysis of Squat RC Walls Using Three-Dimensional Continuum Finite Element Models](#)

J. Murcia-Delso, R. S. Dunham, D. R. Parker, and R. J. James

47 [Gravity Load Collapse and Vulnerability of Existing Buildings](#)

H. Sezen

57 [Nonlinear Analysis Methods for Flexural Seismic Reinforced Concrete Walls](#)

D. E. Lehman, L. N. Lowes, J. Pugh, and Z. Whitman

74 [Nonlinear Truss Modeling Method for the Analysis of Shear Failures in Reinforced Concrete and Masonry Structures](#)

Mohammadreza Moharrami, Ioannis Koutromanos, and Marios Panagiotou

Historic Structures and Emerging Technologies

86 [Seismic Isolation and the Structural Retrofit of Haitian Heritage Cathedrals](#)

Amir S. J. Gilani and H. Kit Miyamoto

97 [Evaluations, Repairs, and Retrofit of the Historic Sherman Building in Washington, DC, following the 2011 Mineral, VA, Earthquake](#)

Craig D. Swift, Matthew J. Daw, and Laura M. Burke

109 [New Integrated Knowledge-Based Approaches to the Protection of Cultural Heritage from Earthquake-Induced Risk](#)

R. Cacciotti, M. Drdácáký, C. Modena, and F. da Porto

121 [Seismic Retrofit of a High-Rise Steel Moment Resisting Frame Using Fluid Viscous Dampers](#)

Shanshan Wang, Jiun-Wei Lai, Matthew J. Schoettler, and Stephen A. Mahin

132 [Retrofit of Steel-Frame Buildings Using Enhanced Gravity-Frame Connections](#)

J. P. Judd, F. A. Charney, and S. E. Pryor

144 [An Innovative Method for the Seismic Retrofitting of Existing Steel Moment Frame Structures Using Side Plate Technology](#)

Behzad Rafezy, Quang Huynh, Henry Gallart, and Mohammad Kheirollahi

159 [Modeling the Performance of Rehabilitated Extremely Damaged Concrete Columns and a Masonry Wall for Analysis and Design](#)

G. D. Huaco and J. O. Jirsa

173 [Historic Desmond Building Retrofit—A Case Study of the Seismic Retrofit of a Non-Ductile Concrete Building in the Los Angeles Area](#)

Z. Jiang, M. Sarkisian, N. Mathias, R. Garai, and J. Lyrenmann

185 [Mitigating Seismic Risks in Historical Masonry: An Example Project](#)

İhsan Engin Bal, Eleni Smyrou, Burcu Güneş, and Alper İlki

198 [Beneficial Uses and Misuses of the California Historical Building Code](#)

G. R. Searer, K. E. Cobeen, and K. A. Sasaki

208 [Introduction to the California Historical Building Code](#)

U. M. Gilmartin and A. R. Dreyfuss

Implementation Case Studies

216 [The Shocking Secrets of Rocking Shear Walls](#)

B. A. Mohr and S. K. Harris

226 [Fortifying the Castle on the Hill: Seismic Retrofit of UC Berkeley's Historic Bowles Hall](#)

Karl Telleen, Joe Maffei, Theresa B. Dias, and John A. Baker, Jr.

242[Nonlinear Dynamic Evaluation of a Hospital Stair Tower with SSI and Pounding Effects](#)

Stephen T. Bono, Anindya Dutta, and Kevin S. Moore

255[Proposed Changes to Steel Column Evaluation Criteria for Existing Buildings](#)

Daniel Bech, Bill Tremayne, and Jonas Houston

273[UCSF Clinical Sciences Building: Seismic Rehabilitation Case Study](#)

Mason Walters, Steve Marusich, Carlos Sempere, and Ryan Cooke

287[Evaluation and Remediation of Pre-Northridge Steel Moment Frame Column Splices](#)

Allen Nudel, Steve Marusich, Masume Dana, and Ali Roufegarinejad

303[Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit of a 1970s High-Rise Welded Moment Frame Structure—A Performance-Based Approach](#)

Leo Panian, Mike Korolyk, and Nick Bucci

Innovative Solutions for Retrofit

317[Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit of Older Concentrically-Braced Frames](#)

A. D. Sen, R. Ballard, D. Sloat, M. Johnson, C. W. Roeder, D. E. Lehman, and J. W. Berman

328[Out-of-Plane Seismic Performance of URM Walls with Retrofitted Parapets and Flexible Diaphragms](#)

J. Aleman, G. Mosqueda, and A. Whittaker

340[Design Decision Support for Steel Frame Buildings through an Earthquake-Induced Loss Assessment](#)

Seong-Hoon Hwang, Ahmed Elkady, and Dimitrios Lignos

353[Collapses of Masonry Structures under Non-Extreme Loads](#)

Dan Eschenasy

Managing Risk

363[Damage and Loss Assessment of Pre-70 RC Frame Buildings with FEMA P-58: A Case Study](#)

D. Cardone and G. Perrone

376[Precedential Consequences of the Recent *Myrick* Lawsuit: Using ASCE 7-10 and ASCE 41-13](#)

[Performance Targets to Manage Seismic Risk in the Legal Arena](#)

Mark N. White, John D. Osteraas, and Cynthia L. Perry

[394Precedential Consequences of the Recent *Beacon* Lawsuit: Foreseeing Harm to Third Parties Caused by the Unsatisfactory Seismic Performance of a Flawed Design](#)

Mark N. White, John D. Osteraas, Eduard A. Fierro, and Cynthia L. Perry

[403Performance-Based Engineering and the Evaluation of Existing Buildings—Potential Legal Ramifications](#)

David R. Ojala, and John D. Osteraas

[416Evaluation of the Retrofitting Effect of a Building Based on FEMA P-58's Quantitative Method and EPRS Rating Criteria](#)

Yili Huo, Rakesh Pathak, and Bulent Alemdar

[430Professional Negligence of Engineers Providing Seismic Retrofit Design Services](#)

J. B. Kardon, and M. K. Gilligan

[435A Move toward Improved Portfolio Seismic Risk Assessment Methods for the Practicing Engineer](#)

D. Jared DeBock and Abbie B. Liel

[447A Seismic Loss Assessment Procedure for Masonry Buildings](#)

Daria Ottonelli, Serena Cattari, and Sergio Lagomarsino

Nonstructural and Wood Soft Story

[459An Assessment of Seismic Floor Accelerations in Wood Shear Wall Buildings](#)

J. R. Jayamon, P. Line, and F. A. Charney

[471Seismic Evaluation of Drywall Suspended Ceilings Using Shake Table Testing and the Finite Element Analysis](#)

Amir S. J. Gilani, Shakhzod M. Takhirov, and Yelena Straight

[483Seismic Evaluation of Lay-In Panel Suspended Ceilings Using Static and Dynamic and an Assessment of the U.S. Building Code Requirements](#)

Shakhzod M. Takhirov, Amir S. J. Gilani, and Yelena Straight

[497Discussion of FEMA P-807 for the Retrofit of Soft-Story Buildings](#)

Bruce Maison, Brian McDonald, David McCormick, Marko Schotanus, and Jonathan Buckalew

509 [Experimental Developments in Isolation/Energy Dissipation Platforms for the Seismic Protection of Equipment in Multistory Facilities](#)

Claudia Marin-Artieda and Xing Han

524 [Performance of an Architectural Precast Concrete Building Facade under Seismic Loading: Influence of the Initial Design Drift](#)

Kurt McMullin, Anhthuy Le, and Suian Andrade Meira

535 [Pounding of San Francisco-Type “Soft Story” Midblock Buildings](#)

Marko Schotanus, Bruce Maison, and Brian McDonald

548 [Example Case Studies of Soft-Story Retrofits Using the San Francisco Ordinance](#)

Jonathan Buckalew, Brian McDonald, David McCormick, Marko Schotanus, and Bruce Maison

560 [Experimental and Analytical Study of the Dynamic Characteristics of Architectural Precast Concrete Cladding](#)

E. Pantoli and T. C. Hutchinson

Poster

575 [Experimental Seismic Performance Assessment of Hospital Building Contents](#)

L. Di Sarno, C. Petrone, G. Magliulo, and G. Manfredi

585 [Seismic Response of a Steel SMF Building: Comparison between Conventional Design and Damper Options](#)

H. Kit Miyamoto and Amir S. J. Gilani

595 [Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit Design of an Airport Passenger Terminal Building](#)

Naveed Anwar, Jose A. Sy, Thaung Htut Aung, and Mir Shabir Talpur

607 [System Identification of a Two-Story Infilled RC Building in Different Damage States](#)

S. Yousefianmoghadam, M. Song, A. Stavridis, and B. Moaveni

619 [Experimental Investigation on the Seismic FRP Retrofit of Realistic Full-Scale RC Beam-Column Joints](#)

D. A. Pohoryles, J. Melo, T. Rossetto, H. Varum, and D. D'ayala

632 [BRBM Frames: An Improved Approach to Seismic-Resistant Design Using Bucking-Restrained Braces](#)

Leo Panian, Nick Bucci, and Bill Janhunen

644[Design Procedure for Claddings with Dissipative Connections in Seismic Zones](#)

Eleni Smyrou

658[Interactive Seismic Map of Los Angeles](#)

Anders Carlson, Brittany Moffett, Travis Longcore,, and Krista McPherson

670[California Building Officials' Interim Guidance on the Barricading and Stabilization of Buildings with Substantial Damage in Disasters](#)

Fred Turner, David Khorram, and Timothy Koutsouros

681[Experimental Investigation on the Seismic Retrofit of Existing Reinforced Concrete Buildings Using Steel Plate Shear Walls](#)

Chao-Hsien Li, An-Chien Wu, and Keh-Chyuan Tsai

693[Seismic Retrofit of Industrial Precast Concrete Structures Using Friction Dampers: Case Study from Turkey](#)

S. Yildirim, A. Kalyoncuoglu, B. Erkus, and Y. Tonguc

706[Displacement-Based Assessment of Cantilever Masonry Elements under Out-of-Plane Actions](#)

S. Degli Abbati, S. Cattari, and S. Lagomarsino

Resilience and Mitigation Programs

718[Large-Scale World Bank Seismic Risk Reduction Program for Public Buildings in Metro Manila, Philippines](#)

Amir S. J. Gilani and H. Kit Miyamoto

728[Seismic Risk Management in the New Zealand Context](#)

Rob Jury and Helen Ferner

741[Performance-Based Retrofit of School Buildings in British Columbia, Canada: An Update](#)

C. E. Ventura, A. Bebamzadeh, M. Fairhurst, G. Taylor, and W. D. L. Finn

754[The U.S. Resiliency Council \(USRC\) and the Building Rating System](#)

Ronald L. Mayes and Evan Reis

765[The U.S. Resiliency Council's \(USRC\) Goals, Objectives, and Founding Principles](#)

Evan Reis and Ronald L. Mayes

Standards and Guidelines

775 [Third Edition Update of FEMA P-154: Rapid Visual Screening for Potential Seismic Hazards](#)

B. Lizundia, S. Durphy, M. Griffin, W. Holmes, A. Hortacsu, B. Kehoe, K. Porter, and B. Welliver

787 [FEMA ROVER Version 2 and ROVER ATC-20, Mobile Earthquake Safety Software](#)

K. Porter, S. Hellman, and A. Hortacsu

Steel Structures Retrofit

797 [Development and Validation of a Computational Model of the Self-Centering Beam Moment Frames \(SCB-MF\)](#)

A. Maurya and M. R. Eatherton

810 [Design and Construction of Controlled Rocking Steel Braced Frames in New Zealand](#)

L. D. A. Wiebe