

NIST GCR 16-917-39



Critical Assessment of Lifeline System Performance: Understanding Societal Needs in Disaster Recovery

By
Applied Technology Council



Disclaimers

This report was prepared for the Engineering Laboratory of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) under Contract SB1341-13-CQ-0009, Task Order 14-499. The contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of NIST or the U.S. Government.

This report was produced by the Applied Technology Council (ATC). While endeavoring to provide practical and accurate information, the Applied Technology Council, the authors, and the reviewers assume no liability for, nor express or imply any warranty with regard to, the information contained herein. Users of information contained in this report assume all liability arising from such use.

Unless otherwise noted, photos, figures, and data presented in this report have been developed or provided by ATC staff or consultants engaged under contract to provide information as works for hire. Any similarity with other published information is coincidental. Photos and figures cited from outside sources have been reproduced in this report with permission. Any other use requires additional permission from the copyright holders.

Certain commercial software, equipment, instruments, or materials may have been used in the preparation of information contributing to this report. Identification in this report is not intended to imply recommendation or endorsement by NIST, nor is it intended to imply that such software, equipment, instruments, or materials are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

NIST policy is to use the International System of Units (metric units) in all its publications. In this report, however, information is presented in U.S. Customary Units (inch-pound), as this is the preferred system of units in the U.S. engineering industry.

Cover image – Emergency generators en route to Montgomery, Alabama following April 2011 tornadoes (Photo credit: FEMA). Drinking water distribution following Hurricane Sandy, November 2012 (Photo credit: FEMA)

Critical Assessment of Lifeline System Performance: Understanding Societal Needs in Disaster Recovery

Prepared for
U.S. Department of Commerce
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Engineering Laboratory
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

By
Applied Technology Council
201 Redwood Shores Parkway, Suite 240
Redwood City, CA 94065

April 2016



U.S. Department of Commerce
Penny Pritzker, Secretary

National Institute of Standards and Technology
Willie E. May, Under Secretary of Commerce for Standards and Technology and Director

Participants

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Therese (Terri) P. McAllister, Group Leader, Community Resilience Group
Steven L. McCabe, Research Structural Engineer and Group Leader, Earthquake Engineering Group
Materials and Structural Systems Division, Engineering Laboratory
www.NEHRP.gov

Applied Technology Council

201 Redwood Shores Parkway, Suite 240
Redwood City, California 94065
www.ATCouncil.org

Program Management

Jon A. Heintz (Program Manager)
Christopher Rojahn (Project Manager)
Veronica Cedillos (Associate Project Manager)

Program Committee on Seismic Engineering

Jon A. Heintz (Chair)
Michael Cochran
James R. Harris
James Jirsa
Roberto Leon
Stephen Mahin
James O. Malley
Donald Scott
Andrew Whittaker

Project Technical Committee

Laurie Johnson (Project Director and Lead Report Editor)
Thomas D. O'Rourke (Project Co-Director)
Stephanie Chang
Craig A. Davis
Leonardo Dueñas-Osorio
Ian Robertson
Henning Schulzrinne
Kathleen Tierney

Project Review Panel

Bruce Ellingwood
Timothy J. Lomax
Douglas J. Nyman
Dennis Ostrom
Jon Peha
Kent Yu (ATC Board Contact)

Preface

In September 2014, the Applied Technology Council (ATC) commenced a task order project under National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Contract SB1341-13-CQ-0009 to assess current societal expectations of acceptable lifeline performance levels and restoration timeframes that are informed by the phases of response and recovery, distinguishing those that are hazard independent and those that are specific for seismic (including tsunami), wind (including hurricane and tornado), flood, snow/ice, and wildfire hazard events.

The project included consideration of the social institutions and societal needs that should drive lifeline system performance levels and recovery timeframes and the assessment of current system guidelines/standards and performance criteria so that deficits could be identified and potentially addressed through better awareness and definition of community requirements and goals as part of the overall NIST Community Resilience Planning process.

The starting point for the project was an initial effort by the ATC-appointed Project Technical Committee to summarize key guidelines, standards, and current performance criteria, and to identify critical social considerations and system interdependencies. Those and subsequent efforts helped identify and form a set of overarching considerations and recommendations, which were then refined through several rounds of review by an ATC-appointed Project Review Panel.

The culminating effort was the development of this report, which contains:

- Detailed analyses of a broad range of societal considerations;
- Lifeline assessments and reviews of standards, guidelines, and performance criteria for electric power, natural gas and liquid fuel, telecommunication, transportation, water and wastewater systems;
- A review and analysis of available information on lifeline interdependency issues; and
- Findings, conclusions and recommendations that identify needed developments in lifeline codes, standards, and guidelines; needed research; modeling opportunities; and needs related to lifeline system operations and operational design.

ATC is indebted to the leadership of Laurie Johnson, who served as Project Director and Lead Editor, to Thomas D. O'Rourke, who served as Project Co-Director, and to the members of the Project Technical Committee, consisting of Stephanie Chang, Craig A. Davis, Leonardo Dueñas-Osorio, Ian Robertson, Henning Schulzrinne, and Kathleen Tierney, for their contributions in developing this report and the resulting recommendations. ATC similarly appreciates and recognizes the attentive review and input of the Project Review Panel, which consisted of Bruce Ellingwood, Timothy J. Lomax, Douglas J. Nyman, Dennis Ostrom, Jon Peha, and Kent Yu (ATC Board Representative). The affiliations of these individuals are provided in the list of Project Participants.

ATC also gratefully acknowledges Therese P. McAllister (NIST Technical Point of Contact), and Steven L. McCabe (Contracting Officer's Representative) for their input and guidance throughout the project development process. ATC staff members Veronica Cedillos provided project management support and Amber Houchen and Carrie Perna prepared draft and print-ready versions of this report.

Christopher Rojahn (Project Manager)
ATC Director Emeritus

Table of Contents

Preface	v
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables.....	xv
Executive Summary	xvii
1. Introduction.....	1-1
1.1 Impetus for the Project.....	1-1
1.1.1 NIST Community Disaster Resilience Program and Community Resilience Planning Guide.....	1-2
1.1.2 NIST Research, Development, and Implementation Roadmap for Earthquake Resilient Lifelines.....	1-3
1.2 Project Scope and Purpose.....	1-5
1.3 Report Organization.....	1-6
2. Societal Considerations	2-1
2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 Factors Affecting Lifeline Performance Expectations.....	2-3
2.2.1 Hazard-Related Considerations	2-3
2.2.2 Risk Perception and Communication Considerations.....	2-4
2.2.3 Public Opinion Regarding Lifeline Service Providers: Outrage and Recreancy	2-8
2.2.4 Substitutability and Need.....	2-9
2.2.5 Relevance of Resilience Concepts.....	2-10
2.3 Impacts of Lifeline Service Disruptions on Health and Health-Care Systems: Societal Considerations.....	2-11
2.3.1 Human Health Considerations in Recent Events	2-11
2.3.2 Impacts on the Health-Care Sector	2-14
2.3.3 Climate Change, Lifeline Services, and Health Considerations	2-16
2.4 Economic Impacts of Lifeline Service Disruption: Societal Considerations	2-17
2.4.1 Introduction.....	2-17
2.4.2 The Societal Significance of Electrical Power.....	2-17
2.4.3 Business-Level Impacts of Lifeline Disruption: Societal Considerations	2-19
2.4.4 Community Level and Regional Impacts: Societal Considerations	2-20
2.4.5 Societal Considerations Involving Low-Probability/High-Consequence Events	2-24
2.5 Potential Indicators of Societal Expectations for Lifeline System Performance	2-26

2.5.1	Emergency Management Advisories	2-26
2.5.2	Utility Providers and Restoration Targets.....	2-27
2.5.3	Power Outage Trackers and Situational Awareness.....	2-28
2.5.4	Post-Event Restoration Information.....	2-29
2.5.5	Other Reflections of Community Expectations.....	2-29
2.6	Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations	2-31
2.6.1	Review of Key Points.....	2-31
2.6.2	Lifeline Standards Development Needs	2-32
2.6.3	Research Needs	2-32
2.6.4	Modeling Needs	2-34
2.6.5	Lifeline Systems Operational Needs	2-34
2.6.6	Future Considerations and Trends.....	2-36
3.	Electric Power.....	3-1
3.1	System Overview	3-2
3.2	Summary of Codes, Standards, Guidelines, and Performance Requirements.....	3-3
3.3	Societal Considerations.....	3-6
3.4	Interdependencies.....	3-8
3.5	Disaster Lessons	3-9
3.6	Gaps and Deficits: Codes, Standards, Performance Requirements, and Societal Considerations	3-16
3.7	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	3-16
3.7.1	Review of Key Points.....	3-16
3.7.2	Lifeline Standards Development Needs	3-17
3.7.3	Research Needs	3-18
3.7.4	Modeling Needs	3-19
3.7.5	Lifeline System Operational Needs.....	3-20
3.7.6	Future Considerations and Trends.....	3-20
4.	Gas and Liquid Fuel	4-1
4.1	Systems Overview.....	4-1
4.2	Summary of Codes, Standards, Guidelines, and Performance Requirements.....	4-3
4.2.1	49 CFR Part 192 Transportation of Natural and Other Gas by Pipeline	4-5
4.2.2	49 CFR Part 195 Transportation of Hazardous Liquids by Pipeline.....	4-6
4.2.3	ASME B31.8 Gas Transmission and Distribution Piping Systems	4-7
4.2.4	ASME B31.4 Pipeline Transportation Systems for Liquid Hydrocarbons and Other Liquids.....	4-8
4.2.5	API Recommended Practice 1162 Public Awareness Programs for Pipeline Operators	4-8
4.2.6	Guidelines for the Seismic Design and Assessment of Natural Gas and Liquid Hydrocarbon Pipelines.....	4-9
4.2.7	Guidelines for the Seismic Design of Oil and Gas Pipeline Systems	4-9
4.2.8	Performance Requirements and Restoration Timeframes	4-10
4.3	Societal Considerations.....	4-10
4.4	Interdependencies.....	4-12

4.5	Disaster Lessons	4-14
4.5.1	2005 Hurricane Katrina	4-14
4.5.2	2012 Hurricane Sandy	4-15
4.5.3	2010 San Bruno, California, Pipeline Rupture and Fire.....	4-15
4.5.4	2002 Denali Fault Earthquake	4-16
4.6	Gaps and Deficits: Codes, Standards, Performance Requirements, and Societal Considerations.....	4-17
4.7	Conclusions and Recommendations	4-18
4.7.1	Review of Key Points	4-18
4.7.2	Lifelines Standards Development Needs	4-18
4.7.3	Research and Modeling Needs.....	4-19
4.7.4	Lifeline System Operational Needs	4-19
5.	Telecommunications	5-1
5.1	System Overview.....	5-1
5.1.1	Use of Communication Infrastructure after Hazard Events.....	5-1
5.1.2	Multiple Systems, Layers, and Diversity	5-3
5.1.3	System Elements and Hazards	5-9
5.2	Summary of Codes, Standards, Guidelines, and Performance Requirements	5-13
5.2.1	Measuring Reliability and Performance	5-13
5.2.2	Service Level Agreements	5-15
5.2.3	Regulatory Environment.....	5-16
5.2.4	Codes, Standards, and Guidelines.....	5-18
5.3	Societal Considerations.....	5-21
5.4	Interdependencies	5-26
5.5	Disaster Lessons	5-28
5.5.1	2005 Hurricane Katrina	5-28
5.5.2	2011 Hurricane Irene	5-30
5.5.3	2011 Great East Japan Earthquake	5-31
5.5.4	2012 Midwest and Mid-Atlantic Derecho	5-31
5.5.5	2012 Hurricane Sandy	5-33
5.6	Gaps and Deficits: Codes, Standards, Performance Requirements, and Societal Considerations.....	5-34
5.7	Conclusions and Recommendations	5-36
5.7.1	Review of Key Points	5-36
5.7.2	Lifeline Standards Development Needs.....	5-37
5.7.3	Research Needs.....	5-38
5.7.4	Modeling Needs	5-39
5.7.5	Lifeline System Operational Needs	5-39
5.7.6	Future Considerations and Trends	5-41
6.	Transportation.....	6-1
6.1	System Overview	6-1
6.1.1	Transportation Infrastructure	6-1
6.1.2	Multimodal Transportation	6-4
6.2	Summary of Codes, Standards, Guidelines, and Performance Requirements	6-5
6.3	Societal Considerations.....	6-5
6.4	Interdependencies	6-9

6.5	Disaster Lessons.....	6-11
6.5.1	Performance during Past Earthquakes.....	6-11
6.5.2	Performance during Past Tsunamis.....	6-13
6.5.3	Performance during Past High Wind Events.....	6-14
6.5.4	Recovery and Reconstruction.....	6-14
6.6	Gaps and Deficits: Codes, Standards, Performance Requirements, and Societal Considerations	6-18
6.7	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	6-20
6.7.1	Review of Key Points.....	6-20
6.7.2	Lifeline Standards Development Needs	6-20
6.7.3	Research Needs	6-21
6.7.4	Lifeline System Operational Needs.....	6-21
6.7.5	Future Considerations and Trends.....	6-21
7.	Water and Wastewater	7-1
7.1	Systems Overview.....	7-1
7.1.1	Water Systems.....	7-1
7.1.2	Wastewater Systems.....	7-3
7.1.3	Storm Water and Flood Control Systems.....	7-3
7.2	Summary of Codes, Standards, Guidelines, and Performance Requirements.....	7-5
7.2.1	Existing Standards and Performance Objectives.....	7-5
7.2.2	Recent Developments.....	7-9
7.3	Societal Considerations.....	7-10
7.3.1	Societal Service Functions for Recovery Phases	7-10
7.3.2	System Societal Services and Interactions	7-11
7.3.3	Accessibility Services	7-13
7.4	Interdependencies.....	7-14
7.5	Disaster Lessons.....	7-16
7.5.1	1971 San Fernando Earthquake.....	7-16
7.5.2	1983 New York City Garment District Incident	7-17
7.5.3	1994 Northridge Earthquake	7-18
7.5.4	1995 Kobe Earthquake	7-19
7.5.5	2001 World Trade Center Disaster.....	7-19
7.5.6	2010-2011 Canterbury, New Zealand Earthquake Sequence	7-20
7.5.7	2011 Great East Japan Earthquake and Tsunami	7-22
7.5.8	2012 Hurricane Sandy	7-23
7.6	Gaps and Deficiencies: Codes, Standards, Performance Requirements, and Societal Considerations	7-24
7.7	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	7-27
7.7.1	Review of Key Points.....	7-27
7.7.2	Lifeline Standards Development Needs	7-28
7.7.3	Research Needs	7-29
7.7.4	Modeling Needs	7-30
7.7.5	Lifeline System Operational Needs.....	7-31
7.7.6	Future Considerations and Trends.....	7-32
8.	Interdependent Infrastructure Systems	8-1
8.1	Introduction to Interdependent Infrastructure Systems	8-1
8.1.1	Classifying the Mechanisms of Interdependencies Among Infrastructure	8-4

8.1.2	Methods for Modeling Interdependencies Among Infrastructure.....	8-5
8.2	Trends Across Interdependent Infrastructure Systems	8-7
8.3	Practical Tools and Case Studies for Interdependent Infrastructure Management.....	8-10
8.4	Challenges and Opportunities in Interdependent Infrastructure Systems for Community Resilience.....	8-14
8.5	Conclusions and Recommendations	8-15
9.	Findings and Recommendations	9-1
9.1	Findings	9-1
9.1.1	Lifeline Codes, Standards, Guidelines, and Performance Requirements	9-1
9.1.2	Key Societal Considerations and Expectations of Lifeline System Performance.....	9-3
9.1.3	Critical Interdependencies	9-5
9.1.4	Disaster Lessons	9-8
9.1.5	Gaps and Deficits: Codes, Standards, Performance Requirements and Societal Considerations.....	9-10
9.1.6	Future Considerations and Trends	9-11
9.2	Recommendations.....	9-12
9.2.1	Lifeline Codes, Standards, and Guidelines	9-13
9.2.2	Research.....	9-16
9.2.3	Modeling.....	9-21
9.2.4	Lifeline System Operations	9-23
Appendix A:	Supplement to Electric Power Analysis	A-1
A.1	Designing for Hazard Loads	A-1
A.2	Current Measures of System Performance	A-3
A.2.1	Electric Power Sector.....	A-3
A.2.2	State and Local Governments: Utility Regulation, Energy Security	A-4
A.3	Power Outages: Multi-Event Trends and Models.....	A-8
A.4	Industry Practices Regarding Restoration of Service	A-12
Appendix B:	Supplement to Gas and Liquid Fuel Analysis.....	B-1
B.1	Regulatory Framework	B-1
B.2	Key Codes, Standards, and Guidelines	B-2
B.2.1	Code of Federal Regulations.....	B-2
B.2.2	ASME Standards.....	B-5
B.2.3	API Recommended Practice 1162: Public Awareness Programs for Pipeline Operators.....	B-8
B.2.4	Guidelines for the Seismic Design and Assessment of Natural Gas and Liquid Hydrocarbon Pipelines	B-10
B.2.5	Guidelines for the Seismic Design of Oil and Gas Pipeline Systems	B-11
Appendix C:	Supplement to Transportation Analysis	C-1
C.1	Key Codes, Standards, and Guidelines	C-1
C.1.1	AASHTO Bridge Design Specifications	C-1
C.1.2	American Railway Engineering and Maintenance-of-Way Association (AREMA) Manuals....	C-2

C.1.3	Airport Design.....	C-3
C.1.4	Port Design.....	C-3
C.1.5	American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) 7-10, Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures.....	C-4
C.1.6	American Concrete Institute (ACI) 318, Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete, and Commentary.....	C-4
C.1.7	American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC) Manuals	C-4
C.2	Estimating Recovery Time.....	C-4
Appendix D: Supplement to Water and Wastewater Analysis		D-1
D.1	Key Codes, Standards, and Guidelines	D-1
D.1.1	Buildings and Other Structures	D-5
D.1.2	Dams, Levees, and Tunnels.....	D-7
D.1.3	Pipelines	D-7
D.1.4	Mechanical Equipment.....	D-10
D.1.5	Water and Wastewater System Assessment and Performance	D-11
D.1.6	Security	D-14
D.1.7	Water Systems and Fire Hazards	D-15
D.1.8	Water and Wastewater System Plans and Preparations for Emergencies	D-16
D.1.9	Water and Wastewater Treatment Plants	D-17
D.1.10	Wastewater Management	D-17
D.1.11	Societal Performance Needs.....	D-18
D.2	Performance Requirements	D-18
D.2.1	Performance Criteria for Water Systems.....	D-19
D.2.2	Performance Criteria and Objectives for Wastewater Systems	D-25
Appendix E: Supplement to Interdependent Infrastructure Analysis ...		E-1
E.1	Details of Current Research in the Interdependent Infrastructure Field.....	E-1
E.2	Source Data from Utilities and Cities that Can Serve as “Proxies” for Societal Expectations of Infrastructure Performance and Restoration Times	E-8
E.3	Sample Base for Interdependent Infrastructure and Restoration Times Array	E-11
E.4	Literature Reviewed in the Study of Interdependent Infrastructure Trends and Models	E-12
List of Acronyms		F-1
References		G-1
Project Participants.....		H-1