

MCEER/ATC-49

Recommended LRFD Guidelines
for the Seismic Design of Highway Bridges
Part II: Commentary and Appendices

Based on
NCHRP Project 12-49, FY '98
"Comprehensive Specification for the Seismic Design of Bridges"
National Cooperative Highway Research Program

Prepared by
ATC/MCEER JOINT VENTURE
A partnership of the
Applied Technology Council
(www.ATCouncil.org)
and the
Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research
(<http://mceer.buffalo.edu>)

Prepared under the MCEER Highway Project
Project 094, Task F3-1
Federal Highway Administration

NCHRP 12-49 PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

Project Team

Ian Friedland, Principal Investigator
Ronald Mayes, Technical Director
Donald Anderson
Michel Bruneau
Gregory Fenves
John Kulicki
John Mander
Lee Marsh
Geoffrey Martin
Andrzej Nowak
Richard Nutt
Maurice Power
Andrei Reinhorn

Project Engineering Panel

Ian Buckle, Co-Chair
Christopher Rojahn, Co-Chair
Serafim Arzoumanidis
Mark Capron
Ignatius Po Lam
Paul Liles
Brian Maroney
Joseph Nicoletti
Charles Roeder
Frieder Seible
Theodore Zoli

PREFACE

In 2003 the ATC/MCEER Joint Venture, a partnership of the Applied Technology Council (ATC) and the Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (MCEER), University at Buffalo, published the document, *Recommended LRFD Guidelines for the Seismic Design of Highway Bridges, Part I, Specifications*. As part of the developmental effort for *Part I, Specifications*, the ATC/MCEER Joint Venture also developed this companion document, *Part II, Commentary and Appendices*, which describes the technical basis, optional approaches, and related background information pertaining to the *Specifications*. *Part I* and *Part II* (also known as the MCEER/ATC-49 Report) are reformatted versions of the seismic design provisions (specifications and commentary) for highway bridges developed under NCHRP (National Cooperative Highway Research Program) Project 12-49, a recently completed project to develop seismic design provisions that would be compatible with the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*. The reformatting effort, which was carried out to facilitate immediate use of the Project 12-49 provisions by bridge design professionals, was funded as a task under the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) sponsored MCEER Highway Project.

NCHRP Project 12-49 also included companion studies to investigate the effects of liquefaction and an effort to develop design examples using the NCHRP 12-49 provisions. These studies are documented in two companion MCEER/ATC reports: (1) the MCEER/ATC-49-1 Report, *Liquefaction Study Report, Recommended LRFD Guidelines for the Seismic Design of Highway Bridges* (ATC/MCEER, 2003a), and (2) the MCEER/ATC-49-2 Report, *Design Examples, Recommended LRFD Guidelines for the Seismic Design of Highway Bridges* (ATC/MCEER, 2003b).

A broad array of engineering expertise was engaged by the ATC/MCEER Joint Venture to develop the original NCHRP 12-49 seismic design provisions, companion liquefaction study, and design examples. Ian Friedland of ATC

(and formerly MCEER) served as the Project Principal Investigator and Ronald Mayes (Simpson Gumpertz & Heger, Inc.) served as the Project Technical Director. The NCHRP Project 12-49 team consisted of Donald Anderson (CH2M Hill, Inc.), Michel Bruneau (University at Buffalo), Gregory Fenves (University of California at Berkeley), John Kulicki (Modjeski and Masters, Inc.), John Mander (University of Canterbury, formerly University at Buffalo), Lee Marsh (BERGER/ABAM Engineers), Ronald Mayes (Simpson, Gumpertz & Heger, Inc.), Geoffrey Martin (University of Southern California), Andrzej Nowak (University of Michigan), Richard Nutt (bridge consultant), Maurice Power (Geomatrix Consultants, Inc.), and Andrei Reinhorn (University at Buffalo).

The project also included an advisory Project Engineering Panel; Ian Buckle, of the University of Nevada at Reno, co-chaired this committee with Christopher Rojahn of ATC, who also served as the Project Administrative Officer. Other members included Serafim Arzoumanidis (Steinman Engineers), Mark Capron (Sverdrup Civil Inc.), Ignatius Po Lam (Earth Mechanics), Paul Liles (Georgia DOT), Brian Maroney (California DOT), Joseph Nicoletti (URS Greiner Woodward Clyde), Charles Roeder (University of Washington), Frieder Seible (University of California at San Diego), and Theodore Zoli (HNTB Corporation).

NCHRP Project Panel C12-49, under the direction of NCHRP Senior Program Officer David Beal and chaired by Harry Capers of the New Jersey Department of Transportation (DOT), also provided a significant amount of input and guidance during the conduct of the project. The other members of the NCHRP Project Panel were D.W. Dearasaugh (Transportation Research Board), Gongkang Fu (Wayne State University), C. Stewart Gloyd (Parsons Brinckerhoff), Manoucher Karshenas (Illinois DOT), Richard Land (California DOT), Bryan Millar (Montana DOT), Amir Mirmirman (University of Central Florida), Charles Ruth (Washington State DOT), Steven Starkey (Oregon DOT), and Phillip Yen (FHWA).

Three drafts of the Project 12-49 specifications and commentary were prepared and reviewed by the ATC Project Engineering Panel, NCHRP Project Panel 12-49, and the AASHTO Highway Subcom-

mittee on Bridges and Structures seismic design technical committee (T-3), which was chaired by James Roberts of Caltrans.

A subset of the original NCHRP Project 12-49 team, consisting of Donald Anderson, Michel Bruneau, Ronald Mayes, Lee Marsh, Richard Nutt, and Maurice Power, prepared Parts I and II of the *Recommended LRFD Guidelines for the*

Seismic Design of Highway Bridges (MCEER/ATC-49 Report). ATC and MCEER staff provided editorial and desktop publishing services during the preparation of *Part II, Commentary and Appendices*.

Michel Bruneau, MCEER
Christopher Rojahn, ATC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	iii
C1 INTRODUCTION	1
C2 DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS	3
C2.1 DEFINITIONS	3
C2.2 NOTATIONS	4
C3 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	9
C3.1 APPLICABILITY.....	9
C3.2 SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES.....	9
C3.3 SEISMIC DESIGN APPROACH.....	12
C3.3.1 Earthquake-Resisting Systems (ERS).....	17
C3.4 DESIGN GROUND MOTION.....	21
C3.4.1 Design Spectra Based on General Procedure.....	22
C3.4.2 Site Effects on Ground Motion	23
C3.4.2.1 Site Class Definitions	23
C3.4.2.2 Definitions of Site Class Parameters	24
C3.4.2.3 Site Coefficients	25
C3.4.3 Response Spectra Based on Site-Specific Procedure.....	25
C3.4.4 Acceleration Time Histories	25
C3.4.5 Vertical Acceleration Effects.....	27
C3.5 LOAD FACTORS	27
C3.6 COMBINATION OF SEISMIC FORCE EFFECTS.....	28
C3.6.1 SRSS Combination Rule.....	28
C3.6.2 100% - 40% Combination Rule	28
C3.7 SELECTION OF SEISMIC HAZARD LEVEL (SHL), SEISMIC DESIGN AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES (SDAP) AND SEISMIC DESIGN REQUIREMENTS (SDR).....	29
C3.8 TEMPORARY AND STAGED CONSTRUCTION.....	29
C4 DESIGN AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES (SDAP)	31
C4.1 SINGLE SPAN BRIDGES	31
C4.2 SDAP A1 AND A2.....	31
C4.3 SDAP B – NO SEISMIC DEMAND ANALYSIS.....	32
C4.3.1 No Analysis Approach.....	32
C4.3.2 Limitations.....	32
C4.3.3 Capacity Design and Strength Requirements of Members Framing into Columns	33
C4.4 SDAP C – CAPACITY SPECTRUM DESIGN METHOD.....	33
C4.4.1 Capacity Spectrum Design Approach.....	33
C4.4.2 Limitations.....	35
C4.5 SDAP D – ELASTIC RESPONSE SPECTRUM METHOD.....	35
C4.6 SDAP E – ELASTIC RESPONSE SPECTRUM METHOD WITH DISPLACEMENT CAPACITY VERIFICATION	35
C4.7 RESPONSE MODIFICATION FACTORS	35
C4.8 CAPACITY DESIGN.....	36
C4.8.1 Inelastic Hinging Forces	37
C4.9 PLASTIC HINGE ZONES.....	37
C4.10 ELASTIC DESIGN OF SUBSTRUCTURES.....	37
C4.10.1 All Substructure Supports are Designed Elastically	37
C4.10.2 Selected Substructure Supports are Designed Elastically.....	37

C5 ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS	39
C5.1 DEFINITION OF PROCEDURES	39
C5.1.1 General	39
C5.1.2 Selection of Analysis Procedure.....	39
C5.2 SEISMIC LATERAL LOAD DISTRIBUTION	40
C5.2.1 Applicability	40
C5.2.2 Design Criteria	41
C5.2.3 Load Distribution	41
C5.3 MODELING REQUIREMENTS	41
C5.3.1 General	41
C5.3.2 Distribution of Mass	42
C5.3.3 Stiffness and Strength.....	42
C5.3.3.1 General	42
C5.3.3.2 Substructure	42
C5.3.3.3 Superstructure	43
C5.3.4 Foundations	43
C5.3.5 Abutments	43
C5.3.6 Seismic Isolator Units	44
C5.3.7 Bearings and Joints.....	44
C5.3.8 Damping	44
C5.4 ANALYSIS PROCEDURES	44
C5.4.1 Capacity Spectrum Analysis	44
C5.4.1.1 Seismic Isolation Systems.....	44
C5.4.2 Elastic Response Spectrum Analysis	46
C5.4.2.1 Selection of Analysis Method	46
C5.4.2.2 Uniform Load Method	46
C5.4.2.3 Multi-Mode Dynamic Analysis Method	47
C5.4.3 Seismic Displacement Capacity Verification.....	48
C5.4.4 Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis Procedure	48
C6 SEISMIC DESIGN REQUIREMENTS (SDR) 1 AND 2	49
C6.1 GENERAL	49
C6.2 DESIGN FORCES	49
C6.3 DESIGN DISPLACEMENTS	49
C6.4 FOUNDATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	50
C6.5 ABUTMENT DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	50
C6.6 LIQUEFACTION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	50
C6.7 STRUCTURAL STEEL DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	50
C6.7.1 SDR 1	50
C6.7.2 SDR 2	50
C6.7.2.1 Ductile Moment-Resisting Frames and Bents.....	50
C6.7.2.1.1 Columns.....	50
C6.7.2.3 Centrally Braced Frames and Bents with Nominal Ductility	50
C7 SEISMIC DESIGN REQUIREMENTS (SDR) 3	51
C7.1 GENERAL	51
C7.2 DESIGN FORCES	51
C7.2.1 Ductile Substructures ($R > 1$) – Flexural Capacity	51
C7.2.2 Capacity Protected Elements or Actions	51
C7.2.3 Elastically Designed Elements	51
C7.2.4 Abutments and Connections.....	51
C7.2.5 Single Span Bridges	52

C7.3	DESIGN DISPLACEMENTS	52
C7.4	FOUNDATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	52
C7.4.1	Foundation Investigation	52
C7.4.2	Spread Footings	52
C7.4.2.1	Moment and Shear Capacity.....	53
C7.4.2.2	Liquefaction Check.....	53
C7.4.3	Driven Piles	53
C7.4.3.1	General	53
C7.4.3.2	Design Requirements	54
C7.4.3.3	Moment and Shear Design	54
C7.4.3.4	Liquefaction Check.....	54
C7.4.4	Drilled Shafts.....	55
C7.5	ABUTMENT DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	55
C7.5.1	General.....	55
C7.5.2	Longitudinal Direction.....	56
C7.5.3	Transverse Direction.....	56
C7.6	LIQUEFACTION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	56
C7.6.1	General.....	56
C7.6.2	Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential	56
C7.6.3	Evaluation of the Effects of Liquefaction and Lateral Ground Movement	56
C7.6.4	Design Requirements if Liquefaction and Ground Movement Occurs.....	56
C7.6.5	Detailed Foundation Design Requirements	57
C7.6.6	Other Collateral Hazards	57
C7.7	STRUCTURAL STEEL DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	57
C7.8	REINFORCED CONCRETE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	57
C7.9	BEARING DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	57
C7.9.1	Prototype and Quality Control Tests.....	57
C7.10	SEISMIC ISOLATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	57
C8	SEISMIC DESIGN REQUIREMENTS (SDR) 4, 5 AND 6.....	59
C8.1	GENERAL.....	59
C8.2	DESIGN FORCES.....	59
C8.2.1	Ductile Substructures ($R > 1$) – Flexural Capacity	59
C8.2.2	Capacity Protected Elements or Actions.....	59
C8.2.3	Elastically Designed Elements.....	59
C8.2.4	Abutments and Connections	59
C8.2.5	Single Span Bridges.....	60
C8.3	DESIGN DISPLACEMENTS	60
C8.3.1	General.....	60
C8.3.2	Minimum Seat Width Requirement.....	60
C8.3.3	Displacement Compatibility	61
C8.3.4	P- Δ Requirements	61
C8.3.5	Minimum Displacement Requirements for Lateral-Load-Resisting Piers and Bents	62
C8.4	FOUNDATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.....	62
C8.4.1	Foundation Investigation	62
C8.4.1.1	General	62
C8.4.1.2	Subsurface Investigations	62
C8.4.1.3	Laboratory Testing	62
C8.4.2	Spread Footings	62
C8.4.2.1	Spring Constants for Footings	63

	C8.4.2.2	Moment-Rotation and Shear-Displacement Relationships for Footing	64
C8.4.3	Driven Piles		66
	C8.4.3.1	General	66
	C8.4.3.2	Design Requirements	66
	C8.4.3.3	Axial and Rocking Stiffness for Driven Pile/Pile Cap Foundations	67
	C8.4.3.4	Lateral Stiffness Parameters for Driven Pile/Pile Cap Foundations	68
	C8.4.3.5	Pile Cap Stiffness and Capacity	69
	C8.4.3.6	Moment and Shear Design	69
	C8.4.3.7	Liquefaction and Dynamic Settlement Evaluations	70
C8.4.4	Drilled Shafts		71
C8.5	ABUTMENT DESIGN REQUIREMENTS		72
	C8.5.1	General	72
	C8.5.2	Longitudinal Direction	72
		C8.5.2.1 SDAP C	73
		C8.5.2.2 SDAP D and E	73
	C8.5.3	Transverse Direction	73
		C8.5.3.1 SDAP C	73
		C8.5.3.2 SDAP D and E	73
C8.6	LIQUEFACTION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS		74
	C8.6.1	General	74
	C8.6.2	Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential	74
	C8.6.3	Evaluation of the Effects of Liquefaction and Lateral Ground Movement	75
	C8.6.4	Design Requirements if Liquefaction and Ground Movement Occur	76
	C8.6.5	Detailed Foundation Design Requirements	76
	C8.6.6	Other Collateral Hazards	76
C8.7	STRUCTURAL STEEL DESIGN REQUIREMENTS		76
	C8.7.1	General	76
	C8.7.2	Materials	77
	C8.7.3	Sway Stability Effects	78
	C8.7.4	Ductile Moment-Resisting Frames and Single Column Structures	78
		C8.7.4.1 Columns	79
		C8.7.4.2 Beams	79
		C8.7.4.3 Panel Zones and Connections	79
		C8.7.4.4 Multi-Tier Frame Bents	79
	C8.7.5	Ductile Concentrically Braced Frames	80
		C8.7.5.1 Bracing Systems	80
		C8.7.5.2 Design Requirements for Ductile Bracing Members	80
		C8.7.5.3 Brace Connections	81
		C8.7.5.4 Columns, Beams and Other Connections	81
	C8.7.6	Concentrically Braced Frames with Nominal Ductility	81
		C8.7.6.1 Bracing Systems	82
		C8.7.6.2 Design Requirements for Nominally Ductile Bracing Members	82
		C8.7.6.3 Brace Connections	82
		C8.7.6.4 Columns, Beams and Other Connections	82
		C8.7.6.5 Chevron Braced and V-Braced Systems	82
	C8.7.7	Concrete Filled Steel Pipes	83
		C8.7.7.1 Combined Axial Compression and Flexure	83
		C8.7.7.2 Flexural Strength	83
		C8.7.7.3 Beams and Connections	84
	C8.7.8	Other Systems	84

	C8.7.8.1	Ductile Eccentrically Braced Frames	84
	C8.7.8.2	Ductile End-Diaphragm in Slab-on-Girder Bridge.....	85
	C8.7.8.3	Ductile End Diaphragms in Deck Truss Bridges.....	85
	C8.7.8.4	Other Systems.....	86
	C8.7.9	Plastic Rotational Capacities	86
	C8.7.9.1	Life Safety Performance.....	86
	C8.7.9.2	Immediate Use Limit State.....	86
	C8.7.9.3	In-Ground Hinges.....	86
C8.8		REINFORCED CONCRETE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	86
	C8.8.1	General.....	86
	C8.8.2	Column Requirements	87
	C8.8.2.1	Longitudinal Reinforcement.....	87
	C8.8.2.2	Flexural Resistance.....	87
	C8.8.2.3	Column Shear and Transverse Reinforcement	87
	C8.8.2.4	Transverse Reinforcement for Confinement at Plastic Hinges.....	88
	C8.8.2.5	Transverse Reinforcement for Longitudinal Bar Restraint in Plastic Hinges	89
	C8.8.2.6	Spacing for Transverse Reinforcement for Confinement and Longitudinal Bar Restraint	90
	C8.8.2.7	Splices.....	90
	C8.8.2.8	Flexural Overstrength.....	90
	C8.8.3	Limited Ductility Requirements for Wall-Type Piers	91
	C8.8.4	Moment-Resisting Connection Between Members (Column-Beam and Column-Footing Joints).....	91
	C8.8.4.1	Implicit Approach: Direct Design	91
	C8.8.4.2	Explicit Approach: Detailed Design.....	91
	C8.8.4.2.1	Design Forces and Applied Stresses	91
	C8.8.4.2.2	Maximum Required Horizontal Reinforcement.....	92
	C8.8.4.2.3	Maximum Allowable Compression Stresses	92
	C8.8.4.3	Reinforcement for Joint Force Transfer	92
	C8.8.4.3.1	Acceptable Reinforcement Details.....	92
	C8.8.4.3.2	Vertical Reinforcement.....	93
	C8.8.4.3.3	Horizontal Reinforcement.....	93
	C8.8.4.3.4	Hoop or Spiral Reinforcement	94
	C8.8.4.4	Structural Strength of Footings.....	94
	C8.8.5	Concrete Piles	94
	C8.8.5.1	Transverse Reinforcement Requirements.....	94
	C8.8.6	Plastic Rotation Capacities	95
	C8.8.6.1	Life Safety Performance.....	95
	C8.8.6.2	Operational Performance.....	95
	C8.8.6.3	In-Ground Hinges.....	95
C8.9		BEARING DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	95
	C8.9.1	Prototype and Quality Control Tests.....	95
C8.10		SEISMIC ISOLATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	96
C8.11		SUPERSTRUCTURE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	96
	C8.11.1	General.....	96
	C8.11.2	Load Paths	96
	C8.11.3	Effective Superstructure Width	96
	C8.11.4	Superstructure-To-Substructure Connections.....	97
C15		SEISMIC ISOLATION	99

C15.1	SCOPE	99
C15.2	DEFINITIONS	101
C15.3	NOTATION	101
C15.4	ANALYSIS PROCEDURES	101
	C15.4.1 Capacity Spectrum Method	102
	C15.4.2 Uniform Load Method.....	104
	C15.4.3 Multimode Spectral Method.....	104
	C15.4.4 Time-History Method.....	104
C15.5	DESIGN PROPERTIES OF THE ISOLATION SYSTEM.....	105
	C15.5.1 Nominal Design Properties	105
	C15.5.2 System Property Modification Factors.....	105
	C15.5.2.1 Minimum and Maximum System Property Modification Factors	105
	C15.5.2.2 System Property Adjustment Factors.....	105
C15.6	CLEARANCES.....	105
C15.7	DESIGN FORCES FOR SDAP A1 AND A2.....	105
C15.8	DESIGN FORCES FOR SDAP C, D, AND E.....	105
C15.9	OTHER REQUIREMENTS.....	105
	C15.9.1 Non-Seismic Lateral Forces	105
	C15.9.1.1 Service Force Resistance.....	105
	C15.9.1.2 Cold Weather Requirements	105
	C15.9.2 Lateral Restoring Force.....	106
	C15.9.3 Vertical Load Stability	106
	C15.9.4 Rotational Capacity.....	106
C15.10	REQUIRED TESTS OF ISOLATION SYSTEMS.....	106
	C15.10.1 System Characterization Tests	107
	C15.10.1.1 Low-Temperature Test.....	107
	C15.10.1.2 Wear and Fatigue Tests.....	107
	C15.10.2 Prototype Tests.....	107
	C15.10.3 Determination of System Characteristics	107
	C15.10.3.1 System Adequacy.....	107
C15.11	ELASTOMERIC BEARINGS.....	108
	C15.11.1 General	109
	C15.11.2 Shear Strain Components for Isolation Design	109
	C15.11.3 Load Combinations	109
C15.12	ELASTOMERIC BEARINGS-CONSTRUCTION.....	109
C15.13	SLIDING BEARINGS – DESIGN	109
	C15.13.1 General	109
	C15.13.2 Materials.....	109
	C15.13.2.1 PTFE Bearing Liners.....	110
	C15.13.2.2 Other Bearing Liner Materials	110
	C15.13.2.3 Mating Surface.....	110
	C15.13.3 Geometry.....	110
	C15.13.4 Loads and Stresses	110
	C15.13.4.1 Contact Pressure.....	110
	C15.13.4.2 Coefficient of Friction.....	110
	C15.13.4.2.1 Service Coefficient of Friction	110
	C15.13.4.2.2 Seismic Coefficient of Friction.....	110
	C15.13.5 Other Details	110
	C15.13.6 Materials for Guides.....	110
C15.14	SLIDING BEARINGS – CONSTRUCTION.....	110
C15.15	OTHER ISOLATION SYSTEMS	110

C15.15.1	Scope.....	110
C15.15.2	System Characterization Tests.....	110
C15.15.3	Design Procedure.....	110
C15.15.4	Fabrication, Installation, Inspection, and Maintenance Requirements.....	110
C15.15.5	Prototype Tests.....	111
C15.15.6	Quality Control Tests.....	111
APPENDIX A COMMENTARY ON THE SELECTION OF THE DESIGN EARTHQUAKES		113
A.1	INTRODUCTION.....	113
A.2	CURRENT AASHTO MAP (1990 USGS MAP).....	113
A.3	NEW USGS MAPS.....	113
A.4	NATIONAL EARTHQUAKE HAZARD REDUCTION PROGRAM (NEHRP) MAXIMUM CONSIDERED EARTHQUAKE (MCE) MAPS.....	114
A.5	DESIGN EARTHQUAKES.....	116
A.5.1	Rare Earthquake (MCE).....	116
A.5.2	Expected Earthquake.....	119
A.6	IMPACT STUDIES.....	119
APPENDIX B PROVISIONS FOR SITE CHARACTERIZATION		123
B.1/C.B.1	GENERAL.....	123
B.2/C.B.2	SUBSURFACE EXPLORATIONS.....	123
B.2.1/C.B.2.1	In Situ Tests.....	124
B.2.2/C.B.2.2	Exploration for Seismic Studies.....	125
B.2.2.1/ C.B.2.2.1	Liquefaction Potential.....	126
B.2.2.2/ C.B.2.2.2	Site Response Determination.....	129
B.3/C.B.3	LABORATORY TESTING.....	130
B.3.1/C.B.3.1	Standard Laboratory Tests.....	130
B.3.2/C.B.3.2	Special Testing for Seismic Studies.....	131
B.3.3/C.B.3.3	Rock Testing.....	131
APPENDIX C GUIDELINES FOR CONDUCTING SITE-SPECIFIC GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS AND DYNAMIC SITE RESPONSE ANALYSES.....		133
C.1	INTRODUCTION.....	133
C.2	SITE-SPECIFIC GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION.....	133
C.3	DYNAMIC SITE RESPONSE ANALYSIS.....	133
APPENDIX D PROVISIONS FOR COLLATERAL SEISMIC HAZARDS.....		135
D.1/C.D.1	GENERAL.....	135
D.1.1/C.D.1.1	Evaluation of Collateral Hazards.....	135
D.1.2/C.D.1.2	Designing for Collateral Hazards.....	136
D.2/C.D.2	LIQUEFACTION.....	137
D.2.1/C.D.2.1	Preliminary Screening for Liquefaction.....	138
D.2.2/C.D.2.2	Field Explorations for Liquefaction Hazards Assessment.....	139
D.2.3/C.D.2.3	Ground Motions for Liquefaction Analysis.....	140
D.2.4/C.D.2.4	Evaluation of Liquefaction Hazard.....	141
D.2.4.1/C.D.2.4.1	Simplified Method.....	142
D.2.4.2/C.D.2.4.2	Numerical Modeling Methods.....	145
D.2.5/C.D.2.5	Liquefaction Hazards Assessment.....	147
D.2.5.1/C.D.2.5.1	Lateral Flows.....	148
D.2.5.2/C.D.2.5.2	Lateral Spreading.....	150
D.2.5.3/C.D.2.5.3	Settlement.....	154
D.3/C.D.3	OTHER COLLATERAL HAZARDS.....	157
D.3.1/C.D.3.1	Fault Rupture.....	157

D.3.2/C.D.3.2	Landsliding.....	158
D.3.3/C.D.3.3	Differential Compaction	158
D.3.4/C.D.3.4	Flooding or Inundation.....	159
D.4/C.D.4	DESIGNING FOR COLLATERAL HAZARDS	159
D.4.1/C.D.4.2	Spread Footing Foundations	159
D.4.1.1/C.D.4.1.1	Loss of Bearing Support for Spread Footings	160
D.4.1.2/C.D.4.1.2	Settlement of Spread Footing	160
D.4.2/C.D.4.2	Deep Foundations	161
D.4.2.1/C.D.4.2.1	Loss in Lateral Support for Deep Foundations.....	161
D.4.2.2/C.D.4.2.2	Loads from Lateral Spreading/Flow.....	162
D.4.2.3/C.D.4.2.3	Settlement and Downdrag	169
D.4.3/C.D.4.3	Ground Improvement.....	169
D.4.3.1/C.D.4.3.1	Bearing Capacity and Settlement	170
D.4.3.2/C.D.4.3.2	Lateral Spreading and Flow.....	171
APPENDIX E	DUCTILE END-DIAPHRAGMS IN GIRDER BRIDGES	173
E.1	DESIGN PROCEDURE	173
APPENDIX F	DUCTILE END-DIAPHRAGM IN DECK TRUSS BRIDGE	179
F.1	DESIGN PROCEDURE	179
APPENDIX G	PARAMETRIC STUDY OF COLUMN DESIGNS.....	185
G.1	BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE	185
G.2	SCOPE OF THE PARAMETER STUDY	185
G.3	DESCRIPTION OF COLUMN DESIGNS.....	185
G.4	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS.....	189
G.4.1	Column Strength Control	189
G.4.2	Column Performance	189
G.4.3	Column Size Effect	197
G.4.4	Column Overstrength.....	197
G.4.5	Cost Impact	197
APPENDIX H	LIQUEFACTION EFFECTS AND ASSOCIATED HAZARDS.....	215
H.1	PURPOSE AND SCOPE	215
H.2	DESIGN APPROACH.....	216
H.3	SITE SELECTION AND CHARACTERIZATION.....	216
H.4	BRIDGE TYPE.....	217
H.5	DESIGN RESPONSE SPECTRA AND TIME HISTORIES.....	217
H.6	LIQUEFACTION STUDIES	222
H.6.1	Simplified Liquefaction Analyses.....	223
H.6.2	DESRA-MUSC Ground Response Studies.....	224
H.6.2.1	Without Embankment Fill	227
H.6.2.2	With Embankment Fill.....	227
H.6.3	Lateral Ground Displacement Assessment	232
H.6.3.1	Initial Stability Analyses.....	232
H.6.3.2	Lateral Spread Implications from DESRA-MUSC Analyses.....	233
H.6.3.3	Stability Analyses with Mitigation Measures.....	233
H.6.3.4	Displacement Estimates from Simplified Methods	236
H.6.3.5	Displacement Estimates Using Site Response Analysis Results	236
H.7	STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS AND DESIGN.....	237
H.7.1	Vibration Design	237
H.7.2	Lateral Spreading Structural Design/Assessment	238
H.7.2.1	Modes of Deformation.....	238

H.7.2.2	Foundation Movement Assessment.....	238
H.7.2.3	Pinning Force Calculation.....	239
H.8	COMPARISON OF REMEDIATION ALTERNATIVES.....	240
H.8.1	Summary of Structural and Geotechnical Options.....	244
H.8.2	Comparisons of Costs.....	244
H.9	MISSOURI EXAMPLE.....	245
H.9.1	Site Characterization and Bridge Type.....	245
H.9.2	Liquefaction Analyses.....	248
H.9.3	Initial Stability Analysis.....	248
H.9.4	Stability Analyses with Mitigation Measures.....	251
H.9.5	Displacement Estimates from Simplified Methods.....	257
H.9.6	Pinning Force Calculations.....	258
H.9.7	Comparison of Remediation Alternatives.....	262
H.10	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	263
APPENDIX I ISOLATION DESIGN PARAMETERS.....		267
I.1/CI.1	SLIDING ISOLATION SYSTEMS.....	267
I.1.1	Factors for Establishing λ_{\min}	267
I.1.2	Factors for Establishing λ_{\max}	267
I.1.2.1	$\lambda_{\max,a}$	267
I.1.2.2	$\lambda_{\max,v}$	267
I.1.2.3/CI.1.2.3	$\lambda_{\max,c}$	268
I.1.2.4	$\lambda_{\max,tr}$	268
I.1.2.5	$\lambda_{\max,t}$	268
I.2/CI.2	ELASTOMERIC BEARINGS.....	269
I.2.1	Factors for Establishing λ_{\min}	269
I.2.2	Factors for Establishing λ_{\max}	269
I.2.2.1/CI.2.2.1	$\lambda_{\max,a}$	269
I.2.2.2	$\lambda_{\max,v}$	270
I.2.2.3	$\lambda_{\max,c}$	270
I.2.2.4	$\lambda_{\max,tr}$	270
I.2.2.5/CI.2.2.5	$\lambda_{\max,t}$	270
I.2.2.6	$\lambda_{\max,scrag}$	271
REFERENCES.....		273
PROJECT PARTICIPANTS.....		293